# **Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)**

## Cobalt-based compounds and composites as electrode materials for high-performance electrochemical capacitors

Kian Keat Lee, Wee Shong Chin, Chorng Haur Sow

### List of Tables:

Summary of the detailed experimental conditions (type of current collectors, electrolytes and electrolyte concentrations, potential window, and methods) to study various cobalt-based compounds and composites, and their electrochemical performance (specific capacitance and areal capacitance based on available data of mass loading, cycling life and rate capability).

	Type of electrodes	Page
Table 1.	Cobalt oxide electrodes.	2
Table 2.	Cobalt hydroxide and oxyhydroxide electrodes.	6
Table 3.	Cobalt sulfides.	9
Table 4.	Other cobalt compounds.	10
Table 5.	Cobalt-based LDHs.	11
Table 6.	Cobalt-based binary compounds and heterostructures.	13
Table 7.	Cobalt compounds-carbon nanomaterials composites.	19
Table 8.	Cobalt compounds synthesized on different 3D supports/ current collectors.	23
Table 9	Asymmetric ECs involved cobalt compounds/ composites	23

#### Note:

CV: cyclic voltammetry; GS: galvanostatic charge-discharge measurement; DH: double hydroxides; LDH: layered double hydroxides

<sup>a</sup> Areal capacitance calculated based on mass loading mentioned in the paper; <sup>b</sup> Capacitance retention based on the highest C<sub>m</sub> after cycling activation.

Electrolyte C<sub>m</sub> C<sub>a</sub> Cycle life Rate capability Materials **Current collector** Potential Method  $(\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{cm}^2)$  $(\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{g})$ window (V) (cycling no.) **Chemical precipitation: powdered electrodes**  $^{1}Co_{3}O_{4}$  nanorods Ni grid 2 M KOH 0-0.4 127.5 GS  $Co_3O_4$  nanowires (SCE) 102.5 (1 A/g)Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> LPF nanostructures 202.5  $^{2}Co_{3}O_{4}$  nanoparticles Ni foam 8 M KOH 0-0.6 320 CV -\_ \_ (Hg/HgO) (5 mV/s) $^{3}Co_{3}O_{4}$  nanowires (ref) Ni foil 1 M KOH 86.7 % 68 % 0-0.6 336 GS (Hg/HgO) 232 92.8 % 54 %  $Co_3O_4$  nanowires (mw) (1 A/g)(2000 cyc) (GS 16 A/g) <sup>4</sup>Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> microflowers at 6 M KOH -0.2-0.5 CV Ni foil \_ 300 °C (SCE) 90.6 % 160 (5 mV/s)400 °C 88 (CV 20 mV/s)500 °C 71 574  $^{5}Co_{3}O_{4}$  nanotubes Ni foam 6 M KOH -0.1-0.4 GS 95 % 84.3 % -(SCE) (0.1 A/g)(1000 cyc) (GS 1 A/g) <sup>6</sup>Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> meso-macroporous ITO glass 2 M KOH 453 0-0.45 GS 26.3 % \_ (0.2 A/g)(GS 1 A/g)film (SCE)  $^{7}Co_{3}O_{4}$  nanoparticles (NaOH) ~100 % Ni foam 6 M KOH 0-0.4 293.3 GS -(0.01 A/g)  $Co_3O_4$  nanoparticles (1000 cyc) (Ag/AgCl) 218.6  $(H_2C_2O_4)$  ${}^{8}Co_{3}O_{4}$  mesoporous Ni grid 2 M KOH 0-0.45 93.0 % 370 GS nanoparticles (SCE) (5 mA) (GS 20 mA) Ni gauze 93.5 % <sup>9</sup>Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> mesoporous granules 2 M KOH 0-0.4 427 GS 61 % \_ (1.25 A/g)(GS, 7.5 A/g) (SCE) (1000 cyc) <sup>10</sup>Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> hollow boxes Ni foam 3 % KOH 0-0.5 278 GS (0.5 A/g) 63.3 % \_ (SCE) (GS, 5 A/g)<sup>11</sup>Porous  $Co_3O_4$ CV (2 mV/s)Ni foil 6 M KOH -0.2-0.4 218  $0.48^{a}$ -(SCE) <sup>12</sup>Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> 3D-nanonet hollow Ni foam 6 M KOH 0.1-0.5 739 2.42 <sup>a</sup> GS (1A/g) 90 % 72.1 % (Hg/HgO) (1000 cyc) (GS 15 A/g) structures Hydrothermal synthesis: thin film electrodes  $^{13}Co_3O_4$  nanoflowers 6 M KOH 0-0.34 78.2 % 67.6 % Ni foam 1936.7 GS (SCE) (0.2 A/g)(1000 cyc) (GS 3 A/g)

Table 1. Summary of electrochemical performance of various cobalt oxide electrodes.

$^{14}Co_3O_4$ nanoparticles	Ni sheet	2 M KOH	-0.2-0.4	928	0.473 <sup>a</sup>	GS	93 %	84 %
			(SCE)			(1.2 A/g)	(2200 cyc)	(GS 12 A/g)
$^{15}Co_3O_4$ mesoporous	Ni foam	6 M KOH	0-0.5	1160	3.364 <sup>a</sup>	GS	90.4 %	70.7 %
nanowires			(Hg/HgO)			(2 A/g)	(4700 cyc)	(GS 20 A/g)
$^{16}Co_3O_4$ hollow nanowires	Ni foam	1 M KOH	0-0.55	599	8.985 <sup>a</sup>	GS	91 % (2 A/g,	73.3 %
5 4			(Hg/HgO)			(2  A/g)	7500 cyc)	(GS 40 A/g)
							82 % (10A/g,	× <i>U</i> ,
							7500 cyc)	
$^{17}Co_3O_4$ mesoporous	Ni foam	2 M KOH	0-0.55	754	3.393 <sup>a</sup>	GS	100 %	81 %
nanowires			(Hg/HgO)			(2 A/g)	(4000 cyc)	(GS 40 A/g)
<sup>18</sup> Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanosheet @	Ni foam	1 M KOH	0-0.44	715	5.44 <sup>a</sup>	GS	100 %	69 %
nanowire arrays			(SCE)			$(5 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$	(1000 cyc)	
$Co_3O_4$ nanosheet arrays				390				58 %
$Co_3O_4$ nanowire arrays				751				42 %
_								$(GS 30 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$
<sup>19</sup> Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> hierarchical porous	Ni foil	2 M KOH	0-0.55	352	0.282 <sup>a</sup>	GS	~100 %	82.7 %
film			(Hg/HgO)			(2 A/g)		
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanoflakes				325	0.260 <sup>a</sup>		~100 %	66.8 %
							(2500 cyc)	(GS 40 A/g)
<sup>20</sup> Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> porous nanowires	Ni foam	6 M KOH	-0.1-0.34	1019.5	1.509 <sup>a</sup>	GS	95 %	45.7 %
			(SCE)			$(5 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$	(1000 cyc)	$(GS 50 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$
$^{21}$ Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanosheets (NS)	Ni foam	2 M KOH	0-0.45	354	2.29	GS	100 %	-
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> ultrathin NS-urea			(SCE)	1081	3.48	$(5 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$	90 %	54.0 %
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> ultrathin NS-HMT				1782	4.90		90 %	51.2 %
							(2000 cyc)	$(GS 30 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$
<sup>22</sup> Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /C core-shell	Ni foam	2 M KOH		116	-	GS (4 A/g)	-	82.8 %
nanowires			(Hg/HgO)	mAh/g				(GS 20 A/g)
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanowires				81	-		-	72.8 %
				mAh/g				
Hydrothermal synthesis: po	wdered electrodes					-		
$^{23}$ Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanosheets	Ni grid	3 M KOH	0-0.6	92	-	GS	-	93 %
(85 %)			(SCE)			$(5 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$		$(GS 20 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$
<sup>24</sup> Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> porous nanorods	Pt foil	2 M KOH	-0.25-0.55	281	-	CV	-	-
(63.5 %)			(SCE)			(5 mV/s)		
<sup>25</sup> Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> porous nanorods	Ni mesh	6 M KOH	0-0.4	456	2.28 <sup>a</sup>	GS	-	-
(70 %)			(Ag/AgCl)			(1 A/g)		
$^{26}$ Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanoneedles	GCE	2 M KOH	0-0.5	111	-	GS	88.2 %	-
$Co_3O_4$ nanoleaves			-0.15-0.45	44		$(2.5 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$	86.9 %	
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> microparticles			-0.15-0.45	62			80 %	
(80 %)							(1000 cyc)	
$^{2}$ Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> long nanowires	Ni foam		-0.1-0.6	260	-	GS	98 %	65.8 %

(80.%)			(SCE)			$(2 \Lambda/\alpha)$	(2000  ave)	$(CS 15 \Lambda/a)$		
$\frac{28}{28}$ Co O subsc	Nimash	6 M VOU		2407		(2  A/g)	(2000 Cyc)	(US 15 A/g)		
$CO_3O_4$ cubes	ini mesn	0 M KOH	0-0.45 (SCE)	348.7	-	$(\nabla V)$	-	-		
(80%)	NI' C. '1		(SCE)	<i>c</i> 0 <i>4</i>	0.018	(5 mV/s)		50.4.0/		
$^{27}\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$ ultralayers	N1 f01l	I M KOH	-0.05-0.45	604	0.604 "	GS	-	59.4 %		
(80%)			(Hg/HgO)			(4 A/g)		(GS 32 A/g)		
$^{50}\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$ superstructures	Ni grid	3 M KOH	-0.1-0.45	614	-	GS	77 %	87.3 %		
(83.33 %)			(SCE)			(1 A/g)	(5000 cyc)	(GS, 4 A/g)		
$^{31}$ Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanosheets	graphite	2 M KOH	-0.1-0.5	176.8	-	GS	-	88.2 %		
			(Ag/AgCl)			(1 A/g)		(GS, 10 A/g)		
<sup>32</sup> CoO crystallites	Ni grid	2 M KOH	0-0.5	88	-	CV	-	-		
			(SCE)			(10 mV/s)				
$^{33}$ Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanowires	Ni foam	3 M KOH	0-0.47	163	-	GS	80 %	73 %		
			(Hg/HgO)			(1 A/g)	(1000 cyc)	(GS, 4 A/g)		
$^{34}$ Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> twin spheres with	Ni foam	6 M KOH	-0.05-0.35	781	-	GS	97.8 %	78.2 %		
urchin-like structures			(SCE)			(0.5  A/g)	(1000 cvc)	(GS, 8 A/g)		
$^{35}Co_2O_4$ nanowalls	Ni foam	6 M KOH	-0.05-0.35	997	-	GS	92.3 %	76.1 %		
	1.1.10000	0 111 11011	(SCE)			(0.5  A/g)	(1000  cvc)	(GS, 8 A/g)		
Sol-gel synthesis: nowdered electrodes										
$^{36}Co(OH)$ , verogel	Ni gauze	1 M KOH	0-0.45	291	1 222 <sup>a</sup>	GS (2.26				
Co-O, verogel	111 gauze		(SCF)	221	1.222 1.002 a	$m\Delta/cm^2$	-	-		
$^{37}$ Co O corregol (200 °C)	graphita	1 M NoOH	0.22.0.52	622	0.622 a		06.04	72.0/ (CV 50 mV/s		
$Co_3O_4$ aeroger (200°C)	graphite		0.23 - 0.33	025	0.023	(25  mV/s)	90 % (1000 ava)	75 % (CV 50 IIIV/S		
$Co_3O_4$ aerogel (300°C)			(Ag/AgCI)	239	0.239	(23  mv/s)	(1000 cyc)	compared to $S$		
$Co_3O_4$ aeroger (400 °C)				1/4	0.174			mv/s)		
<sup>38</sup> Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> cryogel	Ni foam	2 M KOH	-0.05-0.45	742.3	1.485 <sup>a</sup>	GS	86.2 %	54.4 %		
			(Ag/AgCl)			(0.5 A/g)	(2000 cyc)	(GS 20 A/g)		
Chemical bath deposition: th	nin film electrodes				•					
<sup>39</sup> Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanowires	Ni foam	6 M KOH	0-0.35	746	11.936 <sup>a</sup>	GS	86 %	76.1 %		
			(SCE)			$(5 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$	(500 cvc)	$(GS 30 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$		
$^{40}$ Co <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanowires	Ti	1 M KOH	-0.4-0.4	922	_	GS	95 %	54.2 %		
Ag coated- $Co_2O_4$ nanowires		1	(SCE)	1006		$(2, A/\sigma)$	95 %	83.3 %		
			(502)	1000		(211/8)	(5000 cvc)	(GS 10 A/g)		
$^{41}$ Co <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> thin film	Cu	1.5 M KOH	-0.4-0.5	118	0.051 <sup>a</sup>	CV	-	(0010115)		
	Cu	1.5 W KOII	(SCE)	110	0.051	(50  mV/s)				
$^{42}Co_2O_4$ thin film	ITO glass	2 M KOH	0-0.45	227	-	GS	65 %	67.0 %		
00304 unit film	II O glubb	2 10 11011	(SCE)	227		(0.2, A/g)	(1000  cvc)	(GS 1 4 A/g)		
$^{43}$ Co <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> nanonets	Ni foam	1 M NaOH	-0.2-0.6	1090	1 526 <sup>a</sup>	CV	(1000 cjc)	~ 68 %		
	111100111	1 101 100011	(Hg/HgO)	1070	1.520	(10  mV/s)		(CV 50  mV/s)		
Flactrachamical danasition	thin film alastrodes		(11g/11g())	1		(10 11 ¥/5)				
<sup>44, 45</sup> Co O thin film	An foil	2t0/ KOU	0.25 to 0.05	70		CV				
$CO_3O_4$ unin mim	AU IOII	3 WI% KUH	-0.55 to -0.05	19	1 -		-	-		

			0-0.5	164		(20  mV/s)		
			(SCE)	101		(20 m (75)		
<sup>46</sup> Co <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -CTAB	ITO glass	1 M NaOH	0-0.45	491	_	GS	_	-
$Co_3O_4$ -SDS	110 gruss	1 10 1 10011	(Ag/AgCl)	373		(1  A/g)		
$Co_3O_4$ -without surfactant			× 8 8-7	255				
$^{47}$ Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanowalls	Ni foam	1 M KOH	0-0.55	325	0.520 <sup>a</sup>	GS	-	76.0 %
$Co_3O_4$ dense film			(Hg/HgO)	230	0.414 <sup>a</sup>	(2 A/g)		72.6 %
5.						ζ <i>ζ,</i>		(40 A/g)
<sup>48</sup> Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanoplates	Ni foam	1 M KOH	-0.2-0.5	465.5	-	CV	96.5 %	63.2 %
(scrapped from stainless			(Ag/AgCl)			(5 mV/s)	(500 cyc)	(50 mV/s)
steel)							•	
<sup>49</sup> Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> monolayer hollow-	Ni foil	1 M KOH	0-0.55	358	0.179 <sup>a</sup>	GS	~100 %	85.2 %
sphere arrays			(Hg/HgO)			(2 A/g)	(4000 cyc)	(40 A/g)
<sup>50</sup> Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> porous nanowalls	Ni foam	1 M KOH	0-0.55	443	-	GS	94.3 %	75.4 %
			(Hg/HgO)			(2 A/g)	(3000 cyc)	(40 A/g)
<sup>51</sup> Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> mesoporous	Ni foam	2 M KOH	0-0.45	2735	3.829 <sup>a</sup>	GS	~99 %	53.8 %
nanosheets			(SCE)			(2 A/g)	(3000 cyc)	(10 A/g)
Spray deposition: thin film e	electrodes							
$^{52}$ Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> thin film	FTO glass	2 M KOH	0-0.6	74	0.032 <sup>a</sup>	CV	-	-
	-		(SCE)			(5 mV/s)		
<sup>53</sup> Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanostructured thin	Stainless steel	6 M KOH	0-0.35	~250	-	GS	72.2 %	~60 %
film			(Ag/AgCl)			(~0.1 A/g)	(1000 cyc)	(GS ~4 A/g)
Other methods								
<sup>54</sup> Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nano/micro	Ni grid	6 M KOH	0-0.35	208	-	GS	97 %	-
superstructures			(SCE)			(1 A/g)	(1000 cyc)	

<b>Table 2.</b> Summary of electrochemical performance of various cobalt hydroxide and oxyhydroxid
--

Materials	Current collector	Electrolyte	Potential window (V)	$C_{\rm m}$	$C_a (F/cm^2)$	Method	Cycle life	Rate capability
Chemical precipitation: pow	dered electrodes		willdow (v)	( <b>r</b> /g)			(cyching no.)	
$^{55}\alpha$ -Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> mesoporous particles	Ni grid	1 M KOH	-0.15-0.45 (SCE)	341	2.558 <sup>a</sup>	GS (5 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	81 % (700 cyc)	-
<sup>56</sup> β-Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanowhiskers	Ni grid	2 M KOH	-0.15-0.5 (SCE)	325	4.875 <sup>a</sup>	GS (20 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> or 1.33 A/g)	93 % (1000 cyc)	85.8 % (80 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> or 5.33 A/g)
$^{57}\beta$ -Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> sheets	Ni foam	1 M KOH	-0.15-0.45 (SCE)	416.7	1.063 <sup>a</sup>	GS (5 mA)	78 % (500 cyc)	-
$ \begin{array}{c} {}^{58}\alpha\text{-Co(OH)_2-Cl} \\ \alpha\text{-Co(OH)_2-NO_3}^- \\ \alpha\text{-Co(OH)_2-CH_3COOH}^- \\ \alpha\text{-Co(OH)_2-SO_4}^{2-} \end{array} $	Ni foam	6 M KOH	-0.1-0.4 (Hg/HgO)	697 638 526 420	-	GS (1 A/g)	73 % 56 % 57 % 48 % (100 cvc)	-
α-Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> -benzoate α-Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> -DS	Ni foam	2 M KOH	0-0.45 (SCE)	852 1055	0.852- 1.704 <sup>a</sup> 1.055- 2.110 <sup>a</sup>	GS (1 A/g)	72 % 95 %	16.8 % 39.8 %
α-Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> -NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> (nanocones)				630	0.630- 1.260 <sup>a</sup>		81 % (2000 cyc)	11.4 % (10 A/g)
$59$ $\alpha$ -Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanoflakes	Ni gauze	2 M KOH	-0.2-0.4 (SCE)	735	5.88 <sup>a</sup>	$\frac{\text{GS}}{(5 \text{ mA/cm}^2)}$	-	82.9 % (30 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )
$^{60}\beta$ -Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> triangle taper Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> mesoporous triangle taper	Ni foam	2 M KOH	-0.3-0.5 -0.2-0.5 (SCE)	137.6 91.5	~0.894 <sup>a</sup> ~0.595 <sup>a</sup>	CV (5 mV/s)	-	71.2 % 77.5 % (CV 50 mV/s)
<sup>61</sup> Co/Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> core-shell structure (thin film electrodes)	Co foam (the core)	2 M KOH	0-0.5 (Ag/AgCl)	525	-	GS (0.5 A/g)	81. 5 % (2000 cyc)	-
Hydrothermal synthesis: pov	wdered and thin film	electrodes	•		-	-	•	T
$^{02}\alpha$ -Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanoflakes	Ni grid	2 M KOH	0-0.5 (Ag/AgCl)	248	1.240 ª	GS (0.5 A/g)	-	79.4 % (GS 2 A/g)
$^{63}\beta$ -Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> urchin-like arrays of nanowires	Ni grid	3 M KOH	-0.3-0.45 (SCE)	421	3.158 <sup>a</sup>	GS      (10 mA/cm2 or      1.33 A/g)	96.4 % (1000 cyc)	87.9 % (40 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> or 5.33 A/g)
$^{64}\beta$ -Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> urchin-like arrays of nanobelts				434	3.255 <sup>a</sup>	$\begin{bmatrix} GS \\ (10 \text{ mA/cm}^2 \text{ or} \end{bmatrix}$	92.1 % (1500 cyc)	84.1 % (40 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> or 5.33

$^{65}\alpha$ -Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> mesocrystal nanosheets				506	3.795 <sup>a</sup>	1.33 A/g) GS (10 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> or 1.33 A/g)	97.0 % (2000 cyc)	A/g) 84.4 % (40 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> or 5.33 A/g)		
<sup>66</sup> α-Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> long nanowire arrays (thin film electrode)	Graphite	2 M KOH	-0.1-0.45 (SCE)	642.5	0.643 <sup>a</sup>	GS (1 A/g)	~100 % (5000 cyc)	51.5 % (20 A/g)		
$^{67}\beta$ -Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanocone arrays	Ni foam	2 M KOH	0-0.5 (Hg/HgO)	562	1.967 <sup>a</sup>	GS (2 A/g)	88 % (3000 cyc)	67.1 % (32 A/g)		
Electrochemical deposition: thin film electrodes										
$^{68}\alpha$ -Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanosheets	Stainless steel	1 M KOH	0-0.4 (Ag/AgCl)	881	0.705 <sup>a</sup>	GS (1 A/g)	91 % (2000 cyc)	87.6 % (10 A/g)		
$^{69, 70}$ $\alpha$ -Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> mesoporous nanosheets	Ti plate	2 M KOH	-0.1-0.45 (SCE)	1084	-	GS (4 A/g)	95.1 % (500 cvc)	67.1 % (48 A/g)		
$\alpha$ -Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanosheets				370	-		-	-		
$^{71}\alpha$ -Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> mesoporous nanosheets	Ni foam	2 М КОН	-0.1-0.45 (SCE)	2646	-	GS (4 A/g)	96.1 % (300 cyc)	85.9 % (48 A/g)		
$^{72}\beta$ -Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanoflakes	Ni foam	5.5 M KOH	-0.05-0.5 (Hg/HgO)	3254.5	1.627 <sup>a</sup>	GS (5 A/g)	34 % (300 cyc)	73.1 % (60 A/g)		
<sup>73</sup> Amorphous Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanosheets	Stainless steel	1 M NaOH 0.1 M NaOH 0.05 M NaOH	0-0.60 0-0.75 0-0.85 (Hg/HgO)	487 390 375	0.487 <sup>a</sup> 0.390 <sup>a</sup> 0.375 <sup>a</sup>	GS (10 A/g)	-	-		
<sup>74</sup> Amorphous Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanoflakes	Stainless steel mesh	1 M KOH	~0-0.42 (SCE)	534 (at 0.62 mg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	0.331 <sup>a</sup> (at 0.62 mg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	GS (0.1 mA/g)	81 % (3000 cyc)	85 % (from 0.714 to 7.143 A/g)		
$^{75}$ Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanoflakes (water- ethanol) Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanoflakes (water)	Ni foam	2 M KOH	0-0.4 (SCE)	2369 1035	-	GS (2 A/g)	91 % (350 cyc)	40.5 (32 A/g)		
<sup>/6</sup> α-Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanosheets with 0 % NMP with 10 % NMP with 20 % NMP with 30 % NMP	Stainless steel	1 M KOH	-0.1-0.45 (Ag/AgCl)	473 571 651 473	$\begin{array}{c} 0.350\ ^{a}\\ 0.423\ ^{a}\\ 0.482\ ^{a}\\ 0.350\ ^{a} \end{array}$	GS (2 A/g)	- - 76 % (500 cyc)	- - 75.2 % (CV 50 mV/s to 5 mV/s)		
$^{77}\alpha$ -Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanosheets	Ni foam	1 M KOH	-0.1-0.45 (SCE)	1473	-	GS (2 A/g)	88 % (1000 cyc)	67.0 % (GS 32 A/g)		
<sup>78</sup> Co compound nanowires	ITO glass	0.1 M LiOH	0.05-0.55	420	-	GS	~100%	-		

			(SCE)			$(0.5 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$	(1000 cyc)		
$^{79}\alpha$ -Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> mesoporous	Ti/Si	1 M KOH	-0.1-0.5	993	-	GS	-	81.5 %	
nanosheets			(Ag/AgCl)			(1 A/g)		(GS 20 A/g)	
<sup>80</sup> CoOOH nanoflakes	Ni foil	1 M KOH	-0.15-0.4	200	-	CV	-	79.1 %	
			(SCE)			(10 mV/s)		(CV 250 mV/s)	
								63.6 %	
								(CV 500 mV/s)	
<sup>81</sup> CoOOH nanoflakes	stainless steel	1 M KOH	0.2-0.45	449	-	CV	56 %	-	
			(SCE)			(5 mV/s)	(10000 cyc)		
Chemical bath deposition: thin film electrodes									
<sup>82</sup> Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanorods	Ni foam	1 M KOH	-0.2-0.5	1116	-	GS (2 A/g)	-	37.8 %	
			(Ag/AgCl)					(10 A/g)	

Materials	Current collector	Electrolyte	Potential window (V)	$C_{\rm m}$	$C_a$ (F/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Method	Cycle life	Rate capability
$^{83}$ CoS <sub>x</sub> amorphous particles	Ni gauze	6 M KOH	-0.3-0.35 (SCE)	475	6.65	GS (5 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	91 % (100 cvc)	77.6 % (GS 50 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )
<sup>84</sup> CoS nanowires	Ni foam	3 М КОН	-0.3-0.4 (SCE)	508	-	$\frac{\text{GS}}{(2.5 \text{ mA/cm}^2)}$	81.2 % (500 cyc)	74.2 % (GS 20 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )
<sup>85</sup> CoS <sub>x</sub> amorphous nanoparticles	Ni foam	2 M KOH	-0.30-0.45 (SCE)	910	-	GS (0.4 A/g)	-	71 % (GS 4 A/g)
<sup>86</sup> CoS spheres	Ni foil	2 M KOH	-0.2-0.55 (Hg/HgO)	363	-	CV (5 mV/s)	67 % (300 cyc)	87 % (CV 50 mV/s)
<sup>87</sup> CoS flowers	Ni foam	6 M KOH	-0.7-0.7 (SCE)	389	-	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GS} \\ \text{(5 mA/cm}^2) \end{array}$	-	71.2 % (GS 50 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )
<sup>88</sup> Co <sub>1-x</sub> S hierarchical microtubes	Ni foam	6 M KOH	-0.3-0.35 (SCE)	201	-	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GS} \\ \text{(5 mA/cm}^2) \end{array}$	-	-
<sup>89</sup> CoS hollow nanosheets	FTO substrate	1 M KOH	0-0.47 (SCE)	138	1.35	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GS} \\ (2 \text{ mA/cm}^2) \end{array}$	-	-
<sup>90</sup> CoS <sub>1.097</sub> hierarchical flowers	Ni foam	2 M KOH	0-0.5 (SCE)	555	-	$\frac{\text{GS}}{(5 \text{ mA/cm}^2)}$	98 % (2500 cyc)	83.6 % (GS 200 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )
<sup>91</sup> CoS <sub>2</sub> ellipsoids	Ni foam	2 M KOH	-0.1-0.4 (SCE)	1040	-	GS (0.5 A/g)	66 % (5 A/g) 44 % (2.5 A/g) (1000 cyc)	72.1 % (5 A/g)
<sup>92</sup> CoS nanosheets	Ni foam	1 M KOH	-0.1-0.45 (Ag/AgCl)	1471	0.588 <sup>a</sup>	GS (4 A/g)	~100 % (1000 cyc)	88.8 % (40 A/g)

**Table 3.** Summary of electrochemical performance of various <u>cobalt sulfides</u>.

Materials	Current collector	Electrolyte	Potential	C <sub>m</sub>	Ca	Method	Cycle life	Rate capability
			window (V)	( <b>F</b> /g)	$(\mathbf{F/cm}^2)$		(cycling no.)	
<sup>93</sup> Co-MOF film	ITO glass	1 M LiOH	0-0.5	206.76	-	GS	98.5	-
			(Ag/AgCl)			(0.6 A/g)	(1000 cyc)	
$^{94}$ Na <sub>0.6</sub> CoO <sub>2</sub> .yH <sub>2</sub> O	glassy carbon	10 M NaOH	-0.45-0.28	440	-	CV	-	-
Li <sub>0.5</sub> CoO <sub>2</sub>			(Ag/AgCl)			(20 mV/s)		
<sup>95</sup> Cobalt carbonate hydroxide	Ni foam	2 M NaOH	0-0.45	1075	8.6	GS	92 %	72.4 %
Co-Al-CO <sub>3</sub> LDH			(SCE)			$(5 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$	(2000 cyc)	
				387	4.06 <sup>a</sup>		-	56.8 %
								$(GS, 50 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$
<sup>96</sup> NH <sub>4</sub> CoPO <sub>4</sub> .H <sub>2</sub> O	Ni foam	3 M KOH	0-0.4	369.4	-	GS (0.625	99.7 % (400	-
			(SCE)			A/g)	cyc)	
$^{97}Co_{11}(HPO_3)_8(OH)_6$	Ni foam	3 M KOH	0-0.6	312	-	GS	89.4 %	63.5 %
nanoribbons			(SCE)			(1.25 A/g)	(3000 cyc)	(GS, 12.5 A/g)

<b>Table 4.</b> Summary of electrochemical performance of other cobalt compound
---

 Table 5. Summary of electrochemical performance of various cobalt-based LDHs.

Materials	Current	Electrolyte	Potential	C <sub>m</sub>	Ca	Method	Cycle life	Rate capability
	collector		window (V)	( <b>F</b> / <b>g</b> )	$(\mathbf{F/cm}^2)$		(cycling no.)	
<sup>98</sup> Co/Ni-Al LDHs	Ni grid	6 M KOH	0-0.5	960	19.2 <sup>a</sup>	GS	-	-
	_		(Hg/HgO)			(0.4 A/g)		
<sup>99</sup> Co/Ni-Al LDHs/TiO <sub>2</sub>				1053	5.265 <sup>a</sup>	GS	-	-
nanotubes						$(5 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$		
<sup>100</sup> Co-Al LDH (BA)	Ni foam	1 M KOH	-0.2-0.55	212.2	-	GS	86.1 % (1000)	74.4 %
Co-Al LDO			(SCE)	199.9	-	(0.25 A/g)	93.5 % (781)	74.7 %
Co-Al LDH (OH)				190.9	-		100 % (1000)	69.3 %
101								(2 A/g)
<sup>101</sup> Co-Al LDHs (OH)	Ni foam	1 M LiOH	-0.2-0.5	322	-	GS	69.8 %	82.9 %
102			(SCE)			(0.5 A/g)	(1000 cyc)	(5 A/g)
<sup>102</sup> Co-Al LDHs	Ni foam	1 M KOH	-0.1-0.5	226	-	GS	-	-
		1  M KOH + 0.1	(SCE)	712	-	(2 A/g)	67.0 % (200)	-
		$M K_3 Fe(CN)_6$						
		1  M KOH + 0.1		317	-		95.9 % (200)	-
103		$M K_4 Fe(CN)_6$						
<sup>105</sup> Co-Al LDHs	Ni foam	1 M KOH	-0.1-0.5	447	-	GS	99 %	-
Co-In LDHs			-0.2-0.5	159	-	(1 A/g)	99 %	-
Co-Cr-LDHs			-0.1-0.5	78	-		96 %	-
104			(SCE)					
<sup>104</sup> Co-Al LDHs	Ni foam	6 M KOH	-0.15-0.55	684	13.68 <sup>a</sup>	GS	80 %	-
105			(Hg/HgO)		_	(60 mA/g)	(1000 cyc)	
<sup>103</sup> Co-Al LDHs	ITO glass	1 M NaOH	0-0.55	667	-	GS	93 %	94.5 %
106	(pretreated)		(Hg/HgO)			(25 µA/g)	(3000 cyc)	(GS 250 µA/g)
<sup>100</sup> Co <sub>0.75</sub> -Al <sub>0.25</sub> LDHs				833			95 %	-
107							(2000 cyc)	
$^{107}$ Co <sub>0.72</sub> Ni <sub>0.28</sub> LDHs	stainless steel	1 M KOH	0-0.4	2104	0.438 ª	GS	-	-
Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub>			(Ag/AgCl)	323	0.067 "	(1 A/g)	-	-
$Co(OH)_2$				860	0.179 "		-	-
<sup>109</sup> Co-Al LDHs				843	0.176 "		-	-
<sup>105</sup> CoNiAl LDHs				1263	1.053		87%	-
			0.0.7	1000			(1000 cyc)	0.6.004
Co-N1 LDHs	N1 foam	6 M KOH	0-0.5	1809	-	GS	90.2 %	86.2%
$Co(OH)_2$			(Hg/HgO)	638		(1 A/g)	(1000 cyc)	(GS 10A/g)
N1(OH) <sub>2</sub>				1399				
<sup>····</sup> Zn-Co LDHs	ITO glass	3 M KOH	-0.1-0.45	~160-	-	GS	-	-
			(SCE)	170		(1 A/g)		

<sup>112</sup> Co(OH) <sub>2</sub>	graphite	1 M KOH	0-0.4	490	-	GS	98.7 %	-
Co <sub>0.75</sub> -Ni <sub>0.25</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub>			(Ag/AgCl)	1100		(10 A/g)	(100 cyc)	
Co <sub>0.5</sub> -Ni <sub>0.5</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub>				1580				
Co <sub>0.25</sub> -Ni <sub>0.75</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub>				1400				
Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub>				740				
$^{113}Ni_{0.25}Co_{0.75}(OH)_2$	Ni foam	2 M KOH	-0.1-0.4	928.4	9.59	GS (5	82-85 %	81.1 %
nanowire@nanoplatelet array			(SCE)			$mA/cm^2$ )	(1000 cyc)	$(50 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$
<sup>114</sup> Co-Al LDHs	Pt plate	0.1 M NaOH	0-0.6	400	-	CV	-	-
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -CoO precursor			(Hg/HgO)	250		(5 mV/s)		
<sup>115</sup> Co <sup>II</sup> Co <sup>III</sup> -CO <sub>3</sub> LDHs	Pt $(0.07 \text{ cm}^2)$	0.1 M KOH	0-0.45	335	-	GS (0.5 A/g)	-	59.7 %
			(SCE)					(GS 5 A/g)
Co <sub>2</sub> Al-CO <sub>3</sub> LDHs				265	-		-	45.3 %
<sup>116</sup> Ni-Co LDH	Ni foam	1 M KOH	0-0.5	2682	-	GS (3 A/g)	-	63.6 %
			(SCE)					(GS 20 A/g)

Materials	Current collector	Electrolyte	Potential window (V)	$C_{\rm m}$ (F/g)	$C_a$ (F/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Method	Cycle life	Rate capability
$^{117}(\text{Co-Ni})(\text{OH})_2 \text{ nH}_2\text{O}$	granhite	1 M NaOH	0.1-0.42	700	$0.301^{a}$	GS	-	
	Stupinte	1 101 100011	(Ag/AgCl)	100	0.501	(0.6  A/g)		
<sup>118</sup> Ni-Co DH microspheres	Ni foam	6 M KOH	0-0.4	2275	-	GS	92.9 %	44 %
		0 10 11011	(SCE)			(1  A/g)	(5000  cvc)	(GS 25A/g)
$^{119}CO_{3}O_{4}/Ni(OH)_{2}$	Ti plate	1 M NaOH	0.04-0.52	1144	0.342 <sup>a</sup>	CV	93.4 %	66 %
$Co(OH)_2/Ni(OH)_2$			(Ag/AgCl)	823	0.412 <sup>a</sup>	(5  mV/s)	63.2 %	(CV 100  mV/s)
Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub>			(88)	113	0.057 <sup>a</sup>	(0	-	-
$Co_3O_4$				161	0.081 <sup>a</sup>		-	-
<sup>120</sup> Co-Ni/Co-Ni oxides	stainless steel	1 M KOH	-0.7-0	331	0.046 <sup>a</sup>	GS	-	-
			(Ag/AgCl)			(1 A/g)		
<sup>121</sup> NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> aerogel	graphite	1 M NaOH	0.04-0.52	1400	0.56 <sup>a</sup>	CV	91 %	-
2 4 0	0 1		(Ag/AgCl)			(25 mV/s)	(2000 cyc)	
$^{122}$ Co-Ni oxides (1:2)	Ni foam	1 M KOH	0-0.4	1539	7.695 <sup>a</sup>	GS	47 %	73.8 %
Co-Ni oxides (1:1)			(SCE)	1410		(1 A/g)	(2000 cyc)	(GS 5 A/g)
Co-Ni oxides (1:4)			× ,	1060		× <i>U</i> /	× 5 /	× <i>8</i> /
Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub> /NiO				1289				
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>				361				
<sup>123</sup> NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (submicron)	Ni mesh	1 M KOH	0-0.45	217	1.215 <sup>a</sup>	GS	96.3 %	86.8 %
$NiCo_2O_4$ (nanoparticles)			(SCE)	188	1.053 <sup>a</sup>	$(1 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$	85.1 %	$(20 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$
$NiCo_2O_4$ (coral-like)			<b>`</b>	103	0.577 <sup>a</sup>	· · · · · ·	83.5 %	-
2							(600 cyc)	
<sup>124</sup> Ni-Co oxide	Ni foam	6 M KOH	-0.1-0.4	286.9	-	GS	-	-
			(SCE)			(0.2 A/g)		
<sup>125</sup> Ni-Co oxide porous	Ni foam	2 M KOH	0-0.55	867.3 <sup>α</sup>	-	GS	93.5 %	92.3 %
nanoflakes			(Hg/HgO)			(1 A/g)		(GS 10 A/g)
				<sup>α</sup> 1550 a	after activati	on		
$^{126}Co_{0.56}Ni_{0.44}$ oxide	Ni gauze	2 M KOH	0-0.4	1227	9.816 <sup>a</sup>	GS	-	-
nanoflakes			(SCE)			(0.625 A/g)		
<sup>127</sup> NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	Ni mesh	1 M NaOH	0-0.45	671	0.403 <sup>a</sup>	GS	98 %	-
			(SCE)			(1 A/g)	(2500 cyc)	
<sup>128</sup> NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> mesoporous	graphite paper	1 M KOH	-0.05-0.45	743	-	GS	93.8 %	78.6 %
nanowires			(Ag/AgCl)			(1 A/g)	(3000 cyc)	(GS 40 A/g)
$^{129}$ NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (Ni/Co = 1)	Ni foam	6 M KOH	0-0.5	722	0.722	GS	80 %	79 %
$NiCo_2O_4$ (Ni/Co = 2)			(Hg/HgO)	760	0.760	(1 A/g)	81 %	70 %
							(3000 cyc)	
<sup>130</sup> NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	graphite paper	1 M KOH	-0.1-0.5	658	0.658 <sup>a</sup>	GS	98.43 %	80.5 %

Table 6. Summary of electrochemical performance of <u>cobalt-based binary compounds and heterostructures</u>.

Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>			(Ag/AgCl)	60 194	$0.060^{a}$	(1 A/g)	96.67 % 92.11 %	51.7 % 78 4 %
NO				174	0.194		(1000  cvc)	(GS. 10 A/g)
<sup>131</sup> Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> /Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub> (3:2) Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub> Co(OH) <sub>2</sub>	Ni foam	1 M LiOH	-0.3-0.55 (SCE)	~270 ~380 ~100		GS (0.5 A)	88.2 % 41.6 % 47.4 % (1000 cvc)	-
$^{132}$ NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanorods NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanoflakes $^{133}$ NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanorods	ITO glass stainless steel	2 M KOH 1 M KOH	0-0.5 (Ag/AgCl) 0-0.45 (Ag/AgCl)	490 330 456	$\begin{array}{c} 0.147 \\ 0.099 \\ ^{a} \\ 0.137 \\ ^{a} \end{array}$	CV (20 mV/s) CV (20 mV/s)	93 % (1000 cyc) 91 % (1000 cyc)	- - 70 % (CV, 200 mV/s)
<sup>134</sup> Co-Ni hydroxides Co hydroxide Ni hydroxide	stainless steel	2 M KOH	0-0.4 (SCE)	672 354 425	-	CV (5 mV/s)	87 % 78 % 82 % (1000 cyc)	57.6 % (CV 100 mV/s) -
$^{135}$ NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> ultralayered mesoporous nanowires Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> NiO	Ni foam	6 M KOH	-0.1-0.35 (SCE)	401 70 258	3.4	GS (1 A/g)	90 % (5000 cyc)	75.1 % (GS, 8 A/g)
<sup>136</sup> NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> porous nanosheets	FTO glass	1 M KOH	0-0.5 (SCE)	506	-	GS (1 A/g)	94 % (2000 cyc)	40 % (GS, 10 A/g)
<sup>137</sup> Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> /Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub> Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub>	Ni foam	6 M KOH + 15g/L LiOH	0.1-0.56 (Hg/HgO)	2193 1914	5.483 <sup>a</sup> 4.785 <sup>a</sup>	GS (2 A/g)	84.7 % 69.1 % (1000 cyc)	63.3 % 45.7 % (GS, 20 A/g)
$^{138}Ni_{0.37}Co_{0.63}(OH)_2$	glassy carbon	1 M NaOH + 0.5 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	0-1.5 (RHE)	1840	0.017 <sup>a</sup>	CV (1 mV/s)	99.2 % (500 cyc)	48.4 % (CV, 50 mV/s)
<sup>139</sup> NiO/NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> composite	Ni foam	2 M KOH	0-0.4 (SCE)	1717	-	$\frac{\text{GS}}{(5 \text{ mA/cm}^2)}$	94.9 % (1000 cyc)	75.5 % (GS, 50 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )
<sup>140</sup> NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanosheets	Ni foam	2 M KOH	0-0.45 (SCE)	2925	3.51	GS (1.8 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	93.3 % (3000 cyc, 8.5 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> ) 83.1 % (3000 cyc, 25 mA/ cm <sup>2</sup> )	58.4 % (GS, 19.8 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )
$^{141}$ NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanotubes	Ni foam	2 M KOH	0-0.4 (Ag/AgCl)	1647.6 976.5	-	GS (1 A/g)	93.6 % (3000 cyc)	77.3 % (GS 25A/g) 48.0 %
$NiCo_2O_4$ nanobelts				819.9	-		-	50.8 %
<sup>142</sup> NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanosheets	Ni foam	3 M KOH	-0.1-0.3	2010	1.608 <sup>a</sup>	GS (2 A/g)	94 %	72.1 %

			(0.07)	1			1000	1000 00 111
			(SCE)				(2400 cyc)	(GS, 20 A/g)
<sup>143</sup> NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanoneedles	Ni foam	2 M KOH	0-0.4	3466	3.12	GS	89.3 %	25.3 % (GS, 11.12
			(SCE)			$(1.11 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$	(2000 cyc)	$mA/cm^2$ )
<sup>142</sup> NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanoflakes-1	Ni foam	2 M KOH	0-0.65	778.2	-	GS (1A/g)	-	84.1 %
(molar ratio $Co/Ni = 1$ )			(Hg/HgO)			× 0,		
$NiCo_2O_4$ nanoflakes-2				867.3				92.3 %
(molar ratio $Co/Ni = 2$ )								/ /
$C_{0}$				460.0				79.0 %
NiO				518.2				94.7 %
1110				510.2				(GS = 10  A/g)
<sup>144</sup> NiCo O shain lika	Nifoom	6 M KOH	0.0.45	1294		CS(2A/a)	0750/	(US, 10 A/g)
	INI IOalli	0 M KOH	$(4 \times (4 \times C^{1}))$	1204	-	US (2 A/g)	97.5 % (2000 ava)	(CS 20 A/a)
	NL Comment		(Ag/AgCI)	1650		OO(1 A/z)	(3000 cyc)	(US 20 A/g)
NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> urchin-like	INI IOam	3 M KOH	0-0.4	1650		GS (1 A/g)	81.8 %	81.7 %
nanostructures			(SCE)	1000			(2000 cyc)	(GS 15 A/g)
$^{140}NiCo_2O_4$ nanosheets	Ni foam	2 M KOH	0-0.55	1088	2.61	GS (5	~100 %	78.5 %
147			(SCE)			mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	(1800 cyc)	$(GS 30 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$
<sup>14</sup> /NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> mesoporous	Ni foam	2 M KOH	0-0.45	-	3.51	GS (1.8	93.3 %	58.4 %
nanosheets			(SCE)			$mA/cm^{2}$ )	(3000 cyc)	$(19.8 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$
								39.0 %
								$(48.6 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$
<sup>148</sup> Co <sub>0.45</sub> Ni <sub>0.55</sub> O/rGO	graphite paper	1 M KOH	0-0.5	823	-	GS (1 A/g)	100 %	78.2 % (10 A/g)
			(Ag/AgCl)				(1000 cyc)	
		•			•	•	•	
$^{149}$ ZnCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	stainless steel	6 M KOH	-0.1-0.3	77	-	CV	-	54.5 %
2 7			(2-electrode)			(5  mV/s)		(CV 50 mV/s)
$^{150}$ ZnCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> aerogel	graphite	1 M NaOH	0.05-0.5	700	0.35 <sup>a</sup>	CV	95 %	82.1 %
	8- ··r		$(A\sigma/A\sigma Cl)$			(5  mV/s)	(3000 cvc)	(CV 25 mV/s)
<sup>151</sup> Mn-Ni-Co oxide	Ni grid	6 M KOH	-0.1-0.4	1080	1_	GS	~100 %	-
Will Wi Co oxide	in gina	0 m Rom	(SCF)	1000		(5  mA)	(1000  gvc)	
$^{152}(C_{0} M_{n}) O_{10}$ nonowiros	Ni foom	6 M KOH	0.037	611.4			(1000 Cyc)	46.5.%
(CO,WIII)3O4 nanowites	INI IOalli		$(\Lambda \alpha / \Lambda \alpha C1)$	011.4	-	(2.38  A/a)	(2000  ave)	$(CS 23 8 \Lambda/a)$
Niekol aphalt gulfidag			(Ag/AgCI)			(2.38  A/g)	(2000 Cyc)	(0523.6R/g)
153NiCo Schollow populator	N: fa and	2 M KOU	0104	427	0.010.8	CC(1 A/z)	91.0/	52.0.0/
$NICO_2S_4$ nonow nanopiates	INI IOalli	э м коп	-0.1-0.4	437	0.010	US (1 A/g)	δ1 % (1000 · · · ·)	35.2 % (CS 20 A ())
1542200			(SCE)	1000			(1000 cyc)	(GS 20 A/g)
$^{134}N_1Co_2S_4$ porous nanotubes	N1 foam	6 M KOH	-0.1-0.5	1093	-	GS (0.2 A/g)	63 %	73.2 %
			(Hg/HgO)				(1000 cyc)	(GS 2 A/g)
								50.3 %
155								(GS 5 A/g)
<sup>133</sup> NiCo <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub> nanotubes	Ni foam	6 M KOH	0-0.55	2398	14.39	GS (5	92 %	67.7 %
			(Hg/HgO)			$mA/cm^{2}$ )	(5000 cyc)	$(150 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$

$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Ni foam	2 M KOH	0-0.5 (SCE)	895 870	0.895 <sup>a</sup> 0.870 <sup>a</sup>	GS (1 A/g)	85.7 % (1500 cyc) 86.5 %	65.4 % (GS 20 A/g) 50.1 %
<sup>156</sup> CoNi <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub> nanoparticles	Ni foam	3 M KOH	0-0.4 (SCE)	1169	-	GS (1 A/g)	~100 % (2000 cyc)	60.1 % (5 A/g)
<sup>157</sup> Ni-Co sulfide nanowires	Ni foam	1 M KOH	0-0.45 (Ag/AgCl)	2415	6.0	GS (2.5 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	78.5 % (3000 cyc)	48.7 % (30 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )
$^{158}$ NiCo <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub> nanotubes	Ni foam	6 M KOH	-0.1-0.4 (SCE)	738	3.10 <sup>a</sup>	GS (4 A/g)	93.4 % (4000 cyc)	78 % (32 A/g)
<sup>159</sup> NiCo <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub> urchin-like nanostructures	Ni foam	6 M KOH	0-0.565 (Hg/HgO)	1149	2.03-3.04 a	GS (1 A/g)	91.4 % (5000 cyc)	77.3 % (20 A/g) 66.2 % (50 A/g)
Heterostructures								
<sup>160</sup> Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanowire@ MnO <sub>2</sub> nanosheets	stainless steel	1 M LiOH	-0.2-0.6 (Ag/AgCl)	480	0.71	$\frac{\text{GS}}{(4 \text{ mA/cm}^2)}$	97.3 %	56 % (GS, 44.7 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanowire				-	~0.22		82.6 % (1000 cyc)	
<sup>161</sup> CoO nanowire@ Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanoflakes	Ni foam	1 M NaOH	0.01-0.52 (Ag/AgCl)	798.3	2.39 <sup>a</sup>	GS (1.67 A/g)	96.7 % (2000 cyc)	84 % (GS, 13.33 A/g)
<sup>162</sup> Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanowire@ NiO nanoflakes	Ni foam	2 M KOH	0-0.6 (Hg/HgO)	853	2.56 #	GS (2 A/g)	95.1 %	85 %
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanowire arrays NiO nanoflakes arrays				642 178	1.36 * 0.16 <sup>#</sup>		85.5 % 56.7 %	76.7 % 66.6 %
${}$ capacitance values after 6000	cycles						(0000 Cyc)	(03, 40  A/g)
<sup>163</sup> CoO porous nanowalls@Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub>	Ni foam	1 M NaOH	0-0.55 (Ag/AgCl)	2374.0	11.49	GS (5 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	68.9 % (5000 cyc)	56.5 % (40 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )
nanowalls			(88)	539.8	2.32	GS (1.17 A/g)	~95 % (5000 cyc)	67.5 % (9.30 A/g)
<sup>164</sup> Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanowire arrays	Ni foam	2 M KOH	0-0.4 (Hg/HgO)	1095	1.64 <sup>a</sup>	GS (1 A/g)	92 % (2000 cyc)	74.2 % (40 A/g)
<sup>165</sup> Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanosheets@Ni-Co oxide nanorods	Ni foam	1 M KOH	0-0.45 (SCE)	2098	<mark>24.95</mark>	$\frac{\text{GS}}{(5 \text{ mA/cm}^2)}$	96 % (1000 cyc)	73 % (30 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanosheets				390	2.48		-	57.9% (30 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )
<sup>166</sup> ITO nanowire@ Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanoflake	stainless steel	1 M KOH	-0.15-0.35 (Ag/AgCl)	622	0.20 <sup>a</sup>	CV (5 mV/s)	-	72.3 %
Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanoflake				585	0.22 <sup>a</sup>			33.3 % (CV, 100 mV/s)

<sup>167</sup> Zn <sub>2</sub> SnO <sub>4</sub> nanowires@NiCo	stainless steel	2 M KOH	-0.1-0.3	1805	-	GS (0.5 A/g)	-	74.2 %
LDH			(SCE)					(GS 80 A/g)
<sup>168</sup> MnMoO <sub>4</sub> nanowire@	Ni foam	2 M KOH	-0.6-0.4	204.1	-	GS	98 %	66.0 %
CoMoO <sub>4</sub> nanowire			(Ag/AgCl)			(0.5 A/g)	(1000 cyc)	(GS, 3 A/g)
				187.1	-	GS		
						(1 A/g)		
MnMoO <sub>4</sub> nanowire				9.7		GS		
CoMoO <sub>4</sub> nanowire				62.8		(1 A/g)		
MnMoO <sub>4</sub> /CoMoO <sub>4</sub>				69.2				
composite					_			
$^{109}NiCo_2S_4$ nanorods	carbon fiber paper	1 M KOH	0-0.5	-	0.52	GS (4	-	76.9 %
$NiCo_2S_4$ nanotubes			(Hg/HgO)		0.87	mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	-	66.7 %
$\operatorname{Co}_{x}\operatorname{Ni}_{1-x}(\operatorname{OH})_{2}/\operatorname{Ni}\operatorname{Co}_{2}\operatorname{S}_{4}$					2.86		96 %	84.3 %
nanotubes					_		(2000 cyc)	$(20 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$
<sup>1/0</sup> CoAl LDH@PEDOT	Ni foil	6 M KOH	-0.1-0.55	672	-	GS (1 A/g)	92.5 %	63.1 %
core/shell nanoplatelets			(Hg/HgO)					
CoAl LDH nanoplatelets				584	-		32 %	29.5 %
171							(5000 cycles)	(CV, 40 A/g)
<sup>1/1</sup> CoO nanowires	Ni foam	3 M NaOH	-0.2-0.45	1212	1.23	GS (1	99.8 %	$48 \% (10 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$
PPy on CoO nanowires			(Ag/AgCl)	2223	4.43	mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	(2000 cyc)	
$^{1/2}Co_3O_4$ @PPy@MnO <sub>2</sub> core-	Ni foam	1 M NaOH	-0.2-0.6	627	1.13	GS (1.2	-	-
shell-shell nnaowires			(Hg/HgO)			mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )		
$Co_3O_4$ @PPy core-shell				-	0.58			
nanowires					0.04			
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanowires			0.0.5	-	0.36		0500	
<sup>1/3</sup> N1-Co oxide	Ti foil	I M NaOH	0-0.5	2353	-	GS (2.5 A/g)	95.2 %	92.3 %
nanowires/TiO <sub>2</sub> nanotubes			(Ag/AgCl)	25.42	0.07		(3000 cyc)	(GS 50 A/g)
$N_{1x}Co_{2x}(OH)_{6x}/TiN$	Ti foil	0.1 M KOH +	-0.05-0.45	2543	0.27	CV (5 mV/s)	93.75 %	65.5 %
nanotubes		1.9 M KCl	(SCE)	2 4 9 9			(5000 cyc)	(CV 100  mV/s)
$N_1(OH)_2/T_1N$ nanotubes				2400	-		-	33.3 %
Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> /TiN nanotubes				1400	_			571%
$^{175}$ MoO <sub>2</sub> thin film/Co(OH) <sub>2</sub>	Ni foam	2 М КОН	-0.2-0.45	1697	-	$GS(2 A/\sigma)$	97 %	39 %
nanoflakes	1 ti iouin	2 11 11011	(SCE)	1077		00 (2119)	(5000  cvc 20)	(40  A/g)
hunonukes			(SCL)				(5000 cyc, 20 A/g)	(10116)
MoO <sub>2</sub> thin film				_			47 %	28 %
$C_0(OH)_2$ nanoflakes				-			39 %	30 %
$^{176}$ NiO/Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> mesoporous	Ni foam	1 М КОН	0-0.4	992	-	GS(4 A/g)	92.3 %	81 %
nanosheets (Ni:Co=4:1)			(Ag/AgCl)				(5000 evc)	(GS 20 A/g)
NiO/Co <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> mesoporous			(	1190			99.1 %	67 %
nanosheets (Ni:Co=3:2)								

NiO mesoporous nanosheets				960			97.5 %	66 %
<sup>177</sup> Mo-decorated Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	Ni foam	2 M KOH	0-0.43	2000	4.54	GS (1.7	53 %	46.3 %
nanowires			(SCE)			mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	(2000 cyc)	$(GS 85 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$
$Co_3O_4$ nanowires				1257	2.11		84 %	5.8 %

Materials	Current collector	Electrolyte	Potential	C <sub>m</sub>	Ca	Method	Cycle life	Rate capability
			window (V)	( <b>F</b> /g)	$(\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{cm}^2)$		(cycling no.)	
Composites of cobalt compo	unds-one dimensional	carbon nanomat	erials					
<sup>178</sup> (Ni/Co)O <sub>x</sub> -CNTs film	Ni mesh	1 M KOH	0-0.45	1024	1.956 <sup>a</sup>	GS	93.0 %	82 %
			(SCE)			$(2 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$	(1000 cyc)	$(GS, 10 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$
<sup>179</sup> Co-Al LDH-MWCNTs	Ni foam	1 M KOH	0-0.5	342.4	3.424 <sup>a</sup>	GS	88.8 % (200)	-
Co-Al LDH			(SCE)	192	1.920 <sup>a</sup>	(2 A/g)	-	-
<sup>180</sup> (Ni/Co)O <sub>x</sub> -CNTs film	graphite	1 M KOH	0-0.5	569	0.176 <sup>a</sup>	GS	96.4 %	95.4 %
			(SCE)			$(10 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$	(2000 cyc)	$(GS, 100 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$
<sup>181</sup> CNTs	alumina	$0.5 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$	0-1	-	6.3	CV	-	-
Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> -CNTs	(as support)		(Ag/AgCl)	-	12.74	(100 mV/s)		
<sup>182</sup> CoOOH-CNTs	ITO glass	0.1 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	0.1-0.9	389	-	GS	-	-
СоООН	_		(Ag/AgCl)	209		$(10 \ \mu A/cm^2)$		
$^{183}$ Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -CNTs sheet	-	1 M KOH	0-0.5	302	-	CV	-	~50 %
			(SCE)			(10 mV/s)		(GS, 155 A/g)
<sup>184</sup> NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -SWCNTs	Ni foam	2 M KOH	-0.05-0.4	1642	4.926 <sup>a</sup>	GS	94.1 %	53.5 %
			(Ag/AgCl)			(0.5 A/g)	(2000 cyc)	(GS, 20 A/g)
$^{185}(Co/Ni)O_x$	Ni foam	1 M KOH	0-0.5	936	0.721 <sup>a</sup>	CV	-	65.4 %
$(Co/Ni)O_x$ -carbon fibers			(Ag/AgCl)	1271	0.979 <sup>a</sup>	(5 mV/s)		75.1 %
								(CV, 100 mV/s)
$^{186}$ CoMnO <sub>2</sub>				419	-		-	67.5 %
CoMnO <sub>2</sub> -carbon fibers				630			95 %	54.3 %
							(10000 cyc)	(CV, 100 mV/s)
<sup>187</sup> Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanocrystals-1D	(not available)	1 M KOH	0-0.6	382	-	GS	-	81.0 %
nanoporous carbon			(SCE)			(3 A/g)		(GS, 30 A/g)
								72.8 %
								(GS, 60 A/g)
<sup>188</sup> CNF	Ni foam	6 M KOH	-1-0	127	0.381 <sup>a</sup>	GS	-	-
Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> -CNF			(SCE)	157	$0.471^{a}$	(1 A/g)		86 %
								(GS, 5 A/g)
Co(OH) <sub>2</sub>			-0.2-0.35	100			-	-
Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> -CNF			(SCE)	322 *				64.0 %
								(GS, 5 A/g)
				* based	on weight of	Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> phase		
$^{189}\text{Co}_{3}\text{O}_{4}$	Ni gauze	2 M KOH	-0.2-0.4	263	-	GS	-	59 %
MWCNTs			(SCE)	95		(0.625 A/g)	-	75 %
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -5%MWCNTs				418			91 %	70 %
							(2000 cyc)	(GS, 6.25 A/g)

Table 7. Summary of electrochemical performance of various <u>cobalt compounds-carbon nanomaterials composites</u>.

<sup>190</sup> CoS <sub>x</sub> -FMWCNTs	Ni foam	2 M KOH	-0.3-0.45	334	-	GS	95 %	89.8 %
			(SCE)			(0.4 A/g)	(1000 cyc)	(GS, 3 A/g)
<sup>191</sup> CoS-CNTs	FTO substrate	1 M KOH	-	-	-	-	-	-
<sup>192</sup> CoMoO <sub>4</sub> -MWCNTs	glassy carbon disc	1 M KOH	-0.55-0.25	170	-	GS	93.2 %	56.5 %
			(Hg/HgO)			(0.1 A/g)	(1000 cyc)	(GS, 1 A/g)
<sup>193</sup> Ni-Co hydroxide-	stainless steel	1M KOH	-0.6-0.4	502	-	CV	83 %	55.0 %
MWCNTs (15 wt %)			(Ag/AgCl)			(5 mV/s)	(5000 cyc)	(CV, 100 mV/s)
<sup>194</sup> NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanorods-carbon	Ni foam	2 M KOH	0-0.45	1023.6	-	GS	91.5 %	48.8 %
nanofibers			(SCE)			(1 A/g)	(2000 cyc)	(GS 20 A/g)
NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> ultrathin			0.1-0.55	1002	-	GS	96.4 %	51.9 %
nanosheets-carbon nanofibers						(1 A/g)	(2400 cyc)	(GS 20 A/g)
						-	-	-
<sup>195</sup> NiCoAl LDH-MWCNT	Ni foam	6 M KOH	0-0.48	1035	-	GS	83 %	57.7 %
			(Hg/HgO)			(1 A/g)	(1000 cyc)	(GS 10 A/g)
NiCoAl LDH				950		-	78.3	24.4 %
$^{196}C_xNi_{1-x}(OH)_2NSs-HCNA$	carbon fiber paper	1 M KOH	0-0.5		0.88	GS	~88.5 %	59.9 %
$(C_x Ni_{1-x})_9 S_8 NSs-HCNA$			(Hg/HgO)		1.32	$(1 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$	~111.2 %	71.8 %
(HCNA: hollow carbon							(3000 cyc)	$(10 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$
nanorod array; NS:							-	
nanosheets)								
Composites of cobalt compou	unds-two dimensional	carbon nanoma	aterials					
$^{197}$ Co(OH) <sub>2</sub>	Ni foam	6 M KOH	-0.2-0.5	726.1	-	GS	-	-
rGO			(SCE)	137.6		(0.5 A/g)		
Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> -rGO				972.5				
<sup>198</sup> Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> -vertically aligned	Ni foam	1 M KOH	-0.1-0.45	693.8	-	GS	91.9 %	73.0 %
graphene sheets			(SCE)			(2 A/g)	(3000 cyc)	(GS, 32 A/g)
<sup>199</sup> Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> -rGO	Ni foam	2 M ROH						
	111104111	2 M KOH	-0.1-0.4	473	-	GS	90 %	63.3 %
$^{200}$ rGO		2 M KOH	-0.1-0.4 (Ag/AgCl)	473	-	GS (1 A/g)	90 % (1000 cyc)	63.3 % (GS 10 A/g)
100	Ni foam	2 M KOH 6 M KOH	-0.1-0.4 (Ag/AgCl) 0-0.4	473	-	GS (1 A/g) CV	90 % (1000 cyc) 95.6 %	63.3 % (GS 10 A/g)
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -rGO	Ni foam	6 M KOH	-0.1-0.4 (Ag/AgCl) 0-0.4 (SCE)	473 169.3 243.2	-	GS (1 A/g) CV (10 mV/s)	90 % (1000 cyc) 95.6 % (2000 cyc)	63.3 % (GS 10 A/g) - 71.9 %
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -rGO	Ni foam	2 M KOH 6 M KOH	-0.1-0.4 (Ag/AgCl) 0-0.4 (SCE)	473 169.3 243.2	-	GS (1 A/g) CV (10 mV/s)	90 % (1000 cyc) 95.6 % (2000 cyc)	63.3 % (GS 10 A/g) - 71.9 % (CV, 100 mV/s)
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -rGO	Ni foam Pt foil	2 M KOH 6 M KOH 2 M KOH	-0.1-0.4 (Ag/AgCl) 0-0.4 (SCE) -0.25-0.55	473 169.3 243.2 245	-	GS (1 A/g) CV (10 mV/s) CV	90 % (1000 cyc) 95.6 % (2000 cyc)	63.3 % (GS 10 A/g) - 71.9 % (CV, 100 mV/s) -
$Co_3O_4$ -rGO $^{201}$ rGO $Co_3O_4$	Ni foam Pt foil	2 M KOH 6 M KOH 2 M KOH	-0.1-0.4 (Ag/AgCl) 0-0.4 (SCE) -0.25-0.55 (SCE)	473 169.3 243.2 245 118	-	GS (1 A/g) CV (10 mV/s) CV (5 mV/s)	90 % (1000 cyc) 95.6 % (2000 cyc) -	63.3 % (GS 10 A/g) - 71.9 % (CV, 100 mV/s) -
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Co}_{3}\text{O}_{4}\text{-}\text{rGO} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{201}\\ \text{rGO} \\ \text{Co}_{3}\text{O}_{4} \\ \text{Co}_{3}\text{O}_{4}\text{-}\text{rGO} \end{array} $	Ni foam Pt foil	2 M KOH 6 M KOH 2 M KOH	-0.1-0.4 (Ag/AgCl) 0-0.4 (SCE) -0.25-0.55 (SCE)	473 169.3 243.2 245 118 478	-	GS (1 A/g) CV (10 mV/s) CV (5 mV/s)	90 % (1000 cyc) 95.6 % (2000 cyc) -	63.3 % (GS 10 A/g) - 71.9 % (CV, 100 mV/s) -
$ \begin{array}{c}     \text{Co}_{3}\text{O}_{4}\text{-}\text{rGO} \\   \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c}     \text{201} \text{rGO} \\     \text{Co}_{3}\text{O}_{4} \\     \text{Co}_{3}\text{O}_{4}\text{-}\text{rGO} \\   \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c}     \text{202} \text{Co}_{3}\text{O}_{4} \text{nanoscrolls} \\   \end{array} $	Ni foam Pt foil glassy carbon	2 M KOH 6 M KOH 2 M KOH 6 M KOH	-0.1-0.4 (Ag/AgCl) 0-0.4 (SCE) -0.25-0.55 (SCE) 0-0.5	473 169.3 243.2 245 118 478 14.9	- - - 0.015 <sup>a</sup>	GS (1 A/g) CV (10 mV/s) CV (5 mV/s) CV	90 % (1000 cyc) 95.6 % (2000 cyc) - 91 %	63.3 % (GS 10 A/g) - 71.9 % (CV, 100 mV/s) - 81.9 %
$ \begin{array}{c}     \text{Co}_{3}\text{O}_{4}\text{-rGO} \\     \hline         \\         \\         \\         $	Ni foam Pt foil glassy carbon electrode	2 M KOH 6 M KOH 2 M KOH 6 M KOH	-0.1-0.4 (Ag/AgCl) 0-0.4 (SCE) -0.25-0.55 (SCE) 0-0.5 (Ag/AgCl)	473 169.3 243.2 245 118 478 14.9 159.8	- - - 0.015 <sup>a</sup> 0.160 <sup>a</sup>	GS (1 A/g) CV (10 mV/s) CV (5 mV/s) CV (5 mV/s)	90 % (1000 cyc) 95.6 % (2000 cyc) - 91 % 93 %	63.3 % (GS 10 A/g) - 71.9 % (CV, 100 mV/s) - 81.9 % 81.8 %
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\$	Ni foam Pt foil glassy carbon electrode	2 M KOH 6 M KOH 2 M KOH 6 M KOH	-0.1-0.4 (Ag/AgCl) 0-0.4 (SCE) -0.25-0.55 (SCE) 0-0.5 (Ag/AgCl)	473 169.3 243.2 245 118 478 14.9 159.8	- - - 0.015 <sup>a</sup> 0.160 <sup>a</sup>	GS (1 A/g) CV (10 mV/s) CV (5 mV/s) CV (5 mV/s)	90 % (1000 cyc) 95.6 % (2000 cyc) - - 91 % 93 % (1000 cyc)	63.3 % (GS 10 A/g) - 71.9 % (CV, 100 mV/s) - 81.9 % 81.8 % (CV, 100 mV/s)
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\$	Ni foam Pt foil glassy carbon electrode Ni foam	2 M KOH 6 M KOH 2 M KOH 6 M KOH	-0.1-0.4 (Ag/AgCl) 0-0.4 (SCE) -0.25-0.55 (SCE) 0-0.5 (Ag/AgCl) -0.4-0.55	473 169.3 243.2 245 118 478 14.9 159.8 56	- - - 0.015 <sup>a</sup> 0.160 <sup>a</sup> 0.168 <sup>a</sup>	GS (1 A/g) CV (10 mV/s) CV (5 mV/s) CV (5 mV/s) GS	90 % (1000 cyc) 95.6 % (2000 cyc) - 91 % 93 % (1000 cyc) -	63.3 % (GS 10 A/g) - 71.9 % (CV, 100 mV/s) - 81.9 % 81.8 % (CV, 100 mV/s) -

C0 <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -rGO				291	0.873 <sup>a</sup>		90 %	80 %
							(1000 cyc)	(GS, 8 A/g)
$^{204}Co_3O_4$ -rGO	stainless steel	1 M NaOH	-0.2-0.5	687	~0.48 <sup>a</sup>	CV	93.1 %	84.4 %
			(Ag/AgCl)			(5 mV/s)	(1000 cyc)	
$^{205}Co_{3}O_{4}$ -rGO	Ni disk	2 M KOH	0-0.85	472		ĊV	95.6 %	82.6 %
		_	(2-electrode)			(2  mV/s)	(1000 cvc)	(CV. 100  mV/s)
<sup>206</sup> Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /carbon core-branch	Ni foam	2 M KOH	0-0.55	700	1.19 <sup>a</sup>	GS	94 %	82.3 %
nanowires			(Hg/HgO)			(2  A/g)	(5000 cyc)	(GS 36 A/g)
$^{207}Co_{3}O_{4}/rGO$	Ni foam	6 M KOH	-0.1-0.45	240	0.53 <sup>a</sup>	CV	95.8 %	-
5 4			(SCE)			(5 mV/s)	(1000 cyc)	
$^{208}$ CoS <sub>2</sub> -rGO	Pt foil	6 M KOH	-0.6-0.4	253	-	CV	-	58.5 %
rGO			(SCE)	148		(5 mV/s)		67.6 %
$CoS_2$			× /	102		× ,		62.7 % (50 mV/s)
$^{209}$ Co <sub>3</sub> S <sub>4</sub> hollow nanospheres-	Ni foam	2 M KOH	0-0.5	675.9	-	GS	90.4 %	77.2 %
rGO			(Hg/HgO)			(0.5 A/g)		
$Co_3S_4$ hollow nanospheres				522.4	-		54.5 %	66.0 %
							(1000 cyc)	(GS, 5 A/g)
<sup>210</sup> Co <sub>0.5</sub> Ni <sub>0.5</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub> nanodiscs-	free standing film	2 M KOH	-0.15-0.40	2360	-	GS	75 %	86 %
rGO-CNT	on Ni mesh		(SCE)			(0.5 A/g)	(5000 cyc)	(GS 20 A/g)
<sup>211</sup> Co-Al LDH nanosheets	Ni foam	1 M KOH	0-0.45	1031	1.031 <sup>a</sup>	GS	~100 %	46.8 %
(NS)-GO			(Ag/AgCl)			(1 A/g)	(6000 cyc)	(GS, 8 A/g)
<sup>212</sup> Co-Al LDH NS-GO	ITO	1 MKOH	~-0.2-0.5	880	0.007	CV	-	52.4 %
Co-Al LDH NS-rGO			(Ag/AgCl)	1204	0.009	(5 mV/s)	-	48.6 %
								(CV, 100 mV/s)
<sup>213</sup> Co <sub>0.7</sub> -Al <sub>0.3</sub> LDHs-GO	Ni foam	6 M KOH	0-0.5	1137	-	GS	88 % (500)	-
Co <sub>0.7</sub> -Al <sub>0.3</sub> LDHs			(HgO)	560	-	(1 A/g)	-	
<sup>214</sup> Co-Al LDH-rGO	Ni foam	6 M KOH	0-0.5	711.5	3.558 <sup>a</sup>	GS	81.2 %	72.6 %
			(SCE)			(1 A/g)	(2000 cyc)	(GS, 10 A/g)
<sup>215</sup> Co-Al LDH (micro)	Ni foam	1 M KOH	0-0.5	466.5	-	GS	-	-
Co-Al LDH (nano)			(SCE)	360.7		(2 A/g)		
rGO/Co-Al LDH (micro)				581.6				
rGO/Co-Al LDH (nano)				484.8				
<sup>216</sup> CoAl LDH/GO	Ni foam	6 M KOH	-0.1-0.35	772	-	GS	73 %	80 %
			(SCE)			(1 A/g)	(5000 cyc)	(GS 20 A/g)
<sup>217</sup> NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -rGO	Ni foam	6 M KOH	0.1-0.5	835	1.67 <sup>a</sup>	GS	109 %	73.7 %
			(Hg/HgO)			(1 A/g)	(4000 cyc))	(GS 20 A/g)
NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -rGO (physical				376	0.752 <sup>a</sup>		-	65.7 %
mixed)								(GS 14 A/g)
NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>				662	1.324 <sup>a</sup>		52 %	52.7 %
							(300 cyc)	(GS 16 A/g)

<sup>218</sup> NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanocrystals-rGO	Ni foam	2 M KOH	0.05-0.45	1200	-	GS (0.5 A/g)	62.8 %	62.8 %
			(Ag/AgCl)					(GS 40 A/g)
<sup>219</sup> Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub> /CoO/rGO	Ni foam	1 M NaOH	-0.05-0.55	1510	-	CV (1 mV/s)	84.8 %	43.0 %
Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub> /CoO			(Hg/HgO)				63.4 %	(CV 20 mV/s)
Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub> / rGO							70.2 %	
							(2000 cyc)	
$^{220}$ Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> microsphere arrays-	(PTFE membrane)	3 M KOH	-0.2-0.45	378	-	GS	96 %	78.6 %
rGO/CNTs			(SCE)			(2 A/g)	(3000 cyc)	(GS 8 A/g)
<sup>221</sup> NiCo <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub> -rGO	Ni foam	2 M KOH	0-0.5	1451	-	GS	95.5 %	52.4 %
			(Ag/AgCl)			(3 A/g)	(2000 cyc)	(GS 20 A/g)
						C C		
Composites of cobalt compou	inds-three dimension	al carbon nanom	aterials	•	•			
<sup>222</sup> Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> -CMK-3	Ni foam	2 M KOH	-0.2-0.4	750	3.75 <sup>a</sup>	GS	83 %	86 %
			(SCE)			$(5 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$	(1000 cyc)	$(GS, 50 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$
<sup>223</sup> FDU16-800 (OMK)	Mo-sputtered Si	1 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	-1 to -0.4	22	-	CV	-	-
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (10 %)-FDU16			$(Hg/Hg_2SO_4)$	116		(5 mV/s)		
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (20 %)-FDU16				125				
<sup>224</sup> MCF	Ni foam	7 M KOH	-0.8-0	97.9	-	CV	-	54.9 %
Co-MCF				102		(5 mV/s)		81.4 %
								(CV 50 mV/s)
<sup>225</sup> NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -carbon aerogel	graphite	1 M NaOH	-0.1-0.55	1695	-	CV	97.6 %	~50 %
_			(Ag/AgCl)			(25 mV/s)	(2000 cyc)	(CV 500 mV/s)
<sup>226</sup> Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanoclusters- SBA-	-Ni foam	6 M KOH	-0.1-0.6	1086	-	CV (10 mV/s)	90 %	36.8 %
15 supported carbon			(Hg/HgO)				(10000 cyc)	(CV 200 mV/s)
nanomembrane								

Table 8. Summary of el	ectrochemical performance of	of cobalt com	pounds synthesized of	on different 3D sup	ports/ current collectors.
24010 01 01 01 01 01	een oenennen periornanee	of the other thanks and the other		on annerene de bap	

Materials	3D support/	Electrolyte	Potential	C <sub>m</sub>	Ca	Method	Cycle life	Rate capability
	current collector		window (V)	( <b>F</b> /g)	$(\mathbf{F/cm}^2)$			
$^{22}$ Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	porous Ni film	1 M KOH	0-0.45	2200	-	CV	-	94 %
$Co_3O_4$	flat Ni foil		(SCE)	209		(10 mV/s)		59 %
								(CV 50 mV/s to 5
								mV/s)
$^{228}$ Co(OH) <sub>2</sub>	porous Ni film	1 M KOH	-0.2-0.45	2800	0.07 <sup>a</sup>	CV	~100 %	96 %
	flat Ni foil		(SCE)	550	0.014 <sup>a</sup>	(5 mV/s)	75 %	(CV 200 mV/s to
								CV 5 mV/s)
$^{229}$ Co(OH) <sub>2</sub>	porous Ni film	1 M KOH	-0.2-0.45	1665	0.12 <sup>a</sup>	CV	-	86 %
(Co-Ni mixed hydroxide)			(SCE)			(5 mV/s)		(CV, 200 mV/s)
$^{230}\alpha$ -Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanoflakes	Ni foam	2 M KOH	-0.1-0.6	880	0.88	GS	73.6 %	82.6 %
	porous Ni film		(Hg/HgO)	2028	2	(2 A/g)	94.7 %	95 %
							(2000 cyc)	(GS, 40 A/g)
$^{231}\alpha$ -Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanoflakes	Ni foam	2 M KOH	0-0.4	1017	~1.5 <sup>a</sup>	GS	76.7 %	76.4 %
α-Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> /Ni nanoflakes			(Hg/HgO)	1310	~2	(1 A/g)	93.7 %	87.6 %
							(2000 cyc)	(GS, 40 A/g)
$^{232}\alpha$ -Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanorods &	Ni coated-Si	2 M KOH	-0.1-0.6	-	1.46	CV	80.6 %	-
nanoflakes	microchannel plates		(SCE)			(10 mV/s)		
<sup>233</sup> Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanoflakes-	Ni coated-Si	2 M KOH	-0.1-0.4	-	6.90	GS (10	87.4 %	93.8 %
nanoparticles	microchannel plates		(SCE)			mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	(1000 cyc)	$(GS 80 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$
<sup>234</sup> Ni-Co oxides nanowires	TiO <sub>2</sub> NTs-Ti foil	1 M NaOH	0-0.5	2353	0.941 <sup>a</sup>	GS	95.2 %	92.4 %
			(Ag/AgCl)			(2.5 A/g)	(3000 cyc)	(GS, 50 A/g)
			0-0.5	187	-	GS (1 A/g)	93.7 %	-
			(2-electrode)				(1000 cyc)	
<sup>235</sup> Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanowire network	carbon fiber paper	2 M KOH	0-0.8	948	0.476 <sup>a</sup>	GS	100 %	94.4 %
<sup>236</sup> NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanowires			(2-electrode)			(0.25 A/g)	(5000 cyc)	(GS, 25.34 A/g)
Co <sub>0.33</sub> Ni <sub>0.67</sub> DHs	carbon fiber paper	1 M KOH	-0.25 to 0.55	-	0.41	GS	-	-
nanosheets/NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>			(3-electrode)	>2000	1.88	$(10 \text{ A/cm}^2)$	68 %	51.9 %
nanowires							(2000 cyc)	$(150 \text{ A/cm}^2)$
Co <sub>0.5</sub> Ni <sub>0.5</sub> DHs								
nanosheets/NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>				>2250	2.17		72 %	60.8 %
nanowires							(2000 cyc)	$(150 \text{ A/cm}^2)$
Co <sub>0.67</sub> Ni <sub>0.33</sub> DHs								
nanosheets/NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>				>1500	1.52		81 %	53.0 %
nanowires							(2000 cyc)	$(150 \text{ A/cm}^2)$
<sup>237</sup> Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanowires	carbon fiber paper	30 wt % KOH	-0.2-0.6	1525	-	GS	-	-
	planar carbon paper		(Ag/AgCl)	1199		(1 A/g)		

	carbon fiber paper							
	planar carbon paper		0-0.8	911	-	GS	94 %	87 %
			(2-electrode)	620		(0.25 A/g)	91 %	71 %
							(5000 cyc)	(GS, 25 A/g)
<sup>238</sup> MnO <sub>2</sub> nanowires	flexible carbon	1 M LiOH	-0.2-0.6	118	-	GS (1 A/g)	-	10.1
CoAl LDH	fibers		(Hg/HgO)	442			93.4 %	49.8
MnO <sub>2</sub> nanowires-CoAl LDH				944			98.2 %	64.6
								(GS, 20 A/g)
<sup>239</sup> NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanowires	carbon textiles	6 M KOH	-0.05 to 0.45	1283	-	GS (1 A/g)	~100 %	79 %
			(SCE)				(5000 cyc)	(20 A/g)
<sup>240</sup> CoO nanocubes	porous carbon	2 M KOH	0-0.45	1438	-	GS (2 A/g)	82 %	54.8 % (20 A/g)
	skeleton		(SCE)			_	(3000 cyc)	_
$^{241}$ Co(OH) <sup>2</sup>	MWCNTs-cotton	3 M KOH	-0.3-0.45	-	11.22	GS	96 %	68.7 %
	fibers		(SCE)			$(15 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$	(2000 cyc)	$(GS, 60 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$
$^{242}$ Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanowires	graphene foam	2 M KOH	0-0.5	768	-	GS	100 % <sup>b</sup>	59 %
			(Ag/AgCl)			(10 A/g)	(1000 cyc)	(GS, 30 A/g)
<sup>243</sup> Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanowhiskers	ultra-stable zeolite	2 M KOH	-0.15-0.45	1492	14.92	GS	96 %	-
	Y		(SCE)			$(4 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$	(6000 cyc)	
$^{244}$ Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> -Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub>	ultra-stable zeolite	1 M KOH	-0.1-0.45	479	4.79	GS	96 %	-
	Y		(SCE)			$(2 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$	(600 cyc)	
<sup>245</sup> Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> /SBA-15(10 %)	mesoporous silica	2 M KOH	-0.2-0.4	467.5	-	GS	-	87.2 %
Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> /SBA-15 (20 %)	SBA-15		(SCE)	417.5		$(5 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$		92.3 %
								(GS, 30 mA/cm <sub>2</sub> )

Table 9. <u>Asymmetric ECs and other types of EC devices</u> involved cobalt compounds/ composites.

Positive electrode	Negative	Electrolyte	Potential	C <sub>m/</sub>	Method	Rate capability	Cycle life	Energy	Power
	electrode		window (V)	( <b>F</b> /g)				density (Wh/kg)	density (W/kg)
$^{22}Co_3O_4/C$ core-shell	activated carbon	2 M KOH	-	-	-	-	92 %	21.5	4500
nanowires							(8000 cyc)		
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanowires							88 %	14.7	4500
<sup>82</sup> Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanorods	GO	1 M KOH	0-1.2	59	GS (6.6 A/g)	-	-	11.9	2540
<sup>246</sup> Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> /USY	activated carbon	1 M KOH	0-1.5	110	GS (2	89.1 %	77 %	30.6	520.8
composite					mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	$(25 \text{ mA/cm}^2)$	(500 cyc)		
$^{247}$ Co(OH) <sub>2</sub> nanoflakes	activated carbon	2 M KOH	0-1.6	72.4	GS (5	78.5 % (50	93.2 %	72.7	2395.2
					mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	$mA/cm^2$ )	(1000 cyc)		
<sup>248</sup> Co <sub>0.56</sub> Ni <sub>0.44</sub> oxide	activated carbon	2 M KOH	0-1.6	97	GS (166.7	65.2 % (1666.7	83 %	34.5	133.3
nanoflakes					mA/g)	mA/g)	(1000 cyc)		
<sup>249</sup> NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -graphene	activated carbon	6 M KOH	0-1.4	288	GS (0.5 A/g)	60 %	~102 %	7.57	5600
250						(30 A/g)	(10000 cyc)		
<sup>250</sup> CoAl LDH	activated carbon	6 M KOH	0-1.75	~65	CV (5 mV/s)	~43 %	82 %	25.1	875
CoAl LDH/rGO	activated carbon			~87		~66 %	90 %	35.5	875
117						(80 mV/s)	(6000 cyc)		
<sup>116</sup> Ni-Co LDH	rGO	1 M KOH	0-1.6	-	-	-	82 %	188	1499
							(5000 cyc)	141	2797
								105	3927
								78.5	4881
								61.2	5593
210 ~				1.50				21.8	7324
$^{210}Co_{0.5}Ni_{0.5}(OH)_2$	activated carbon-	2 M KOH	0-1.4	150	GS (0.3 A/g)	70.7 %	-	41	210
nanodiscs-rGO-CNT	few-wall CNTs		0.1			(GS 6 A/g)	100.00	29	4200
$^{251}NiCo_2O_4$	$NiCo_2O_4$	PVA-KOH gel	0-1	0.16	GS (1	~86 % (8	100 %	-	-
nanowires-Ni foam	nanowires-Ni foam	(solid)	0.1.0	F/cm <sup>2</sup>	mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	(3000 cyc)	27	
<sup>137</sup> N1-Co sulfide	activated carbon	I M KOH	0-1.8	-	GS (8	-	73.1 %	25	447
nanowires			0.1.6	2.12	mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )		(3000 cyc)	17.8	3570
$^{252}Co_9S_8$ nanorods	$Co_3O_4$ @RuO <sub>2</sub>	3 M KOH	0-1.6	3.42	GS (2.5	-	99.0 %	1.21	13.29
	nanosheet arrays		0.1.6	F/cm <sup>3</sup>	mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )		(2000 cyc)	mWh/cm <sup>3</sup>	W/cm <sup>3</sup>
		PVA/KOH gel	0-1.6	4.28			90.2%	1.44	0.89
1480 N' 0/ CO		(solid)	0.1.5	F/cm	$CC(0.5, \Lambda/c)$	$0.00((5 \Lambda/z))$	(2000 cyc)	mwn/cm <sup>-</sup>	w/cm
C0 <sub>0.45</sub> IN1 <sub>0.55</sub> O/rGO	IGO		0-1.5	115	GS (0.5 A/g)	~88% (SA/g)	90 %	35.5	-
167 <b>7</b>			0.1.2	110 /	CVI (5 mVI)	54.4.0/	(1000  cyc)	28.0	3014.0
$2n_2 SnO_4$	activated carbon	2 M KOH	0-1.2	118.4	$\int \nabla v (5 \text{ mv/s})$	54.4% (100 mV/s)	92.7 %	23.7	284.2 5917.2
nanowires@NiCo						(100  mV/s)	(5000 cyc)	9./	3817.2

LDH						40.9 %			
						(200 mV/s)			
<sup>171</sup> CoO nanowires	activated carbon	3 M NaOH	0-1.8	~102	<b>GS</b> (1	~70 %(100	91.5 %	43.5	87.5
PPy on CoO					mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	$mA/cm^2$ )	(20000 cyc)	11.8	5500
nanowires									
<sup>172</sup> Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @PPy@MnO	activated carbon	1 M NaOH	0-1.6	-	-	-	~104 %	34.3	80.0
<sub>2</sub> core-shell-shell							(11000 cyc)	5.6	12000
nnaowires							-		
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @PPy core-									
shell nanowires									
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanowires									

#### References

- 1. D. Wang, Q. Wang and T. Wang, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 6482-6492.
- 2. G. Godillot, L. Guerlou-Demourgues, P. L. Taberna, P. Simon and C. Delmas, *Electrochem. Solid-St. Lett.*, 2011, 14, A139-A142.
- 3. S. K. Meher and G. R. Rao, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2011, 115, 25543-25556.
- 4. X. Chen, J. P. Cheng, Q. L. Shou, F. Liu and X. B. Zhang, *CrystEngComm*, 2012, 14, 1271-1276.
- 5. J. A. Xu, L. Gao, J. Y. Cao, W. C. Wang and Z. D. Chen, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2010, **56**, 732-736.
- 6. Y. H. Li, K. L. Huang, S. Q. Liu, Z. F. Yao and S. X. Zhuang, J. Solid State Electrochem., 2011, 15, 587-592.
- 7. L. Gong, X. Liu, L. Su and L. Wang, J. Solid State Electrochem., 2011, 16, 297-304.
- 8. M. B. Zheng, J. Cao, S. T. Liao, J. S. Liu, H. Q. Chen, Y. Zhao, W. J. Dai, G. B. Ji, J. M. Cao and J. Tao, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2009, 113, 3887-3894.
- 9. R.-T. Wang, L.-B. Kong, J.-W. Lang, X.-W. Wang, S.-Q. Fan, Y.-C. Luo and L. Kang, J. Power Sources, 2012, 217, 358-363.
- 10. W. Du, R. Liu, Y. Jiang, Q. Lu, Y. Fan and F. Gao, J. Power Sources, 2013, 227, 101-105.
- 11. J. P. Cheng, X. Chen, J.-S. Wu, F. Liu, X. B. Zhang and V. P. Dravid, *CrystEngComm*, 2012, **14**, 6702.
- 12. Y. Wang, Y. Lei, J. Li, L. Gu, H. Yuan and D. Xiao, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2014, 6, 6739-6747.
- 13. X. Qing, S. Liu, K. Huang, K. Lv, Y. Yang, Z. Lu, D. Fang and X. Liang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2011, **56**, 4985-4991.
- 14. C. Yuan, L. Yang, L. Hou, L. Shen, F. Zhang, D. Li and X. Zhang, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 18183-18185.
- 15. F. Zhang, C. Yuan, X. Lu, L. Zhang, Q. Che and X. Zhang, J. Power Sources, 2012, 203, 250-256.
- 16. X. H. Xia, J. P. Tu, Y. J. Mai, X. L. Wang, C. D. Gu and X. B. Zhao, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 9319-9325.
- 17. X.-h. Xia, J.-p. Tu, Y.-q. Zhang, Y.-j. Mai, X.-l. Wang, C.-d. Gu and X.-b. Zhao, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, **2**, 1835.
- 18. Q. Yang, Z. Lu, Z. Chang, W. Zhu, J. Sun, J. Liu, X. Sun and X. Duan, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, **2**, 1663-1668.
- 19. B. R. Duan and Q. Cao, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2012, **64**, 154-161.
- 20. J. Huang, J. Zhu, K. Cheng, Y. Xu, D. Cao and G. Wang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2012, **75**, 273-278.
- 21. Q. Yang, Z. Lu, X. Sun and J. Liu, *Sci. Rep.*, 2013, **3**, 3537.
- 22. G. X. Pan, X. H. Xia, F. Cao, J. Chen, P. S. Tang, Y. J. Zhang and H. F. Chen, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **133**, 522-528.
- 23. S. Xiong, C. Yuan, X. Zhang, B. Xi and Y. Qian, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 5320-5326.
- 24. G. Wang, X. Shen, J. Horvat, B. Wang, H. Liu, D. Wexler and J. Yao, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2009, **113**, 4357-4361.
- 25. L. Cui, J. Li and X. G. Zhang, J. Appl. Electrochem., 2009, **39**, 1871-1876.
- 26. T. Zhu, J. S. Chen and X. W. Lou, J. Mater. Chem., 2010, 20, 7015-7020.
- 27. B. Wang, T. Zhu, H. B. Wu, R. Xu, J. S. Chen and X. W. Lou, *Nanoscale*, 2012, **4**, 2145-2149.
- 28. T. Zhu, Y. Liu, Z. Hu, C. Wang and Z. Wen, Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Electronics, 2011, 22, 1649-1655.
- 29. S. K. Meher and G. R. Rao, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2011, 115, 15646-15654.
- 30. L. Hou, C. Yuan, L. Yang, L. Shen, F. Zhang and X. Zhang, *RSC Adv.*, 2011, **1**, 1521-1526.
- 31. Y. Wang, Z. Zhong, Y. Chen, C. Ng and J. Lin, *Nano Res.*, 2011, 4, 695-704.
- 32. Y. Yu, G. Ji, J. Cao, J. Liu and M. Zheng, J. Alloys Compd., 2009, 471, 268-271.
- 33. G. L. Zhang, D. Zhao, P. Z. Guo, Z. B. Wei and X. S. Zhao, Acta Phys.-Chim. Sin., 2012, 28, 387-392.
- 34. Y. Xiao, S. Liu, F. Li, A. Zhang, J. Zhao, S. Fang and D. Jia, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2012, **22**, 4052-4059.
- 35. Y. Xiao, A. Zhang, S. Liu, J. Zhao, S. Fang, D. Jia and F. Li, J. Power Sources, 2012, 219, 140-146.
- 36. C. Lin, J. A. Ritter and B. N. Popov, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1998, **145**, 4097-4103.
- 37. T.-Y. Wei, C.-H. Chen, K.-H. Chang, S.-Y. Lu and C.-C. Hu, *Chem. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 3228-3233.

- 38. X. Wang, A. Sumboja, E. Khoo, C. Yan and P. S. Lee, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, **116**, 4930-4935.
- 39. Y. Gao, S. Chen, D. Cao, G. Wang and J. Yin, J. Power Sources, 2010, 195, 1757-1760.
- 40. H. Cheng, Z. G. Lu, J. Q. Deng, C. Y. Chung, K. L. Zhang and Y. Y. Li, *Nano Res.*, 2010, **3**, 895-901.
- 41. S. G. Kandalkar, D. S. Dhawale, C. K. Kim and C. D. Lokhande, *Synth. Met.*, 2010, **160**, 1299-1302.
- 42. Y. Li, K. Huang, Z. Yao, S. Liu and X. Qing, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2011, **56**, 2140-2144.
- 43. H. Wang, L. Zhang, X. Tan, C. M. B. Holt, B. Zahiri, B. C. Olsen and D. Mitlin, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2011, 115, 17599-17605.
- 44. V. Srinivasan and J. W. Weidner, J. Electrochem. Soc., 1997, 144, L210-L213.
- 45. V. Srinivasan and J. W. Weidner, J. Power Sources, 2002, 108, 15-20.
- 46. J.-K. Lee, G.-P. Kim, K.-H. Kim, I. K. Song and S.-H. Baeck, *J. Nanosci. Nanotechno.*, **10**, 3676-3679.
- 47. J. B. Wu, Y. Lin, X. H. Xia, J. Y. Xu and Q. Y. Shi, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2011, **56**, 7163-7170.
- 48. M. Aghazadeh, J. Appl. Electrochem., 2012, **42**, 89-94.
- 49. X.-H. Xia, J.-P. Tu, X.-L. Wang, C.-D. Gu and X.-B. Zhao, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 5786-5788.
- 50. Y. F. Yuan, X. H. Xia, J. B. Wu, X. H. Huang, Y. B. Pei, J. L. Yang and S. Y. Guo, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2011, **13**, 1123-1126.
- 51. C. Yuan, L. Yang, L. Hou, L. Shen, X. Zhang and X. W. Lou, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, **5**, 7883-7887.
- 52. V. R. Shinde, S. B. Mahadik, T. P. Gujar and C. D. Lokhande, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2006, **252**, 7487-7492.
- 53. R. Tummala, R. K. Guduru and P. S. Mohanty, J. Power Sources, 2012, 209, 44-51.
- 54. F. Zhang, L. Hao, L. J. Zhang and X. G. Zhang, Int. J. Electrochem. Sc., 2011, 6, 2943-2954.
- 55. C. Yuan, X. Zhang, B. Gao and J. Li, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 2007, **101**, 148-152.
- 56. C. Yuan, L. Hou, L. Shen, D. Li, F. Zhang, C. Fan, J. Li and X. Zhang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2010, 56, 115-121.
- 57. Z. Hu, L. Mo, X. Feng, J. Shi, Y. Wang and Y. Me, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 2009, **114**, 53-57.
- 58. Z.-A. Hu, Y.-L. Xie, Y.-X. Wang, L.-J. Xie, G.-R. Fu, X.-Q. Jin, Z.-Y. Zhang, Y.-Y. Yang and H.-Y. Wu, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2009, 113, 12502-12508.
- 59. L.-B. Kong, J.-W. Lang, M. Liu, Y.-C. Luo and L. Kang, *J. Power Sources*, 2009, **194**, 1194-1201.
- 60. C. Xu, J. Sun and L. Gao, *CrystEngComm*, 2011, **13**, 1586.
- 61. Y. K. Kim, S. I. Cha and S. H. Hong, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 9802-9808.
- 62. S. Tang, S. Vongehr, Y. Wang, L. Chen and X. Meng, J. Solid State Chem., 2010, **183**, 2166-2173.
- 63. C. Yuan, X. Zhang, L. Hou, L. Shen, D. Li, F. Zhang, C. Fan and J. Li, J. Mater. Chem., 2010, 20, 10809-10816.
- 64. C. Z. Yuan, L. Yang, L. R. Hou, D. K. Li, L. F. Shen, F. Zhang and X. G. Zhang, J. Solid State Electrochem., 2012, 16, 1519-1525.
- 65. L. Hou, C. Yuan, L. Yang, L. Shen, F. Zhang and X. Zhang, *CrystEngComm*, 2011, **13**, 6130-6135.
- 66. J. Jiang, J. Liu, R. Ding, J. Zhu, Y. Li, A. Hu, X. Li and X. Huang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2010, **3**, 99-103.
- 67. F. Cao, G. X. Pan, P. S. Tang and H. F. Chen, J. Power Sources, 2012, **216**, 395-399.
- 68. V. Gupta, T. Kusahara, H. Toyama, S. Gupta and N. Miura, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2007, 9, 2315-2319.
- 69. W.-J. Zhou, J. Zhang, T. Xue, D.-D. Zhao and H.-l. Li, J. Mater. Chem., 2008, **18**, 905-910.
- 70. W.-J. Zhou, D.-D. Zhao, M.-W. Xu, C.-L. Xu and H.-L. Li, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2008, **53**, 7210-7219.
- 71. W. J. Zhou, M. W. Xu, D. D. Zhao, C. L. Xu and L. Li, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, 2009, **117**, 55-60.
- 72. Y.-L. Wang, Y.-Q. Zhao and C.-L. Xu, J. Solid State Electrochem., 2011, 16, 829-834.
- 73. P. K. Nayak and N. Munichandraiah, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2008, **155**, A855-A861.
- 74. S. L. Chou, J. Z. Wang, H. K. Liu and S. X. Dou, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2008, 155, A926-A929.
- 75. Z. J. Yu, Y. Dai and W. Chen, J. Chin. Chem. Soc., 2010, **57**, 423-428.
- 76. T. Zhao, H. Jiang and J. Ma, J. Power Sources, 2011, **196**, 860-864.

- 77. L.-B. Kong, M.-C. Liu, J.-W. Lang, M. Liu, Y.-C. Luo and L. Kang, J. Solid State Electrochem., 2010, **15**, 571-577.
- 78. Y. Asano, T. Komatsu, K. Murashiro and K. Hoshino, J. Power Sources, 2011, **196**, 5215-5222.
- 79. T. Xue, X. Wang and J.-M. Lee, J. Power Sources, 2012, 201, 382-386.
- 80. E. Hosono, S. Fujihara, I. Honma, M. Ichihara and H. S. Zhou, J. Power Sources, 2006, 158, 779-783.
- 81. A. D. Jagadale, D. P. Dubal and C. D. Lokhande, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 2012, **47**, 672-676.
- 82. R. R. Salunkhe, B. P. Bastakoti, C.-T. Hsu, N. Suzuki, J. H. Kim, S. X. Dou, C.-C. Hu and Y. Yamauchi, Chem.-Eur. J., 2014, 20, 3084-3088.
- 83. F. Tao, Y. Q. Zhao, G. Q. Zhang and H. L. Li, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2007, 9, 1282-1287.
- 84. S.-J. Bao, C. M. Li, C.-X. Guo and Y. Qiao, J. Power Sources, 2008, 180, 676-681.
- 85. C. Yuan, B. Gao, L. Su, L. Chen and X. Zhang, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2009, 156, A199.
- 86. P. Justin and G. Ranga Rao, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2010, **35**, 9709-9715.
- 87. W. Dong, X. Wang, B. Li, L. Wang, B. Chen, C. Li, X. Li, T. Zhang and Z. Shi, *Dalton T.*, 2011, 40, 243-248.
- 88. B. Liu, S. Wei, Y. Xing, D. Liu, Z. Shi, X. Liu, X. Sun, S. Hou and Z. Su, *Chemistry*, 2010, **16**, 6625-6631.
- 89. Z. Yang, C.-Y. Chen and H.-T. Chang, *J. Power Sources*, 2011, **196**, 7874-7877.
- 90. Q. Wang, L. Jiao, H. Du, J. Yang, Q. Huan, W. Peng, Y. Si, Y. Wang and H. Yuan, *CrystEngComm*, 2011, 13, 6960.
- 91. L. Zhang, H. B. Wu and X. W. Lou, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 6912-6914.
- 92. J.-Y. Lin and S.-W. Chou, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 2043.
- 93. D. Y. Lee, S. J. Yoon, N. K. Shrestha, S. H. Lee, H. Ahn and S. H. Han, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, 2012, **153**, 163-165.
- 94. X. Petrissans, A. Betard, D. Giaume, P. Barboux, B. Dunn, L. Sicard and J. Y. Piquemal, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2012, **66**, 306-312.
- 95. Z. Lu, W. Zhu, X. Lei, G. R. Williams, D. O'Hare, Z. Chang, X. Sun and X. Duan, Nanoscale, 2012, 4, 3640-3643.
- 96. H. Pang, Z. Yan, W. Wang, J. Chen, J. Zhang and H. Zheng, *Nanoscale*, 2012, **4**, 5946-5953.
- 97. H. Pang, Y. Liu, J. Li, Y. Ma, G. Li, Y. Ai, J. Chen, J. Zhang and H. Zheng, *Nanoscale*, 2013, **5**, 503-507.
- 98. X.-M. Liu, Y.-H. Zhang, X.-G. Zhang and S.-Y. Fu, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2004, **49**, 3137-3141.
- 99. H. KuanXin, Z. Xiaogang and L. Juan, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2006, **51**, 1289-1292.
- 100. L.-H. Su and X.-G. Zhang, J. Power Sources, 2007, **172**, 999-1006.
- 101. L.-H. Su, X.-G. Zhang, C.-H. Mi and Y. Liu, J. Power Sources, 2008, 179, 388-394.
- 102. L. H. Su, X. G. Zhang, C. H. Mi, B. Gao and Y. Liu, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2009, **11**, 2195-2202.
- 103. J. W. Jiang, X. G. Zhang, L. H. Su, L. J. Zhang and F. Zhang, *Chinese Journal of Inorganic Chemistry*, 2010, 26, 1623-1628.
- 104. Y. Wang, W. Yang, S. Zhang, D. G. Evans and X. Duan, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2005, 152, A2130-A2137.
- 105. Y. Wang, W. Yang and J. Yang, *Electrochem. Solid-St. Lett.*, 2007, **10**, A233.
- 106. Y. Wang, W. Yang, C. Chen and D. G. Evans, J. Power Sources, 2008, 184, 682-690.
- 107. V. Gupta, S. Gupta and N. Miura, J. Power Sources, 2008, 175, 680-685.
- 108. V. Gupta, S. Gupta and N. Miura, J. Power Sources, 2008, 177, 685-689.
- 109. V. Gupta, S. Gupta and N. Miura, J. Power Sources, 2009, 189, 1292-1295.
- 110. Z.-A. Hu, Y.-L. Xie, Y.-X. Wang, H.-Y. Wu, Y.-Y. Yang and Z.-Y. Zhang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2009, **54**, 2737-2741.
- 111. M. A. Woo, M.-S. Song, T. W. Kim, I. Y. Kim, J.-Y. Ju, Y. S. Lee, S. J. Kim, J.-H. Choy and S.-J. Hwang, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 4286.
- 112. X. Liu, R. Ma, Y. Bando and T. Sasaki, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 2148-2153.
- 113. W. Zhu, Z. Lu, G. Zhang, X. Lei, Z. Chang, J. Liu and X. Sun, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 8327-8331.
- 114. K. Tadanaga, A. Miyata, D. Ando, N. Yamaguchi and M. Tatsumisago, J. Sol-Gel Sci. Technol., 2012, 62, 111-116.
- 115. P. Vialat, C. Mousty, C. Taviot-Gueho, G. Renaudin, H. Martinez, J.-C. Dupin, E. Elkaim and F. Leroux, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2014, n/a-n/a.

- 116. H. Chen, L. Hu, M. Chen, Y. Yan and L. Wu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2014, **24**, 934-942.
- 117. C.-C. Hu and C.-Y. Cheng, *Electrochem. Solid-St. Lett.*, 2002, 5, A43-A46.
- 118. Y. Tao, L. Zaijun, L. Ruiyi, N. Qi, K. Hui, N. Yulian and L. Junkang, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 23587-23592.
- 119. J.-H. Zhong, A.-L. Wang, G.-R. Li, J.-W. Wang, Y.-N. Ou and Y.-X. Tong, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 5656.
- 120. V. Gupta, T. Kawaguchi and N. Miura, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 2009, 44, 202-206.
- 121. T. Y. Wei, C. H. Chen, H. C. Chien, S. Y. Lu and C. C. Hu, Adv. Mater., 2010, 22, 347-351.
- 122. G. Hu, C. Tang, C. Li, H. Li, Y. Wang and H. Gong, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2011, 158, A695-A699.
- 123. Y. Q. Wu, X. Y. Chen, P. T. Ji and Q. Q. Zhou, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2011, 56, 7517-7522.
- 124. J.-J. Deng, J.-C. Deng, Z.-L. Liu, H.-R. Deng and B. Liu, J. Mater. Sci., 2009, 44, 2828-2835.
- 125. J. Chang, J. Sun, C. Xu, H. Xu and L. Gao, Nanoscale, 2012, 4, 6786-6791.
- 126. J.-W. Lang, L.-B. Kong, M. Liu, Y.-C. Luo and L. Kang, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2010, 157, A1341.
- 127. C. Wang, X. Zhang, D. Zhang, C. Yao and Y. Ma, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2012, **63**, 220-227.
- 128. H. Jiang, J. Ma and C. Li, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 4465-4467.
- 129. H. Wang, Q. Gao and L. Jiang, *Small*, 2011, **7**, 2454-2459.
- 130. J. Xiao and S. Yang, *RSC Adv.*, 2011, **1**, 588-595.
- 131. L. Su, L. Gong and J. Gao, J. Power Sources, 2012, 209, 141-146.
- 132. R. R. Salunkhe, K. Jang, H. Yu, S. Yu, T. Ganesh, S.-H. Han and H. Ahn, J. Alloys Compd., 2011, 509, 6677-6682.
- 133. R. R. Salunkhe, K. Jang, S.-w. Lee and H. Ahn, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, **2**, 3190-3193.
- 134. D. P. Dubal, A. D. Jagadale, S. V. Patil and C. D. Lokhande, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 2012, **47**, 1239-1245.
- 135. C. Yuan, J. Li, L. Hou, L. Yang, L. Shen and X. Zhang, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, **22**, 16084-16090.
- 136. X. Lu, X. Huang, S. Xie, T. Zhai, C. Wang, P. Zhang, M. Yu, W. Li, C. Liang and Y. Tong, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 13357-13364.
- 137. J. Li, M. Yang, J. Wei and Z. Zhou, *Nanoscale*, 2012, **4**, 4498-4503.
- 138. G. Wang, L. Zhang, J. Kim and J. Zhang, *J. Power Sources*, 2012, **217**, 554-561.
- 139. M.-C. Liu, L.-B. Kong, C. Lu, X.-M. Li, Y.-C. Luo and L. Kang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2012, 4, 4631-4636.
- 140. G. Zhang and X. W. Lou, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, n/a-n/a.
- 141. L. Li, S. Peng, Y. Cheah, P. Teh, J. Wang, G. Wee, Y. Ko, C. Wong and M. Srinivasan, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 5892-5898.
- 142. C. Yuan, J. Li, L. Hou, X. Zhang, L. Shen and X. W. Lou, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2012, 22, 4592-4597.
- 143. G. Q. Zhang, H. B. Wu, H. E. Hoster, M. B. Chan-Park and X. W. Lou, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, **5**, 9453-9456.
- 144. R. Zou, K. Xu, T. Wang, G. He, Q. Liu, X. Liu, Z. Zhang and J. Hu, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 8560-8566.
- 145. Q. Wang, B. Liu, X. Wang, S. Ran, L. Wang, D. Chen and G. Shen, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 21647-21653.
- 146. D. Cai, S. Xiao, D. Wang, B. Liu, L. Wang, Y. Liu, H. Li, Y. Wang, Q. Li and T. Wang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014.
- 147. G. Zhang and X. W. Lou, *Adv Mater*, 2013, **25**, 976-979.
- 148. J. Xiao and S. Yang, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 12253-12262.
- 149. K. Karthikeyan, D. Kalpana and N. Renganathan, *Ionics*, 2009, **15**, 107-110.
- 150. M. Davis, C. Gümeci, B. Black, C. Korzeniewski and L. Hope-Weeks, RSC Adv., 2012, 2, 2061.
- 151. J.-M. Luo, B. Gao and X.-G. Zhang, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 2008, 43, 1119-1125.
- 152. P. Xu, K. Ye, D. Cao, J. Huang, T. Liu, K. Cheng, J. Yin and G. Wang, J. Power Sources, 2014, 268, 204-211.
- 153. J. Pu, F. L. Cui, S. B. Chu, T. L. Wang, E. H. Sheng and Z. H. Wang, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2014, 2, 809-815.
- 154. H. Z. Wan, J. J. Jiang, J. W. Yu, K. Xu, L. Miao, L. Zhang, H. C. Chen and Y. J. Ruan, *CrystEngComm*, 2013, **15**, 7649-7651.

- 155. H. C. Chen, J. J. Jiang, L. Zhang, D. D. Xia, Y. D. Zhao, D. Q. Guo, T. Qi and H. Z. Wan, J. Power Sources, 2014, 254, 249-257.
- 156. W. Du, Z. Zhu, Y. Wang, J. Liu, W. Yang, X. Qian and H. Pang, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 6998-7002.
- 157. Y. Li, L. Cao, L. Qiao, M. Zhou, Y. Yang, P. Xiao and Y. Zhang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 6540-6548.
- 158. J. Pu, T. Wang, H. Wang, Y. Tong, C. Lu, W. Kong and Z. Wang, ChemPlusChem, 2014, 79, 577-583.
- 159. H. Chen, J. Jiang, L. Zhang, H. Wan, T. Qi and D. Xia, *Nanoscale*, 2013, **5**, 8879-8883.
- 160. J. Liu, J. Jiang, C. Cheng, H. Li, J. Zhang, H. Gong and H. J. Fan, *Adv. Mater.*, 2011, **23**, 2076-2081.
- 161. C. Guan, J. Liu, C. Cheng, H. Li, X. Li, W. Zhou, H. Zhang and H. J. Fan, Energy Environ. Sci., 2011, 4, 4496-4499.
- 162. X. Xia, J. Tu, Y. Zhang, X. Wang, C. Gu, X.-B. Zhao and H. J. Fan, ACS Nano, 2012.
- 163. C. Guan, X. Li, Z. Wang, X. Cao, C. Soci, H. Zhang and H. J. Fan, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 4186-4190.
- 164. X. Xia, J. Tu, Y. Zhang, J. Chen, X. Wang, C. Gu, C. Guan, J. Luo and H. J. Fan, *Chem. Mater.*, 2012, 24, 3793-3799.
- 165. Z. Y. Lu, Q. Yang, W. Zhu, Z. Chang, J. F. Liu, X. M. Sun, D. G. Evans and X. Duan, Nano Res., 2012, 5, 369-378.
- 166. C. Yan, H. Jiang, T. Zhao, C. Li, J. Ma and P. S. Lee, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, **21**, 10482.
- 167. X. Wang, A. Sumboja, M. Lin, J. Yan and P. S. Lee, *Nanoscale*, 2012, **4**, 7266-7272.
- 168. L.-Q. Mai, F. Yang, Y.-L. Zhao, X. Xu, L. Xu and Y.-Z. Luo, *Nat. Commun.*, 2011, **2**, 381.
- 169. J. Xiao, L. Wan, S. Yang, F. Xiao and S. Wang, *Nano Lett.*, 2014, 14, 831-838.
- 170. J. Han, Y. Dou, J. Zhao, M. Wei, D. G. Evans and X. Duan, *Small*, 2013, **9**, 98-106.
- 171. C. Zhou, Y. Zhang, Y. Li and J. Liu, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 2078-2085.
- 172. L. Han, P. Tang and L. Zhang, *Nano Energy*, 2014, **7**, 42-51.
- 173. F. Yang, J. Yao, F. Liu, H. He, M. Zhou, P. Xiao and Y. Zhang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 594.
- 174. C. Shang, S. Dong, S. Wang, D. Xiao, P. Han, X. Wang, L. Gu and G. Cui, ACS Nano, 2013, 7, 5430-5436.
- 175. K. M. Hercule, Q. Wei, A. M. Khan, Y. Zhao, X. Tian and L. Mai, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 5685-5691.
- 176. K. Xu, R. Zou, W. Li, Y. Xue, G. Song, Q. Liu, X. Liu and J. Hu, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 9107-9113.
- 177. H. Zhang, Y. Chen, W. Wang, G. Zhang, M. Zhuo, H. Zhang, T. Yang, Q. Li and T. Wang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 8593-8600.
- 178. H. Kuan-Xin, W. Quan-Fu, Z. Xiao-gang and W. Xin-Lei, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2006, **153**, A1568.
- 179. L.-H. Su, X.-G. Zhang and Y. Liu, J. Solid State Electrochem., 2007, **12**, 1129-1134.
- 180. Z. Fan, J. Chen, K. Cui, F. Sun, Y. Xu and Y. Kuang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2007, **52**, 2959-2965.
- 181. H.-J. Ahn, W. B. Kim and T.-Y. Seong, *Electrochemistry Communications*, 2008, **10**, 1284-1287.
- 182. H. Zheng, F. Tang, M. Lim, T. Rufford, A. Mukherji, L. Wang and G. Lu, *J. Power Sources*, 2009, **193**, 930-934.
- 183. R. Zhou, C. Meng, F. Zhu, Q. Li, C. Liu, S. Fan and K. Jiang, *Nanotechnology*, 2010, 21, 345701.
- 184. X. Wang, X. Han, M. Lim, N. Singh, C. L. Gan, M. Jan and P. S. Lee, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 116, 12448-12454.
- 185. Y. I. Yoon, Ko, J.M., Int. J. Electrochem. Sc., 2008, **3**, 1340-1347.
- 186. S. H. Klm, Kim, Y.I., Park, J.H., Ko, J.M., *Int. J. Electrochem. Sc.*, 2009, **4**, 1489-1496.
- 187. Y. Y. Liang, M. G. Schwab, L. J. Zhi, E. Mugnaioli, U. Kolb, X. L. Feng and K. Mullen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, **132**, 15030-15037.
- 188. Z. Tai, J. Lang, X. Yan and Q. Xue, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2012, 159, A485.
- 189. J. Lang, X. Yan and Q. Xue, J. Power Sources, 2011, **196**, 7841-7846.
- 190. C. Yuan, L. Shen, F. Zhang, X. Lu, D. Li and X. Zhang, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2010, **349**, 181-185.
- 191. C.-Y. Chen, Z.-Y. Shih, Z. Yang and H.-T. Chang, J. Power Sources, 2012, 215, 43-47.
- 192. Z. Xu, Z. Li, X. Tan, C. M. B. Holt, L. Zhang, B. S. Amirkhiz and D. Mitlin, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, **2**, 2753-2755.
- 193. R. R. Salunkhe, K. Jang, S.-w. Lee, S. Yu and H. Ahn, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 21630-21635.

- 194. G. Zhang and X. W. Lou, *Sci. Rep.*, 2013, **3**, 1470.
- 195. J. Yang, C. Yu, X. Fan, Z. Ling, J. Qiu and Y. Gogotsi, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 1963-1968.
- 196. L. Wan, J. Xiao, F. Xiao and S. Wang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2014, 6, 7735-7742.
- 197. S. Chen, J. Zhu and X. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2010, 114, 11829-11834.
- 198. C. Zhao, X. Wang, S. Wang, Y. Wang, Y. Zhao and W. Zheng, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2012, **37**, 11846-11852.
- 199. Z. Li, J. Wang, L. Niu, J. Sun, P. Gong, W. Hong, L. Ma and S. Yang, *J. Power Sources*, 2014, **245**, 224-231.
- 200. J. Yan, T. Wei, W. Qiao, B. Shao, Q. Zhao, L. Zhang and Z. Fan, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2010, **55**, 6973-6978.
- 201. B. Wang, Y. Wang, J. Park, H. Ahn and G. Wang, J. Alloys Compd., 2011, 509, 7778-7783.
- 202. W. Zhou, J. Liu, T. Chen, K. S. Tan, X. Jia, Z. Luo, C. Cong, H. Yang, C. M. Li and T. Yu, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2011, 13, 14462-14465.
- 203. H.-W. Wang, Z.-A. Hu, Y.-Q. Chang, Y.-L. Chen, Z.-Y. Zhang, Y.-Y. Yang and H.-Y. Wu, Mater. Chem. Phys., 2011, 130, 672-679.
- 204. A. Chidembo, S. H. Aboutalebi, K. Konstantinov, M. Salari, B. Winton, S. A. Yamini, I. P. Nevirkovets and H. K. Liu, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, 5, 5236.
- 205. C. Xiang, M. Li, M. Zhi, A. Manivannan and N. Wu, *J. Power Sources*, 2013, **226**, 65-70.
- 206. R. Zhang, J. Liu, H. Guo and X. Tong, *Mater. Lett.*, 2014, **134**, 190-193.
- 207. W. Zhang, F. Liu, Q. Li, Q. Shou, J. Cheng, L. Zhang, B. J. Nelson and X. Zhang, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, 14, 16331-16337.
- 208. B. Wang, J. Park, D. Su, C. Wang, H. Ahn and G. Wang, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 15750-15756.
- 209. Q. Wang, L. Jiao, H. Du, Y. Si, Y. Wang and H. Yuan, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 21387-21391.
- 210. Y. Cheng, H. Zhang, C. V. Varanasi and J. Liu, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, **6**, 3314-3321.
- 211. L. Wang, D. Wang, X. Y. Dong, Z. J. Zhang, X. F. Pei, X. J. Chen, B. Chen and J. Jin, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 3556-3558.
- 212. X. Dong, L. Wang, D. Wang, C. Li and J. Jin, *Langmuir*, 2011, **28**, 293-298.
- 213. Y. L. Chen, Z. A. Hu, Y. Q. Chang, H. W. Wang, G. R. Fu, X. Q. Jin and L. J. Xie, Chin. J. Chem . 2011, 29, 2257-2262.
- 214. L. Zhang, X. Zhang, L. Shen, B. Gao, L. Hao, X. Lu, F. Zhang, B. Ding and C. Yuan, J. Power Sources, 2012, 199, 395-401.
- 215. S. Huang, G.-N. Zhu, C. Zhang, W. W. Tjiu, Y.-Y. Xia and T. Liu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2012.
- 216. J. Fang, M. Li, Q. Li, W. Zhang, Q. Shou, F. Liu, X. Zhang and J. Cheng, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2012, 85, 248-255.
- 217. H.-W. Wang, Z.-A. Hu, Y.-Q. Chang, Y.-L. Chen, H.-Y. Wu, Z.-Y. Zhang and Y.-Y. Yang, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, **21**, 10504-10511.
- 218. X. Wang, W. S. Liu, X. Lu and P. S. Lee, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 23114-23119.
- 219. L. Jiang, R. Zou, W. Li, J. Sun, X. Hu, Y. Xue, G. He and J. Hu, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 478.
- 220. C. Yuan, L. Yang, L. Hou, J. Li, Y. Sun, X. Zhang, L. Shen, X. Lu, S. Xiong and X. W. Lou, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2012, 22, 2560-2566.
- 221. S. Peng, L. Li, C. Li, H. Tan, R. Cai, H. Yu, S. Mhaisalkar, M. Srinivasan, S. Ramakrishna and Q. Yan, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 10178-10180.
- 222. J. Zhang, L.-B. Kong, J.-J. Cai, Y.-C. Luo and L. Kang, J. Solid State Electrochem., 2010, 14, 2065-2075.
- 223. M. Dai, L. Song, J. T. LaBelle and B. D. Vogt, *Chem. Mater.*, 2011, **23**, 2869-2878.
- 224. Y.-K. Lv, Y.-L. Feng, L.-H. Gan, M.-X. Liu, L. Xu, C. Liu, H.-W. Zheng and J. Li, J. Solid State Chem., 2012, 185, 198-205.
- 225. H.-C. Chien, W.-Y. Cheng, Y.-H. Wang and S.-Y. Lu, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2012, n/a-n/a.
- 226. J. Zhi, S. Deng, Y. Zhang, Y. Wang and A. Hu, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 3171-3176.
- 227. D. Ming-Jay, H. Fu-Lu, I. W. Sun, T. Wen-Ta and C. Jeng-Kuei, *Nanotechnology*, 2009, **20**, 175602.
- 228. J.-K. Chang, C.-M. Wu and I. W. Sun, J. Mater. Chem., 2010, 20, 3729-3735.
- 229. C.-M. Wu, C.-Y. Fan, I. W. Sun, W.-T. Tsai and J.-K. Chang, J. Power Sources, 2011, **196**, 7828-7834.
- 230. X. H. Xia, J. P. Tu, Y. Q. Zhang, Y. J. Mai, X. L. Wang, C. D. Gu and X. B. Zhao, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2011, 115, 22662-22668.
- 231. G. X. Pan, X. Xia, F. Cao, P. S. Tang and H. F. Chen, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2012, **63**, 335-340.
- 232. T. Liu, S. Xu, L. Wang, J. Chu, Q. Wang, X. Zhu, N. Bing and P. K. Chu, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, **21**, 19093.

- 233. M. Li, S. Xu, T. Liu, F. Wang, P. Yang, L. Wang and P. K. Chu, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 532-539.
- 234. F. Yang, J. Yao, F. Liu, H. He, M. Zhou, P. Xiao and Y. Zhang, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 2013, 1, 594-601.
- 235. L. Yang, S. Cheng, Y. Ding, X. Zhu, Z. L. Wang and M. Liu, Nano Lett., 2012, 12, 321-325.
- 236. L. Huang, D. Chen, Y. Ding, S. Feng, Z. L. Wang and M. Liu, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 3135-3139.
- 237. R. B. Rakhi, W. Chen, D. Cha and H. N. Alshareef, *Nano Lett.*, 2012.
- 238. J. Zhao, Z. Lu, M. Shao, D. Yan, M. Wei, D. G. Evans and X. Duan, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 1045-1049.
- 239. L. Shen, Q. Che, H. Li and X. Zhang, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2014, 24, 2630-2637.
- 240. D. Lan, Y. Chen, P. Chen, X. Chen, X. Wu, X. Pu, Y. Zeng and Z. Zhu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2014.
- 241. C. Yuan, L. Hou, D. Li, L. Shen, F. Zhang and X. Zhang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2011, 56, 6683-6687.
- 242. X.-C. Dong, H. Xu, X.-W. Wang, Y.-X. Huang, M. B. Chan-Park, H. Zhang, L.-H. Wang, W. Huang and P. Chen, ACS Nano, 2012.
- 243. L. Cao, F. Xu, Y. Y. Liang and H. L. Li, Adv. Mater., 2004, 16, 1853-+.
- 244. Y. Y. Liang, S. J. Bao and H. L. Li, J. Solid State Electrochem., 2007, **11**, 571-576.
- 245. L.-B. Kong, J.-J. Cai, L.-L. Sun, J. Zhang, Y.-C. Luo and L. Kang, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **122**, 368-373.
- 246. Y.-Y. Liang, H.-L. Li and X.-G. Zhang, *Mat. Sci. Eng. A-Struct.*, 2008, **473**, 317-322.
- 247. L.-B. Kong, M. Liu, J.-W. Lang, Y.-C. Luo and L. Kang, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2009, 156, A1000-A1004.
- 248. J.-W. Lang, L.-B. Kong, M. Liu, Y.-C. Luo and L. Kang, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2010, 157, A1341-A1346.
- 249. H. Wang, C. Holt, Z. Li, X. Tan, B. Amirkhiz, Z. Xu, B. Olsen, T. Stephenson and D. Mitlin, *Nano Res.*, 2012, **5**, 605-617.
- 250. W. Zhang, C. Ma, J. Fang, J. Cheng, X. Zhang, S. Dong and L. Zhang, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 2483.
- 251. Q. Wang, X. Wang, B. Liu, G. Yu, X. Hou, D. Chen and G. Shen, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, 1, 2468-2473.
- 252. J. Xu, Q. Wang, X. Wang, Q. Xiang, B. Liang, D. Chen and G. Shen, ACS Nano, 2013, 7, 5453-5462.