# **Electronic Supplementary Information**

# Molybdenum carbide nanocrystals embedded N-doped carbon nanotubes as electrocatalysts for hydrogen generation †

Kai Zhang, Yang Zhao, Diyu Fu and Yujin Chen\*

Key Laboratory of In-Fiber Integrated Optics, Ministry of Education, College of Science, Harbin Engineering University, Harbin 150001, China, E-mail: chenyujin@hrbeu.edu.cn

## **Experimental sections**

### Fabrication of MoO<sub>3</sub>/PANI hybrids

0.15 g of  $\alpha$ -MoO<sub>3</sub> nanorods was dispersed in 100 mL of 1 mol L<sup>-1</sup> HCl solution by sonication treatment and then the mixture was cooled down to 0 °C under stirring. 0.3 mL of aniline was dissolved in 100 mL of 1 mol L<sup>-1</sup> HCl solution, and then transferred to the solution of ammonium persulfate (0.375 g) dissolved in 100 mL of 1 mol L<sup>-1</sup> HCl solution in the beaker. The mixture solution above was cooled down to 0 °C, then transferred to the suspension and kept at the temperature for 4 h under stirring. The precipitate was washed by distilled water and ethanol, and then dried at 40 °C for 24 h.

#### Fabrication of Mo<sub>2</sub>C-NCNTs

After the MoO<sub>3</sub>/PANI hybrids were annealed at  $700^{\circ}$ C with a rate of  $10^{\circ}$ C min<sup>-1</sup>, and held at this temperature for 3 h at Ar gas flow, the Mo<sub>2</sub>C-NCNTs were obtained.

#### Fabrication of Mo<sub>2</sub>C-CNTs

150 mg of CNTs and 150 mg of ammonium molybdate was mixed in water. The mixture was dried at 80°C, and then annealed at 820°C with a rate of 20°C min<sup>-1</sup>, and held at this temperature for 3 h under Ar flow.

## **Structural Characterization**

The morphology and size of the synthesized samples were characterized by scanning electron microscope [HSD/SU70] and an FEI Tecnai-F20 transmission electron microscope equipped with a Gatan imaging filter (GIF). The crystal structure of the sample was determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD) [D/max 2550 V, Cu Ka radiation]. XPS measurements were carried out using a spectrometer with Al K $\alpha$  radiation (K-Alpha, Thermo Fisher Scientific Co.). The binding energy was calibrated with the C 1s position of contaminant carbon in the vacuum chamber of the XPS instrument (284.8 eV). BET surface area and pore volumes were tested by Micrometrics TriStar II 3020.

#### **Electrochemical measurements**

In acidic media

Electrochemical measurements were performed in a three-electrode system at an electrochemical station (CHI660D). The three-electrode configuration using an Ag/AgCl (KCl saturated) electrode as the reference electrode, a graphite rod as the counter electrode, and the carbon paper coated with catalyst was used as the working electrode. The working electrode was fabricated as follow: the catalyst was dispersed in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) solvent containing 7.5 wt% polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) under sonication, in which the weight ratio of the catalyst to PVDF is 8:1. Then the slurry was coated onto a piece of carbon paper (length×diameter×thickness = 6 cm×1 cm×0.03 cm). The loading density of the catalyst was ~ 3 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>. Linear sweep voltammetry with scan rate of 5 mV s<sup>-1</sup> was conducted in 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (deaerated by N<sub>2</sub>). For a Tafel plot, the linear portion is fit to the Tafel equation. All data have been corrected for a small ohmic drop based on impedance spectroscopy. In 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>,  $E_{(RHE)} = E_{(SCE)} + 0.21$  V. All the potentials reported in our manuscript were calibrated to a reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE).

### In basic solution

The electrolyte was changed to 1 M KOH (pH=14) and the reference electrode was an aqueous SCE electrode

 $E_{(\text{RHE})} = 0.242 + 0.059 \times \text{pH}$  (V).

#### In neutral solution

The electrolyte was changed to 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH = 7.0) and the reference electrode was an aqueous SCE electrode

 $E_{(\text{RHE})} = 0.242 + 0.059 \times \text{pH}$  (V).

Catalysts	Tafel slope [mV dec <sup>-1</sup> ]	j <sub>0</sub> (μA cm <sup>-2</sup> )	η <sub>1</sub> (mV)	η <sub>10</sub> (mV)	$J_{200}$ (mA cm <sup>-2</sup> )	Electrolyte	Refs
Bulk Mo <sub>2</sub> C	56	1.3	~150	~210	~6.5	1M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	3
β-Μο2C	120	17.29	~200		<0.5	0.1M HClO <sub>4</sub>	5
γ-M0 <sub>2</sub> C	121.6	3.2	~273		<1	0.1M HClO <sub>4</sub>	5
np-Mo <sub>2</sub> C NWs	53		~70	130	60	0.5M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	6
Mo <sub>2</sub> C/CNT	55.2	14	64	~152		0.1M HClO <sub>4</sub>	7
Mo <sub>2</sub> C/XC	59.4	8.1	105		~7.5	0.1M HClO <sub>4</sub>	7
Mo <sub>2</sub> C/GCSs	62.6	12.5	~120	200	10	0.5M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	8
Mo <sub>2</sub> C/CNT- GR	58	62	~62	130	_	0.5M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	9
M02N/CNT- GR	72	39.4	~118	186	~15	0.5M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	9
Mo <sub>2</sub> C/CNT	63	—	~120	190	~13	0.5M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	9
Mo <sub>2</sub> C-RGO	54		~70	130	—	0.5M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	10
Mo <sub>2</sub> C/NWs	55.8	—	~160	_	10.2	0.5M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	17
Mo <sub>2</sub> C/NSs	64.5	_	~160	_	5.3	0.5M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	17
Mo <sub>2</sub> C-CNT	65	19.8	136	179	24.1	0.5M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	This work
Mo <sub>2</sub> C-NCNT	71	114.6	72	147	72.7	0.5M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	This work

Table S1. The comparisons of HER performances among different Mo<sub>2</sub>C catalysts

Note:  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_{10}$  denote overpotentials driving current densities of 1 and 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, respectively.  $J_{200}$  denote the current density at a overpotential of 200 mV.

$\eta_{\rm i}({\rm mV})$ $R_{\rm ct}(\Omega/{\rm cm}^2)$	100	150	200	250
Bulk Mo <sub>2</sub> C	76.87	53.76	11.89	3.483
Mo <sub>2</sub> C-NCNT	53.13	7.731	2.136	0.3243

 $\label{eq:solution} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Table S2} \ \text{Comparison of charge-transfer resistances and the interfacial capacitances} \\ \text{between bulk } Mo_2C \ \text{and } Mo_2C\text{-NCNT at different overpotentials.} \end{array}$ 

$\eta_i(mV)$	100	150	200	250
C(mF cm <sup>2</sup> )				
	0.0020	0.0019	0.0012	0.0007
Bulk MO <sub>2</sub> C	0.0020	0.0018	0.0013	0.0007
Mo <sub>2</sub> C-NCNT	0.2346	0.2309	0.0785	0.0004



Figure S1 XRD patterns of Mo<sub>2</sub>C-NCNTs.



Figure S2 Typical SEM images of Mo<sub>2</sub>C-NCNTs.



**Figure S3** XPS spectra of  $Mo_2C$ -NCNTs. (a) Survey XPS spectrum, (b) N 1s spectrum, (c) Mo 3d spectrum, and (d) C 1s spectrum.



Figure S4 (a) Nitrogen adsorption and desorption isotherms and (b) the corresponding pore-size distribution calculated by BJH method from the desorption branch of  $Mo_2C$ -NCNTs.



Figure S5 Nyquist plots of impedance spectroscopy analysis of  $Mo_2C$ -NCNTs, and the inset showing the corresponding equivalent circuit.



Figure S6 Nyquist plots of impedance spectroscopy analysis of bulk  $Mo_2C$ , and the inset showing the corresponding equivalent circuit.



**Figure S7** Plots of overpotential *verves*  $\log R_{ct}^{-1}$  for Mo<sub>2</sub>C-NCNTs and bulk Mo<sub>2</sub>C.



Figure S8 Comparison of polarization curves among CNTs, graphene sheets, and t-PANI.



Figure S9. XRD pattern of Mo<sub>2</sub>C-CNTs.



Figure S10. a) TEM and b) HRTEM images of Mo<sub>2</sub>C-CNTs.



Figure S11 Comparison of the HER properties between  $Mo_2C$ -CNTs and  $Mo_2C$ -NCNTs. a) Polarization curves and b) Tafel plots.



Figure S12 Nyquist plots of impedance spectroscopy analysis of Mo<sub>2</sub>C-CNTs.

Table S3 Comparison of charge-transfer resistances between  $Mo_2C$ -NCNTs and  $Mo_2C$ -CNTs at different overpotentials.

$\eta_i(\mathrm{mV})$ $R_{\mathrm{ct}}(\Omega/\mathrm{cm}^2)$	100	150	200	250
Mo <sub>2</sub> C-CNT	71.07	6.292	2.341	0.2474
Mo <sub>2</sub> C-NCNT	53.13	7.731	2.136	0.3243



**Figure S13.** a) Polarization curves of Pt, bulk  $Mo_2C$  and  $Mo_2C$ -NCNTs, and b) long-term stability of  $Mo_2C$ -NCNTs in basic solution (pH=14).



**Figure S14.** a) Polarization curves of  $Mo_2C$ -NCNTs in neutral solution, and b) long-term stability of  $Mo_2C$ -NCNTs in neutral solution (pH=7).