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Table S1 Selected bond lengths [ $\AA$ ] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for compound $\mathbf{1}$ and 2.

| 1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 2.076(3) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1) \# 1$ | 2.076(3) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3) \# 2$ | 2.139(3) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3) \# 3$ | 2.139(3) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 5$ | 2.152(3) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 4$ | 2.152(3) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{O}(6) \# 3$ | 1.978(4) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 2.022(4) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{O}\left(7^{\prime}\right)$ | 2.104(10) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | $2.106(5)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 2.124(6) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3) \# 6$ | 2.14(4) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 4$ | 2.159(3) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 4$ | 2.161(4) |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 1$ | 2.005(2) | $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6) \# 2$ | 2.004(2) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 3$ | 2.017(2) | $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 2.028(2) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 4$ | 2.199(2) | $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(1) \# 3$ | 2.7226(7) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5) \# 1-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6) \# 2$ | 166.84(10) | $\mathrm{O}(5) \# 1-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 3$ | 87.78(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6) \# 2-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 3$ | 90.63(10) | $\mathrm{O}(5) \# 1-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 91.69(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6) \# 2-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 86.98(10) | $\mathrm{O}(2) \# 3-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 167.26(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5) \# 1-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 4$ | 99.78(9) | $\mathrm{O}(6) \# 2-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 4$ | 93.36(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \# 3-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 4$ | 93.52(9) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 4$ | 99.11(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5) \# 1-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(1) \# 3$ | 77.45(7) | $\mathrm{O}(6) \# 2-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(1) \# 3$ | 89.38(7) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \# 3-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(1) \# 3$ | 83.53(7) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(1) \# 3$ | 83.93(7) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4) \# 4-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(1) \# 3$ | 176.00(7) |  |  |

[^0]Table S2 The gas adsorption capacities of MOFs based on $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ BPT ligand.

| compounds | BET / Langmuir surface area $\left[\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}\right]$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake <br> at $\left[\mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}\right]$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ uptake at 77 K | Refs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Mg}_{3}(\mathrm{BPT})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$ | 714/837 |  | $1.3 \mathrm{wt} \%$ | 26a |
| porph@MOM-11 | 997/1096 | 90.2/273K | $1.40 \mathrm{wt} \%$ | 26b |
| Porph(Cl)@MOM-11-( $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$) | 965/1077 | 96.9/273K | 1.46 wt\% | 26b |
| Porph(C1)@MOM-11-( $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ ) | 919/1020 | 92.5/273K | 1.38 wt\% | 26b |
| Porph(Cl-)@MOM-11-( $\mathbf{C d}^{2+}$ ) | 893/995 | 84.5/273K | 1.33 wt\% | 26b |
| Porph(Cl)@MOM-11-( $\mathbf{M n}^{2+}$ ) | 995/1077 | 96.4/273K | $1.58 \mathrm{wt} \%$ | 26b |
| $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{BPT})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})_{1.5}(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O})_{1.25}$ | 515.6 | 16.7/296K | - | 26c |
| $\mathrm{Cu}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{UMCM}-150)$ | 2300/3100 | - | 2.1 wt\% | 26d |
| porph@MOM-10 | 1158/1309 | - | $1.30 \mathrm{wt} \%$ | 26e |
| Mnporph@MOM-10-Mn | 1140/1282 | - | 1.58 wt\% | 26e |
| Cuporph@MOM-10-CdCu | 290/332 | - | 0.42 wt\% | 26e |
| porph@MOM-11 (P11) | 997/1096 | 93/273K | - | 26f |
| P11-Cu | 1251/1406 | 92/273K | - | 26f |
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Fig. S1The two coordination modes of $\mathrm{BPT}^{3}$-ligand in $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$.


Fig. S2 View of the 3D framework constructed by $\left[\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{BPT})_{2}\right]$ units with showing the 1 D hexagonal channels.


Fig. S3 Projection view of the 1D pore in $\mathbf{1 .}$


Fig. S4 View of 1D hydrophilic channel in $\mathbf{2}$ along the $c$-direction.


Fig. S5 The PXRD patterns of compound $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 (a for 1, b for 2).


Fig. S6 Thermogravimetric curve of compound $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 (a for1, b for $\mathbf{2}$ ).


Fig. S7 The temperature-dependent PXRD patterns of $\mathbf{2}$.

## Photocatalytic experiments

The band gap sizes of polymer were investigated by UV-vis diffuse reflection measurement method at room temperature. The results give an $E_{\mathrm{g}}$ (band gap energy) value of 2.10 eV (Fig. S8, ESI $\dagger$ ). The photocatalytic activities of compound $\mathbf{1}$ were further studied. The $\alpha h \nu^{2}$ vs hv curves for products are shown in Figure S. According to the equation $(\alpha \mathrm{hv})^{2}=\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{hv}-\mathrm{Eg})$ (where $\alpha$ is the absorption coefficient, $\mathrm{h} v$ is the discrete photo energy, K is a constant, and Eg is the band gap energy), the extrapolated value (the straight lines to the x axis) of h $v$ at $\alpha=0$ give absorption edge energies corresponding to $E_{\mathrm{g}}=2.10 \mathrm{eV}$ for the compound. ${ }^{1,2}$

## Possible photocatalytic reaction mechanism

As mentioned in the literature, the photocatalytic mechanism is deduced as follows: because the HOMO is mainly contributed by oxygen and (or) nitrogen 2 p bonding orbitals (valence band, VB) and the LUMO by empty transition metal orbitals (conduction band, CB). Under visible light irradiation, the electrons ( $\mathrm{e}^{-}$) were excited from the valence band (VB) to the conduction band (CB). The same number of holes ( $\mathrm{h}^{+}$) that have oxidation remained in the valence band. Furthermore, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ or hydroxyl $\left(\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right)$adsorbed on the surfaces of complexes could interact with the electrons ( $\mathrm{e}^{-}$) on the CB or the hole ( $\mathrm{h}^{+}$) on the VB, respectively, which probably formed the hydroxyl radicals $(\cdot \mathrm{OH})$. Later on, the $\cdot \mathrm{OH}$ radicals could degrade the organic dye effectively to accomplish the photocatalytic process. ${ }^{3-5}$


Fig. S8 The $\alpha h v^{2}$ vs h $\nu$ curve of $\mathbf{1}$.


Fig. S9 Absorption spectra of the RhB solution during the decomposition reaction under visible-light irradiation with the use of compound 1 and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$.


Fig. S10 The PXRD patterns of $\mathbf{1}$ (a for as-synthesized, b for after the photocatalytic experiment).


Fig.S11 Pore size distribution based on Horvath-Kawazoe (H-K) model in 2.
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[^0]:    Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: For compound 1: \#1-x+5/3, -y+1/3, -z+4/3; \#2: y+1/3, -x+y+2/3,$\mathrm{z}+2 / 3$; \#3: $-\mathrm{y}+4 / 3, \mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}-1 / 3, \mathrm{z}+2 / 3$; \#4: $\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x}-1,-\mathrm{z}+1 ; \# 5:-\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}+5 / 3,-\mathrm{x}+4 / 3, \mathrm{z}+1 / 3 ; \# 6: \mathrm{y}+1,-\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}+1,-\mathrm{z}+2$. For compound 2: \#1: -$x+y-1 / 3,-x+1 / 3, z-2 / 3 ; \# 2: x-y+2 / 3, x+1 / 3,-z+1 / 3 ; \# 3:-x+1 / 3,-y+2 / 3,-z-1 / 3 ; \# 4:-y+1 / 3, x-y+2 / 3, z-1 / 3$.

