Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry A. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2015

## **Supplementary information**

# A monolithic and standalone solar-fuel device having comparable efficiency to photosynthesis in nature

### Hyo Sang Jeon,<sup>a,b</sup> Jai Hyun Koh,<sup>a</sup> Se Jin Park,<sup>a</sup> Michael Shincheon Jee,<sup>a</sup> Doo-Hyun Ko,<sup>c</sup>

#### Yun Jeong Hwang\*a,b and Byoung Koun Min\*a,b,d

<sup>a</sup> Clean Energy Research Center, Korea Institute of Science and Technology, Hwarangro 14-gil 5,

Seongbuk-gu, Seoul 136-791, Republic of Korea

<sup>b</sup> Korea University of Science and Technology, 176 Gajung-dong, 217 Gajungro Yuseong-gu, Daejeon
305-350, Republic of Korea

<sup>c</sup> Center for Opto-Electronic Convergence Systems, Korea Institute of Science and Technology,

Hwarangro 14-gil 5, Seongbuk-gu, Seoul 136-791, Republic of Korea

<sup>d</sup> Green School, Korea University, Anam-dong, Seongbuk-gu, Seoul, 136-713, Republic of Korea

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: yjhwang@kist.re.kr, E-mail: bkmin@kist.re.kr



**Fig. S1.** Schematic diagram (a) and SEM images (b-e) of CIGS module via patterning process. (a) The schematic diagram of the patterns (b) Top view image of scribing region of the CIGS film. (c-e) Cross sectional SEM images of P1 (c), P2 (d), and P3 (e).



Fig. S2. Detailed cross sectional SEM image of Fig. 3b indicating S and Se rich region in CIGS absorber layer.



**Fig. S3.** Current – Voltage (I-V) characteristics of the CIGS module of before (black solid line) and after (red dashed line) the  $Co_3O_4$  catalysts deposition on the rear side of the substrate confirming that the performance of the CIGS module was intact by the  $Co_3O_4$  deposition process.



Fig. S4. <sup>13</sup>C isotope experiment results. GC-MS spectra of the gas products taken from the cathodic compartment using (a)  ${}^{12}CO_2$ , and (b)  ${}^{13}CO_2$  gases confirming that  $CO_2$  gas was the carbon source of the produced CO from our solar-fuel device. In order to avoid confusion of overlapping mass-spectrum for  ${}^{12}CO$  and N<sub>2</sub>, mass spectrum of air was subtracted.



Fig. S5. Two-electrode I-V curve for hydrogen generation from solar water splitting. Black line is CIGS module which had an efficiency of 7.66 % with an open circuit voltage ( $V_{oc}$ ), short circuit current density ( $J_{sc}$ ), and fill factor of 2.95 V, 9.22 mA, and 59.2 %, respectively. The pink line is the electrolysis I-V curve with two-electrode configuration with a Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPs film anode and a Pt cathode.



**Fig. S6.** A typical chromatogram from GC analysis. The red line is a chromatogram from the CO generation device from  $CO_2$  reduction (Fig. 5), and the black line is a chromatogram from the hydrogen generation device from water splitting (Fig. S5).

Year Photo-electrode Туре Electrolyte STH Eff. Ref.  $0.1 MH_2 SO_4$ TiO<sub>2</sub>-GaP 1976 PEC 0.25 % 38 1MKOH(anode) + TiO<sub>2</sub>-CuTiO 2008 PEC 0.30 % 39  $0.1 \text{M Na}_{2}\text{HPO}_{4}(\text{cathode})$  $\begin{array}{c} (\text{GaInP}_2 \mid 1jn\text{-}\text{GaAs} \mid)\text{-}\text{Pt} \\ (\text{WO}_3 \mid 2jn\text{-}\text{Si} \mid)\text{-}\text{Pt} \\ (\text{WO}_3\text{-} \mid 1x\text{DSSC} \mid)\text{-}\text{Pt} \\ (\text{Co-Pi/W:BiVO}_4\text{-} \mid 2jn\text{-}\text{Si} \mid)\text{-}\text{Pt} \end{array}$  $3MH_2SO_4$ PV+PEC 1998 12.4 % 16 0.33M H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> 2010 **PV+PEC** 3 % 40 1M HClO<sub>4</sub> 2012 PV+PEC 3.1 % 41 2013 **PV+PEC** 0.1M K-Pi 4.9 % 42

Table S1. Representative reports of solar-to-hydrogen (STH) conversion efficiency via solar water splitting. (The data are taken from Ronge *et al.*)<sup>37</sup>

Movie S1. The movie shows the solar-fuel device in operation

- (a) An overview of the solar-fuel device for experiment in the dark.
- (b) A lamp is switched on.
- (c) Close up of the cathode for CO generation. CO gas is evolved at the electrode. (The gas product was identified by measuring gas chromatograph).
- (d) Close up of the anode for  $O_2$  generation. The evolved  $O_2$  bubbles attach on the electrode surface.

#### **Supplementary References**

- 37 J. Ronge, T. Bosserez, D. Martel, C. Nervi, L. Boarino, F. Taulelle, G. Decher, S. Bordiga and J. A. Martens, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, 43, 7963-7981.
- 38 A. J. Nozik, Appl. Phys. Lett., 1976, 29, 150-153.
- 39 G. K. Mor, O. K. Varghese, R. H. T. Wilke, S. Sharma, K. Shankar, T. J. Latempa, K.-S. Choi and C. A. Grimes, *Nano Lett.*, 2008, 8, 1906-1911.
- 40 N. Gaillard, Y. Chang, J. Kaneshiro, A. Deangelis and E. L. Miller, 2010. 7770, 77700V
- 41 J. Brillet, J.-H. Yum, M. Cornuz, T. Hisatomi, R. Solarska, J. Augustynski, M. Graetzel and K. Sivula, *Nat Photon*, 2012, 6, 824-828.
- 42 F. F. Abdi, L. H. Han, A. H. M. Smets, M. Zeman, B. Dam and R. van de Krol, *Nature Comm.*, 2013, 4, 2195.