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Figure S1a shows Fourier transform-infrared (FT-IR) spectra of the CdSe/ZnS QDs functionalized with $\mathrm{OA}, \mathrm{Cu}$ NWs, and QD/Cu NWs. The IR characteristic peaks of the QDs functionalized with OA were observed at 2852 and $2922 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, corresponding to the symmetric and anti-symmetric methylene stretching modes of the OA in the functional group, respectively. When the functionalized QDs were attached to the surface of Cu NW , the same IR peaks due to the OA groups could be observed from the QD/Cu hybrid NWs, as shown in Fig. S1a. Figure S1b shows FT-IR spectra of the CdSe/ZnS QDs functionalized with OH, P3HT NWs, and QD/P3HT NWs. The IR characteristic peaks of the functionalized QDs with OH were observed at $1050 \sim 1200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which originated from 11-mercapto-1-undecanol in the functional group. For the QD/P3HT hybrid NWs, the IR characteristic peaks were also detected at the same wave number ( $1050 \sim 1200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), as shown in Fig. S1b. From the comparison with FT-IR spectra of the QDs and hybrid NWs, we confirmed the attachment of the functionalized QDs on the surface of the NWs.


Figure S1. Comparison of FT-IR spectra of (a) the CdSe/ZnS QDs functionalized with OA, Cu NWs , and QD/Cu NWs and of (b) the CdSe/ZnS QDs functionalized with OH, P3HT NWs, and QD/P3HT NWs.

Figures S2a and S2b show high-resolution transmission electron microscope (HR-TEM) images and the analysis of electron dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) patterns for functionalized CdSe/ZnS QDs and Cu NWs, respectively. The constituent atoms of the QDs including Cd, $\mathrm{Se}, \mathrm{Zn}$, and S were detected, as shown in the EDS pattern of the CdSe/ZnS QDs (top-right of Figure S2a), and the thickness of ZnS shell was ~1.5 nm (bottom-right in Figure S2a). From the EDS analysis of the Cu NW , we estimated the thickness of the oxidation layer of the Cu NW to be about 10 nm (bottom-right in Figure S2b).

From the time-resolve PL decay curves in Fig. 4, the exciton lifetime ( $\tau$ ) can be estimated by multi-exponential fitting;

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{y}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\frac{\mathrm{t}}{\tau_{\mathrm{i}}}\right)}  \tag{1}\\
& \tau_{\mathrm{avg}}=\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{i}} \tau_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}}{\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{i}} \tau_{\mathrm{i}}} . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}$ represents the absolute amplitude and $\tau_{\mathrm{I}}$ is the characteristic lifetimes of exciton component. From the extracted components of $\tau$ 's, the intensity-weighted average exciton lifetime ( $\tau_{\text {avg }}$ 's) of the P3HT NWs, CdSe/ZnS QDs, and the hybrid NWs were estimated as listed in Table S1.


Figure S2. HR-TEM images and EDS results of (a) the functionalized CdSe/ZnS QDs and (b) Cu NWs.

Table S1. Absolute amplitudes and exciton lifetimes of P3HT NW, CdSe/ZnS QDs, QD/P3HT NW, and QD/Cu NW.

## Amplitude and $\tau$

|  | P3HT NW | QDs (OH) | QD/P3HT NW | QDs (OA) full detection | QD/Cu NW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amp. 1 <br> ( $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ ) | 1774.48 | 3172 | 698.98 | 3007.88 | 111.7 |
| $\tau_{1}(\mathrm{~ns})$ | 0.15 | 1.88 | 2.05 | 3.55 | 7.84 |
| Amp. 2 <br> ( $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ ) | 1761.75 | 3315.79 | 7067.41 | 660.67 | 1537.34 |
| $\tau_{2}$ (ns) | 0.48 | 16.83 | 0.41 | 93.28 | 0.48 |
| Amp. 3 <br> $\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)$ |  | 1200.37 | 2412.9 | 4818.48 | 485.56 |
| $\tau_{3}$ (ns) |  | 50.70 | 0.03 | 19.77 | 2.55 |
| Amp. 4 <br> ( $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ ) |  |  | 249.17 |  |  |
| $\tau_{4}(\mathrm{~ns})$ |  |  | 10.78 |  |  |
| $\tau_{\text {avg }}$ ( ns ) | 0.40 | 32.91 | 4.67 | 45.77 | 3.64 |

