Supplementary information for

## Low-cost flexible poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) based counter electrodes for efficient energy conversion in dye-sensitized solar cells

*Wing Chung Liu, <sup>a</sup> Yeru Liu, <sup>a</sup> James Robert Jennings, <sup>a</sup> Hui Huang <sup>\*b</sup> and Qing Wang <sup>\*a</sup>* 

<sup>a</sup> Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, NUSNNI-Nanocore, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117574. Fax: 65 6776 3604; Tel: 65 6516 7118; E-mail: <u>msewq@nus.edu.sg</u>

<sup>b</sup> Surface Technology Group, Singapore Institute of Manufacturing Technology, 71 Nanyang Drive, Singapore 638075. E-mail: <u>hhuang@simtech.a-star.edu.sg</u>



**Figure S1.** (a) SEM image showing cross sectional view of EP/PEDOT electrode; (b) Thickness profile of composite PEDOT electrode obtained by scanning from PET substrate to compact PEDOT layer to porous EP layer.



**Figure S2.** Plot of sheet resistance versus number of bends. The measurement was performed by repeatedly bending the EP/PEDOT/PET electrode to 90 degrees while measuring the sheet resistance.



**Figure S3.** Electrochemical test of thin layer cells consisting of either one Pt/FTO electrode and one EP/PEDOT/PET electrode or two identical Pt/FTO electrodes, with iodide/triiodide based electrolyte. (a) Cyclic voltammetry measurement showing the comparison of 1<sup>st</sup> and 100<sup>th</sup> cycles for different electrodes; (b) Chronopotentiometry measurement performed at constant current (*i* = -1.68 mA) which is comparable to the short-circuit current obtained at 1 Sun illumination for devices using different counter electrodes. Note that the voltage for the EP/PEDOT/PET electrode is higher than that for the Pt/FTO electrode, due to the higher sheet resistance for the EP/PEDOT/PET electrode, as shown in the main text. In these experiments, the EP/PEDOT/PET electrode was the working electrode, so negative  $V_{\text{bias}}$  (and current) corresponds to reduction of triiodide.