Supplementary Information

Hexagon assembly of $Co_3V_2O_8$ nanoparticles acting as an efficient catalyst for visible light-driven water oxidation

Yukun Zhao, "Yongdong Liu," Xiaoqiang Du, "Ruixin Han" and Yong Ding *a,b

^a Key Laboratory of Nonferrous Metal Chemistry and Resources Utilization of Gansu Province, State Key Laboratory of Applied Organic Chemistry, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, 730000, China ^bState Key Laboratory for Oxo Synthesis and Selective Oxidation, Lanzhou Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China

E-mail: dingyong1@lzu.edu.cn

Contents	Page
Experiments section	P2
Table S1	P3
Quantum yield calculation (Table S2)	P4
FT-IR spectroscopy of different catalysts	P5-P6
Observed and theoretical relative abundances	P7
Kinetics of O ₂ formation in the photocatalytic system	P8
References	P9

Preparation of photosensitizer

Synthesis of [Ru(bpy)₃]Cl₂·6H₂O

Commercial RuCl₃·xH₂O is dried in an oven at 120 °C for 3 h. It is then finely ground in a mortar and returned to the oven for a further 1 h prior to use. It is convenient to store the "dried" RuCl₃ at this temperature.

"Dried" RuCl₃ (0.4 g, 1.93 mmol), 2, 2'-bipyridine (0.9 g, 5.76 mmol), and water (40 mL) are placed in a 100 mL flask fitted with a reflux condenser. Freshly prepared sodium phosphinate (sodium hypophosphite) solution (2 mL) is added and the mixture heated at the boil for 30 min. The sodium phosphinate solution is prepared by the careful addition of sodium hydroxide pellets to about 2 mL of 31% phosphinic acid (hypophosphorous acid) until a slight cloudy precipitate is obtained. Phosphinic acid is then added dropwise, until the precipitate just redissolves. During reflux, the initial green solution changes to brown and finally orange. It is filtered to remove traces of undissolved material and potassium chloride (12.6 g) added to the filtrate to precipitate the crude product. The solution and solid are then heated at the boil to give a deep-red solution, which on cooling to room temperature yields beautiful, red plate-like crystals. These are filtered off, and air-dried. The yield is 1.05 g (73%). The product could be recrystallized from boiling water (\sim 2.8 mL·g⁻¹) and then air-dried.

Properties: Aqueous solution of $[Ru(bpy)_3]Cl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ have two characteristic absorption maxima at 428 nm (shoulder $\varepsilon = 11,700 \text{ dm}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$) and 452nm ($\varepsilon = 14,000 \text{ dm}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$), which have been assigned to metal ligand charge-transfer transitions.

Catalyst	R_{O2}^{a} / umol s ⁻¹ g ⁻¹	Apparent TOF ^b / umol s ⁻¹ m ⁻²	Ref.
Co ₃ V ₂ O ₈	31.7	10.9	This work
Co ₃ O ₄	19.5	0.5	This work
LaCoO ₃	-	4.1 °	1
LaCoO ₃	11 ^d	0.89	1
$CoWO_4$	2.5 ^d	0.056	1
$La_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}CoO_3$	7.1 ^d	0.67	1
NdCoO ₃	9.2 ^d	0.87	1
YCoO ₃	3.6 ^d	0.80	1
NiMnO ₃	19.2 ^e	0.27	2
α-MnO ₂	10.0 ^e	0.16	2
Mn_2O_3	4.9 °	0.059	2
Mn ₃ O ₄	2.0 ^e	0.026	2
NiO	6.5 ^e	0.043	2
Nanocrystalline Mn ₂ O ₃	4.7 ^f	0.29	3
Mn oxide/KIT-6	4.86^{f}	-	4
α -MnO ₂ nanowire	$0.58 \mathrm{f}$	0.012	5
λ -MnO ₂	$0.38^{f,g}$	0.19	6

Table S1 Oxygen evolution rate (R_{O2}), apparent turnover frequency (TOF) normalized by a catalyst surface area.

^a O₂ evolution rate normalized by catalyst weight in the first 1 min after photoirradiation ($\lambda \ge 420$ nm) of 80 mM sodium borate buffer solution (pH 8.5, 10 mL) containing 0.3 g L⁻¹ catalyst, Na₂S₂O₈ (5.0 mM) and Ru(bpy)₃Cl₂(1.0 mM). ^b Turnover frequency normalized by a catalyst surface area for O₂ evolution. ^c The value was reported in ref. 1. (catalyst concentration, 0.025 g L⁻¹; Ru(bpy)₃²⁺, 0.5 mM; Na₂S₂O₈, 10 mM) ^d The value was reported in ref. 1. ^e The value was reported in ref. 2. ^f The value was calculated from reported O₂ evolution rates based on the catalyst concentrations of 0.25 g L⁻¹ for nanocrystalline Mn₂O₃ (pH 7), 0.30 g L⁻¹ for Mn oxide/KIT-6 (pH 5.8), 1.2 g L⁻¹ for α -MnO₂ nanowire (pH 7). ^g No description of catalyst concentration used for the reaction (pH 5.8) in ref. 6.

Quantum yield calculation

Initial O₂ formation rate = $31.7 \times 3 \times 10^{-3} \mu mol \cdot s^{-1} = 0.0951 \mu mol \cdot s^{-1}$

Irradiation radius = 1 cm = 0.01 m

Photon flux = $\pi \times (0.01 \text{ m})^2 \times 1428 \ \mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} = 0.4486 \ \mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$

 $\Phi_{QY(initial)} = 2 \times initial O_2$ formation rate / photon flux×100% = 2 × 0.0951/0.4486 ×100% = 42.4%

Table S2Quantum yield of photon to O2 evolution with different catalysts.

catalyst	$Co_3V_2O_8$	Co ₃ O ₄	CoO	$Ni_3V_2O_8$	V_2O_5
Initial O_2 formation rate (µmol·s ⁻¹)	0.0951	0.0585	0.0555	0.0052	0
photon flux (µmol·s ⁻¹)	0.4486	0.4680	0.4547	0.3926	-
Φ	42.4%	25.0%	24.4%	2.6%	0





Fig. S1 FT-IR spectrum of different catalysts.



Fig. S2 Observed and theoretical relative abundances of ¹⁸O-labeled and unlabeled oxygen evolved during the photocatalytic oxidation of a buffer solution (5.0 mL) prepared with $H_2^{18}O$ -enriched water (10.8% $H_2^{18}O$) containing $Co_3V_2O_8$ (0.3 g L⁻¹), Ru(bpy)₃²⁺ (1.0 mM) and Na₂S₂O₈ (5.0 mM) (red, detected mass intensity; blue, calculated values assuming that evolved O₂ results exclusively from water).



Fig. S3 Kinetics of O₂ formation in the photocatalytic system with 0.3 g L^{-1} Co₃V₂O₈, 5.0 mM Na₂S₂O₈ and 1.0 mM Ru(bpy)₃Cl₂, black line; no Co₃V₂O₈, red line; no Ru(bpy)₃Cl₂, blue line; no Na₂S₂O₈, green line.

Conditions: LED lamp (\geq 420 nm),15.8 mW; 80 mM sodium borate buffer (initial pH 8.5); total reaction volume 10 mL and head space volume 6 mL; vigorous agitation using a magnetic stirrer.

References

- 1 Y. Yamada, K. Yano, D. Hong and S. Fukuzumi, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phy.*, 2012, 14, 5753-5760.
- 2 D. Hong, Y. Yamada, A. Nomura and S. Fukuzumi, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phy.*, 2013, 15, 19125-19128.
- 3 D. M. Robinson, Y. B. Go, M. Mui, G. Gardner, Z. Zhang, D. Mastrogiovanni, E. Garfunkel, J. Li, M. Greenblatt and G. C. Dismukes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 3494-3501.
- 4 F. Jiao and H. Frei, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 2920-2922.
- 5 V. B. R. Boppana and F. Jiao, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 8973-8975.
- 6 D. M. Robinson, Y. B. Go, M. Greenblatt and G. C. Dismukes, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 11467-11469.