Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry A. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2014

## The Enhanced Rate Performance of LiFe<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>0.5</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>/C Cathode Material via Synergistic Strategies of Surfactant-assisted Solid State Method and Carbon Content

Xue Zhou<sup>a</sup>, Ye Xie<sup>a</sup>, Yuanfu Deng<sup>\*a,b</sup>, Xusong Qin<sup>b</sup> and Guohua Chen<sup>\*b,c</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The Key Laboratory of Fuel Cell Technology of Guangdong province, School of Chemistry and Chemical

Engineering, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, China

<sup>2</sup> Center for Green Products and Processing Technologies, Guangzhou HKUST Fok Ying Tung Research Institute,

Guangzhou 511458, China;

<sup>3</sup> Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology,

Hong Kong, China.

\*Corresponding authors. Tel.: + 86 20 34685680, Fax: + 86 20 34685679

E-mail address: chyfdeng@scut.edu.cn; kechengh@ust.hk.

## **Figure captions**

- Fig. S1. The HR-TEM image of the LFMP-S3 composite.
- Fig. S2. The EDS mapping analysis of LFMP-S3.
- Fig. S3. TEM image for LFMP-S2 composite.
- Fig. S4. HR-SEM image for LFMP-S1 composite.
- Fig. S5. Cycling performance at 1 and 5-C rate of LFMP-S2 composite.
- Fig. S6. The CVs at different scan rates for the coin cell made with LFMP-S1 composite.
- Fig. S7. The CVs at different scan rates for the coin cell made with LFMP-S2 composite.
- Table S1. Composition analysis data of the three various LFMP samples by the EDS data.
- Table S2. Comparison of rate performance of previously reported LiFe<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>0.5</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>/C materials.



## Fig. S2







Fig. S5



Fig. S6





Element content		
Р	Fe	Mn
0.07	0.5	0.40
0.96	0.5	0.48
0.07	0.5	0.48
0.97	0.5	0.48
0.98	0.5	0.49
	P 0.96 0.97 0.98	Element content   P Fe   0.96 0.5   0.97 0.5   0.98 0.5

Materials	Capacity (mAh g <sup>-1</sup> )	Rate performance (mAh g <sup>-1</sup> )	Ref.
LiFe <sub>0.5</sub> Mn <sub>0.5</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> /C nanoparticles with 20% conductive agent	148@ 0.05 C	No data	20
$LiFe_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}PO_4/C$ nanoplates	121@0.1 C	103@2 C	21
LiFe <sub>0.5</sub> Mn <sub>0.5</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> /C nanofibers	123@0.05 C	101@4 C	22
LiFe <sub>0.5</sub> Mn <sub>0.5</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> /C microspheres	142@0.05 C	120@0.5 C	23
LiFe <sub>0.5</sub> Mn <sub>0.5</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> /C nanoparticles	150@0.2 C	120@10 C	24
LiFe <sub>0.5</sub> Mn <sub>0.5</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> /C nanoparticles	138@0.1 C	70@5 C	25
LFMP-S1 nanoparticles	155@0.1 C	120@5 C	
LFMP-S2 nanoparticles	143@0.1 C	98@5 C	This work
LFMP-S3 nanoparticles	130@0.1 C	85@5 C	