## **Supplementary Information**

## Binder-Free Metal Fibril-Supported Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Anode for High-

## Performance Lithium-Ion Batteries

Dong Jin Lee,<sup>a,</sup>‡ Jaecheol Choi,<sup>b,</sup>‡, Myung-Hyun Ryou<sup>b</sup>, Chang-Hyeon Kim<sup>c</sup>, Yong Min Lee<sup>b,\*</sup>and Jung-Ki Park<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, 373-1, Guseong-dong, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 305-701, Republic of Korea.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Hanbat National University, Daejeon, 305-719, Republic of Korea.

<sup>c</sup> Shine Co. Ltd., 192-12, Busan, 614-865, Republic of Korea.

Email: jungpark@kaist.ac.kr, yongmin.lee@hanbat.ac.kr

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work

## **Experimental Section**

The fabrication of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/SF was carried out by using RF magnetron sputtering method. The base vacuum pressure of the stainless-steel chamber was 2.0 x 10<sup>-5</sup> Torr, and the working pressure was 7.0 x 10<sup>-3</sup> Torr of Ar (99.999%). The target used was Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (99.99%) and the distance between the target and the substrate was 7 cm. The Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> target was pre-sputtered for 10 min at 200 W to remove the contaminants on the surface before deposition on the SF substrates (Shine co. Ltd., Korea). The deposition was conducted for 20 min at 25 °C on both side of the SF substrate at 200 W. Copper foil was used for comparative study of different substrate structure and deposition conditions are same as the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/SF cases. The surface morphology and cross-sectional image of the samples were examined using field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM, S4800, Hitachi). In order to prepare a cross-sectional specimen of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/SF electrode, it was cut by an argon-ion beam polisher (E3500, Hitachi) at a constant power of 2.1 W (6 kV and 0.35 mA) under vacuum (<  $2.0 \times 10^{-4}$  Pa). The surface chemical composition of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/SF electrodes were investigated by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Thermo VG scientific).

The 2032 coin type half cells were prepared by assembling the electrodes (Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/SF or Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Cu foil), Li metal as counter electrodes, polyethylene separator (Asahi-Kasai) that was soaked with the liquid electrolyte (1 M LiPF<sub>6</sub> in EC/DEC (50/50 by vol%)) containing 5 wt.% of fluoroethylene carbonate (PANAX ETEC) in an Ar filled glove box. The H<sub>2</sub>O content of the electrolyte was less than 10 ppm. The loading density of active material, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, was 0.4 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>. The assembled unit cells were cycled at various constant current rates from 40 mA g<sup>-1</sup> to 16000 mA g<sup>-1</sup> in the potential range of 0.05 - 3.0 V (vs. Li/Li<sup>+</sup>) using a WBCS 3000 battery tester (Wonatech) at 25 °C. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS)

measurements were conducted using the VSP impedance analyzer (Bio-logic SAS) over a frequency range of 0.05 Hz to 1 MHz at an amplitude of 10 mV.



**Figure S1.** A cross-sectional SEM image of pristine stainless steel fibrils (SF) before Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> sputtering.



Figure S2. Cycling performance of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/SF and pristine SF at 0.16 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>.



**Figure S3.** Potential profiles of (a) the  $Fe_2O_3/Cu$  foil and (b) the  $Fe_2O_3/SF$  electrode (the numbers indicate cycle number) at 400 mA g<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure S4. Potential profiles of the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/SF electrode measured at a series of current

rates.



Figure S5. Potential profiles at 8000 mA  $g^{-1}$  of the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/SF electrode

(the numbers indicate cycle number).



**Figure. S6.** Cycling performances of the unit cells using  $Fe_2O_3/SF$  based on different mass loadings of  $Fe_2O_3$ .

Reference	Anode Material s	Rate Capability (mAh/g)	Reversible Capacity (mAh/g)
		~710 @ 4 A/g	
In this work	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /SF	~560 @ 8 A/g	~ 1000 @ 8 A/g after 2000 cvcles
		~420 @ 16 A/g	
#1	α-Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /RGO c omposite	~332 @ 10 A/g	~ 516 @ 3 A/g after 150 cycles
#2	Mesoporous Fe ${}_2O_3$	~424 @ 10 A/g	~ 911 @ 0.2 A/g after 50 cycles
#3	C/α-Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanotube arrays	~250 @ 8 A/g	~ 659 @ 0.1 A/g after 150 cycles
#4	Hierarchical Holl ow Spheres of Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> @PANI	~724 @ 10 A/g	~ 893 @ 0.1 A/g after 100 cycles
#5	a-Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> xerogel	~280 @ 10 A/g	~ 600 @ 5 A/g after 1000 cycles
#6	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -GNS	~633 @ 5 A/g	~ 633 @ 5 A/g after 100 cycles
#7	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /graphene	~420 at 5 A/g	~ 800 at 0.2 A/g after 100 cycles
#8	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanorods on CNFs	~245 at 10 A/g	~ 758 at 0.2 A/g after 50 cycles

**Table S1.** Summary of previous studies on electrochemical performances of various  $Fe_2O_3$  anode materials.

1. J. Qu, Y.-X. Yin, Y.-Q. Wang, Y. Yan, Y.-G. Guo and W.-G. Song, ACS Appl. Mater.

Interfaces, 2013, 5, 3932-3936.

2. X. Xu, R. Cao, S. Jeong and J. Cho, Nano Lett., 2012, 12, 4988-4991.

J. Liu, Y. Li, H. Fan, Z. Zhu, J. Jiang, R. Ding, Y. Hu and X. Huang, *Chem. Mater.*, 2009,
22, 212-217.

- 4. J.-M. Jeong, B. G. Choi, S. C. Lee, K. G. Lee, S.-J. Chang, Y.-K. Han, Y. B. Lee, H. U. Lee,
- S. Kwon, G. Lee, C.-S. Lee and Y. S. Huh, Adv. Mater., 2013, 25, 6250-6255.

5. X. Jia, J. J. Chen, J. H. Xu, Y. N. Shi, Y. Z. Fan, M. S. Zheng and Q. F. Dong, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 7410-7412.

6. Y. Zou, J. Kan and Y. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2011, 115, 20747-20753.

7. M. Zhang, B. Qu, D. Lei, Y. Chen, X. Yu, L. Chen, Q. Li, Y. Wang and T. Wang, J. Mater.

Chem, 2012, 22, 3868-3874.

8. Z. Liu and S. W. Tay, Mater. Lett., 2012, 72, 74-77.