

## Supplementary information

**Figure S1:** Difference Fourier map between synchrotron X-ray diffraction observation and calculation, considering the host structure  $[FeBO_3]$  only (Left  $y=0.5$ ),  $[LiBO_3]$  only (Right  $y=0.3281$ ). The positive electronic density residue was marked as red while the negative was painted in light blue.

**Figure S2:** Difference Fourier map between neutron powder diffraction observation (neutron diffraction) and calculation of considering the host structure  $[FeBO_3]$  only (Left  $y=0.5$ ),  $[LiBO_3]$  only (Right  $y=0.3281$ ). The positive nuclear residue was marked as red while the negative was painted in light blue.

**Figure S3:** Illustration of the zigzag shaped  $Li^+$  diffusion path along the **c-axis** (adapted from the cif file of our single crystal XRD refinement). Light blue plane (010) and grey dash line (lithium ions diffusion path) are guided for eyes.

**Figure S4:** Comparison of XRD patterns: non-carbon coated  $LiFeBO_3$  (sample **A**) versus carbon-coated  $LiFeBO_3/C$  (Sample **C**, ascorbic acid decomposition), recorded with a Bruker D8 diffractometer using  $CoK_\alpha$  radiation. The cell parameters deduced from full-pattern matching refinements are listed on right side of the figure.

**Figure S5:** Full-pattern matching refinement conducted on XRD diffraction pattern recorded from  $LiFeBO_3/C$  sample prepared by self-combustion; (left inset) comparison of partial XRD patterns of self-combustion prepared  $LiFBO_3/C$  (green) and non-carbon coated  $LiFeBO_3$  (red); (right inset) TEM image of sample B (self-combustion), suggested that  $LiFeBO_3$  grains are surrounded by carbon.

**Figure S6:** (a) Charge and discharge curves for sample (C) cycled at C/20 rate, 55°C within 1.5-4.5 V voltage range, using LP30 liquid electrolyte. (b) Capacity retention for the same cell, which could still deliver a capacity of 350 mAh/g at the 70<sup>th</sup> cycle.

**Figure S7:** *in-situ* XRD patterns recorded during battery operation (1st charge to 4.5 V and 1st discharge down to 1.5 V vs.  $Li^+/Li$ ) with a Bruker D8 diffractometer using the  $CuK_\alpha$  radiation. The cell was cycled at C/50 rate, meanwhile XRD patterns were collected every 2 hours for a 10°-40°  $2\theta$  range. The red and blue dash lines are guided for eyes.

**Figure S8:** Selected 2θ regions of XRD patterns showing the respective shifts of the reflection peaks; the pristine  $LiFeBO_3$  (upper red curve), “ $Li_{0.25}FeBO_3$ ” (green curves) and  $LiFeBO_3$  after one full electrochemical cycle (lower red curve).

**Table S1.** Crystallographic data of LiFeBO<sub>3</sub> derived from Synchrotron X-Ray diffraction Rietveld refinements, global agreement factors and selected interatomic distances(Å) and angles(deg.)

Formula	Atom	S.O.F.	x	y	z	B <sub>iso</sub> (Å <sup>2</sup> )
LiFeBO <sub>3</sub>	Li(1)	0.39(3)	0.698(4)	0.525(2)	0.164(2)	0.63(4)
C2/c	Li(2)	0.60(3)	0.632(3)	0.487(2)	0.1078(15)	1.73(7)
a = 5.1701(1) Å	Fe	1.0	0.1612(2)	0.3361(1)	0.1243(1)	anisotropic
b = 8.99219(2) Å	B	1.0	0.152(1)	0.6733(8)	0.1233(5)	0.71(3)
c = 10.1656(2) Å	O1	1.0	0.4058(5)	0.1625(4)	0.0922(3)	0.78(9)
β = 91.443(1)°	O2	1.0	0.7777(5)	0.3030(3)	0.1568(3)	0.55(5)
V = 468.76 (1) Å <sup>3</sup>	O3	1.0	0.3129(6)	0.5428(3)	0.1264(3)	0.78(9)
<b>R<sub>Bragg</sub>=6.70% R<sub>f</sub>=4.98% R<sub>p</sub>=10.4% R<sub>wp</sub>=13.5 χ<sup>2</sup>=1.24</b>						

1Fe 2Li model -- anisotropic thermal parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>)x10<sup>-4</sup>

Atom	U11	U22	U33	U12	U13	U23
Fe	97(4)	60 (3)	156(4)	18(5)	11(3)	6(5)

The form of the anisotropic thermal parameters is:  

$$\exp[-2\pi^2(h^2a^2U_{11}+k^2b^2U_{22}+l^2c^2U_{33}+2hka^*b^*U_{12}+2hla^*c^*U_{13}+2klb^*c^*U_{23})]$$

### BO<sub>3</sub>

	O1	O2	O3
O1	<b>1.310(6)</b>	125.0(4)	120.4(4)
O2	2.374(3)	<b>1.364(7)</b>	114.5(5)
O3	2.377(4)	2.349(3)	<b>1.428(7)</b>

### Li(1)O<sub>4</sub>

	O1	O2	O3	'Axial' O3
O1	<b>1.797(19)</b>	121.9(9)	117.7(9)	112.6(9)
O2	3.343(4)	<b>2.024(18)</b>	105.6(8)	96.8(7)
O3	3.272(4)	3.226(3)	<b>2.024(20)</b>	97.5(8)
'Axial' O3	3.281(4)	3.115(4)	3.132(4)	<b>2.138(20)</b>

### Li(2)O<sub>4</sub>

	O1	O2	O3	'Axial' O3
O1	<b>2.119(17)</b>	113.7(8)	115.7(8)	84.96(5)
O2	3.343(4)	<b>1.868(17)</b>	126.8(9)	96.2(6)
O3	3.272(4)	3.226(3)	<b>1.738(16)</b>	105.9(7)
'Axial' O3	3.073(4)	3.214(4)	3.343(4)	<b>2.419(15)</b>

### FeO<sub>5</sub>

	O1	O2	O3	Axial O1	Axial O2
O1	<b>2.031(3)</b>	121.8(1)	117.2(1)	85.8(1)	89.2(1)
O2	3.557(3)	<b>2.039(2)</b>	120.8(1)	91.8(1)	86.2(1)
O3	3.445(4)	3.517(4)	<b>2.003(2)</b>	93.2(1)	93.7(1)
Axial O1	2.897(4)	3.064(4)	3.073(4)	<b>2.220(3)</b>	172.7(1)
Axial O2	3.017(4)	2.941(3)	3.115(4)	4.469(4)	<b>2.258(3)</b>

**Table S2a** Mössbauer parameters of LiFeBO<sub>3</sub> at 293K

	<b>CS</b> (mm/s)	<b>QS</b> (mm/s)	<b>A</b> (counts·mm/s)	<b>w+</b> (mm/s)	<b>Site<sub>population (%)</sub></b>
<b>Fe<sup>2+</sup>1</b>	0.919(32)	1.900(63)	3100	0.097(81)	3.2(7)
<b>Fe<sup>2+</sup>2</b>	1.0648(43)	1.4006(91)	35700	0.1369(54)	37.7(2)
<b>Fe<sup>2+</sup>3</b>	1.120(28)	1.977(12)	28000	0.148(17)	29.0(2)
<b>Fe<sup>2+</sup>4</b>	1.012(33)	1.996(27)	18000	0.136(63)	19.0(2)
<b>Fe<sup>3+</sup></b>	0.188(49)	0.472(85)	7890	0.166(40)	8.35(64)
<b>Fe</b>	/	/	1900	/	2.01(87)

CS—chemical shifts, QS—quadrupole splitting, w+—line width

**Table S2b** Mössbauer parameters of LiFeBO<sub>3</sub> at 70K

	<b>CS</b> (mm/s)	<b>QS</b> (mm/s)	<b>A</b> (counts·mm/s)	<b>w+</b> (mm/s)	<b>Site<sub>population (%)</sub></b>
<b>Fe<sup>2+</sup>1</b>	1.377(12)	2.050(19)	8200	0.098(15)	3.76(68)
<b>Fe<sup>2+</sup>2</b>	1.1735(35)	1.870(21)	60000	0.173(15)	27.8(5)
<b>Fe<sup>2+</sup>3</b>	1.1838(12)	2.7007(43)	95600	0.1462(24)	44.0(2)
<b>Fe<sup>2+</sup>4</b>	1.1856(27)	1.467(17)	34500	0.126(13)	15.9(4)
<b>Fe<sup>3+</sup></b>	0.2731(67)	0.637(14)	14910	0.1181(93)	6.86(30)
<b>Fe</b>	/	/	3700	/	1.72(54)

**Table. S3** Crystallographic data of LiFeBO<sub>3</sub> from room temperature single crystal X-ray diffraction and Selected interatomic distances (Å) and angles (deg.).

Formula	Atom	S.O.F.	x	y	z	B <sub>iso</sub> (Å <sup>2</sup> )
LiFeBO <sub>3</sub>	Li(1)	0.53(5)	0.664(1)	0.508(1)	0.157(2)	1.07
C2/c	Li(2)	0.47(5)	0.661(1)	0.495(1)	0.093(3)	1.18
a = 5.1711(5) Å	Fe	1.0	0.16114(5)	0.33586(3)	0.12456(3)	0.81
b = 8.9103(8) Å	B	1.0	0.1657(3)	0.6687(2)	0.1253(2)	0.56
c = 10.1577(10) Å	O1	1.0	0.4045(2)	0.1616(2)	0.0921(3)	0.70
β = 91.475(4)°	O2	1.0	0.7808(2)	0.3041(2)	0.1576(1)	0.66
V = 467.87(8) Å <sup>3</sup>	O3	1.0	0.3120(3)	0.5404(2)	0.1261(2)	1.51
<b>R[F<sup>2</sup>&gt;2σ(F<sup>2</sup>)]=0.023 wR(F<sup>2</sup>)=0.072</b>						

1Fe 2Li model -- anisotropic thermal parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>)x10<sup>-4</sup>

Atom	U11	U22	U33	U12	U13	U23
Fe	89(2)	99(2)	122(1)	-6(1)	2(1)	7(1)
O3	80(5)	50(5)	441(5)	-27(4)	-47(4)	8(4)

The form of the anisotropic thermal parameters is:

$$\exp[-2\pi^2(h^2a^2U_{11}+k^2b^2U_{22}+l^2c^2U_{33}+2hka^*b^*U_{12}+2hla^*c^*U_{13}+2klb^*c^*U_{23})]$$

BO <sub>3</sub>			
	O1	O2	O3
O1	1.385(2)	120.6(2)	119.8(3)
O2	2.403(2)	1.381(3)	119.5(2)
O3	2.385(2)	2.377(2)	1.371(3)

Li(1)O <sub>4</sub>				
	O1	O2	O3	'Axial' O3
O1	1.969(10)	117.2(3)	117.5(5)	103.0(4)
O2	3.320(2)	1.921(9)	116.7(4)	96.2(4)
O3	3.275(2)	3.221(2)	1.861(8)	100.2(3)
'Axial' O3	3.283(3)	3.090(3)	3.141(3)	2.22(3)

Li(2)O <sub>4</sub>				
	O1	O2	O3	'Axial' O3
O1	1.944(10)	118.2(3)	117.6(6)	92.6(4)
O2	3.320(2)	1.925(14)	115.4(5)	100.5(5)
O3	3.275(2)	3.221(2)	1.886(10)	106.9(4)
'Axial' O3	3.045(3)	3.222(3)	3.334(3)	2.26(4)

FeO <sub>5</sub>					
	O1	O2	O3	Axial O1	Axial O2
O1	2.031(1)	121.9(1)	117.3(1)	85.8(1)	89.8(1)
O2	3.545(2)	2.023(1)	120.8(1)	92.4(1)	85.7(1)
O3	3.428(2)	3.483(2)	1.982(2)	92.8(1)	93.8(1)
Axial O1	2.895(2)	3.064(2)	3.045(3)	2.218(1)	173.3(1)
Axial O2	3.020(2)	2.906(2)	3.090(3)	4.454(2)	2.243(1)

