Highly efficient coordination of Hg²⁺ and Pb²⁺ metals in water with squaramide-coated Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles

Kenia A. López, M. Nieves Piña, David Quiñonero, Pablo Ballester and Jeroni Morey*

Supporting Information

Table of contents	Page
1. Characterization	1
2. Removal Procedures	8
3. NMR Titrations	9
4. X-Ray Cristal Structure	11

1. Characterization

1.1 Uncoated Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles characterization:



Figure S1. FTIR of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles.



50 nm Figure S2. TEM micrograph of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles.

1.2 Squaramide compounds characterization:



Figure S3. ¹HNMR of squaramide SQ1.



Figure S4. ¹³CNMR of squaramide SQ1.



Figure S5. HRMS-ESI(+) of squaramide SQ1.



Figure S7. ¹HNMR of squaramide SQ4.



Figure S8. ¹³CNMR of squaramide SQ4.



Figure S9. HRMS-ESI(+) of squaramide SQ4.



Figure S10. FTIR of squaramide SQ4.

1.3 Estimation of number of residues on NP-SQ1:

The estimation of the number of SQ1 residues on the surface of the nanoparticles was carried out using TEM, ICP and Elemental Analysis.

Through the TEM we determined that the diameter of the Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles was 8 nm. Equations 1 and 2 were used to calculate the number of nanoparticles / mol Fe_3O_4 .

$$N = \left(\frac{\pi}{6}\right) \frac{(D)^3 \rho}{MW} \qquad (Eq. 1)$$

 $\frac{1}{N} = \frac{nanoparticles}{mol \ Fe_3O_4} \qquad (Eq.\ 2)$

Where D is the diameter of the nanoparticles expressed in cm, ρ is the density of Fe₃O₄ (5,196 g/cm³) and MW is the molecular weight of Fe₃O₄ (232,5 g/mol). For a diameter of 8 nm we obtained 1.67x10²⁰ nanoparticles/mol Fe₃O₄.

The elemental analysis of the sample NP-SQ1 determined that the % N content was 1.28%. This value was used to calculate the number of SQ1 molecules / g sample. The result obtained for SQ1 was 2.75×10^{20} molecules/g sample.

Finally, with the ICP analysis we determined that the content of Fe in the sample NP-SQ1 was 0.5 mg Fe/ mg sample. This value was used to determine the mol Fe₃O₄ / g sample (0.00298 mol Fe₃O₄/g sample).

With these three values the number of SQ1 residues was estimated to be 550/nanoparticle.

 $\frac{2.75 \times 10^{20} SQ1 \ molec.}{g \ sample} \times \frac{1 \ g \ sample}{0.00298 \ mol \ Fe_3O_4} \times \frac{1 \ mol \ Fe_3O_4}{1.67 \times 10^{20} \ nanopart.} = \frac{552 \ SQ1 \ molec.}{nanopart.}$

2. Removal Procedures

2.1 Hg(II) removal with NP-SQ1, NP-SQ2 and NP-SQ3 at different pHs

5 mg of the functionalized nanoparticles NP-SQ1, NP-SQ2 and NP-SQ3 were respectively suspended in 10 mL of Hg(II) solution (5 ppm) in a 15 mL falcon tube. The suspension was irradiated by ultrasound for one minute. The mixture was stirred for 30 minutes. After this period of time, the nanoparticles were isolated by magnetic filtration. The remaining solutions were filtered with 0.45 μ m Teflon filter. Finally 5 mL of these solutions were mixed with 1 mL of K₂Cr₂O₇ solution (0.5%) and diluted with HNO₃ 2.5% to a total volume of 10 mL to analyze by flow injection-hydride generation the Hg(II) concentration remaining.

2.2 Removal procedure for each metal

In a typical removal procedure 5 mg of the functionalized nanoparticles NP-SQ1 were suspended in 10 mL of a metal solution (5 ppm) in a 15 mL falcon tube. The suspension was irradiated by ultrasound for one minute. The mixture was stirred for 30 minutes. After this period of time, the nanoparticles were isolated by magnetic filtration. The remaining solution was filtered with 0.45 μ m Teflon filter and finally 2 mL of this solution was diluted with HNO₃ 2.5% to a total volume of 10 mL to analyze by ICP the metal concentration remaining.

2.2.1 Calculated solid phase partition coefficient K_d

$$K_d = \frac{C_0 - C_f}{C_f} \times \frac{V}{M} \qquad (Eq. 3)$$

Where C_0 and C_f are the initial and final concentrations of the metal in solution, V is the volume of the solution in mL, and M is the mass of the NP-SQ1 in g.

2.3 Removal procedure using a solution containing each metal

5 mg of the functionalized nanoparticles NP-SQ1 were suspended in 10 mL of the metals solution (5 ppm of Cd(II), Pb(II), Hg(II), Zn(II), Cu(II), Co (II) and Cr(III)) in a 15 mL falcon tube. The suspension was irradiated by ultrasound for one minute. The mixture was stirred for 30 minutes. After this period of time, the nanoparticles were isolated by magnetic filtration. The remaining solution was filtered with 0.45 μ m Teflon filter and finally 2 mL of this solution was diluted with HNO₃ 2.5% to a total volume of 10 mL to analyze by ICP the metals concentration remaining.

3. NMR Titrations

Titrations were carried out at room temperature in CD_3CN solvent. Host concentration (5x10⁻³M) was kept constant. 25 additions of increasing volumes of a Guest solution (0.075M) were added and the NMR spectrum was registered.

For Pb^{2+} titration the whole set of NMR data, corresponding to NH, ArH, and aliphatic protons were fit using HypNMR10 (Protonic Software, version 2008) according to the model in table S1, where β is defined by Eq. 4 and 5.

$$H + G \leftrightarrows HG \quad (Eq. 4) \qquad \qquad \beta_1 = \frac{[HG]}{[H][G]}$$
$$2H + G \leftrightarrows H_2G \quad (Eq. 5) \qquad \qquad \beta_2 = \frac{[H_2G]}{[H]^2[G]}$$



Figure S11. Summary of ¹HNMR titration of SQ4 and Hg^{2+} in CD₃CN. An extension of the 3.7 – 3.9 and the 6.6 - 7.0 zone is presented.



Figure S12. Summary of ¹HNMR titration of SQ4 and Pb^{2+} in CD₃CN. An extension of the 3.7 – 3.9 and the 6.6 - 7.0 zone is presented.



Figure S13. Fitting of the ¹HNMR titration data in CD₃CN at 298K of **SQ4** and Pb(II). Data points represent the experimental chemical shifts (δ) of methoxy protons. Lines are best-fit curves calculated by nonlinear regression.

Table S1. Data obtained from titration between SQ4 and Pb(II).

Complexation	Log β	Н	G	
H + G ≒ HG	3.50 ± 0.06	1	1	refined
$2H + G \leftrightarrows H_2G$	5.80 ± 0.06	2	1	refined

4. X-Ray Cristal Structure



Figure S14. Anion- π and H-bond interactions in the complex unit.