## **Supplementary Data**

## Self-Assembled Tetraphenylethylene Macrocycle Nanofibrous Materials for the Visual Detection of Copper (II) in Water Solution

Hai-Tao Feng,<sup>a</sup> Song Song,<sup>a</sup> Yi-Chang Chen,<sup>a</sup> Chang-Hong Shen,<sup>b</sup> and Yan-Song Zheng<sup>a\*</sup> <sup>a</sup>School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China; <sup>b</sup>School of Chemistry and Materials Science, South Central University for Nationalities, Wuhan 430074, China.

## Measurements:

<sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker AV 400 spectrometer at 298 K in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. Infrared spectra were recorded on BRUKER EQUINAX55 spectrometer. Absorption spectra were recorded on a Hewlett Packard 8453 UV–Vis spectrophotometer. Mass spectrum was measured on an IonSpec 4.7 Tesla FTMS instrument. Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) images were taken on a FEI Sirion 200 electron microscope operating at 10 kV. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was measured on a Chenghua CHI 830C. Fluorescent emission spectra were collected on a Shimadzu RF-5301 fluorophotometer at 298 K. The fluorescence spectra for AIE effect were measured after water was added and let the mixture stand for 4 h at 298 K. For measuring change of fluorescence intensity with copper ion or other metal ions, all mixtures of tetraphenylethylene (TPE) Schiff base macrocycle **3** and metal ions were left standing for 8 h at 298 K before their fluorescence spectra were measured.

Uv-Vis titration was carried out by gradual addition of concentrated solution of copper ions into solution of the probe **3** in  $H_2O/THF$  2:1 so that the concentration of the probe **3** was approximately invariable. The absorption spectra were measured as soon as the metal ion was added. Association constant K was calculated by nonlinearly curve fitting according to the following formula (Eq. 6), which was derived in a similar way to literature.<sup>1</sup>

The reaction formula could be expressed as equation 1.

$$3 + 2Cu \longrightarrow 3Cu_2$$
 (Eq. 1)

Therefore, the association constant K could be written as equation 2.

$$K = \frac{[\mathbf{3}Cu_2]}{[\mathbf{3}][Cu]^2} = \frac{[\mathbf{3}Cu_2]}{([\mathbf{3}]_0 - [\mathbf{3}Cu_2])([Cu]_0 - 2[\mathbf{3}Cu_2])^2}$$
(Eq. 2)

Among the above equation,

[3Cu<sub>2</sub>] denotes the molar concentration of 1:2 complex of 3 with copper ion at equilibrium;

[3] denotes the molar concentration of 3 at equilibrium;

[Cu] denotes the molar concentration of copper ion at equilibrium;

[**3**]<sub>0</sub> denotes the total molar concentration of **3**;

[Cu]<sub>0</sub> denotes the total molar concentration of copper ion.

Equation 4 can be obtained from the equation 2 by simply mathematic derivation.

$$([Cu]_{0} - 2[3Cu_{2}])^{2} = \frac{[3Cu_{2}]}{K([3]_{0} - [3Cu_{2}])}$$
(Eq. 3)  
$$[Cu]_{0} = 2[3Cu_{2}] \pm \sqrt{\frac{[3Cu_{2}]}{K([3]_{0} - [3Cu_{2}])}}$$
(Eq. 4)

Due to being a new absorption at 595 nm and almost no change of the absorption maximum wavelength during titration, it could be considered that this absorption is mainly ascribed to the 1:2 complex of  $\mathbf{3}$  with copper ion. Therefore, equation 6 can be derived according to the absorbance change at 595 nm by equation 5.

$$[\mathbf{3Cu}_2] = \frac{\triangle \mathbf{A}}{\varepsilon}$$
(Eq. 5)

 $\triangle$  A denotes the absorbance difference of **3** at 595 nm without and with addition of copper ion.  $\epsilon$  denotes the molar extinction coefficient of 1:2 complex of **3** with copper ion.

$$[Cu]_0 = \frac{2 \triangle A}{\varepsilon} \pm \sqrt{\frac{\frac{\triangle A}{\varepsilon}}{K([\mathbf{3}]_0 - \frac{\triangle A}{\varepsilon})}}$$
(Eq. 6)

In order to calculate the association constant K by Origin software without further deriving equation 6, here  $[Cu]_0$  was directly acted as dependent variable and the absorbance difference  $\triangle A$  as independent one to carry out the nonlinearly curve fitting (Fig. S1).



**Fig. S1**. Change of absorbance difference with concentration of copper ion. The solid curve is the result from fitting.

## 1 Z.-Q. Guo, W.-Q. Chen, X.-M. Duan, Org. Lett., 2010, 12, 2202.



Fig. S2. UV-Vis spectrum of a suspension of 3 in  $H_2O/THF 9:1$  ([3] =  $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$  M).



**Fig. S3**. Dynamic light scattering (DLS) diagram of a suspension of **3** in H<sub>2</sub>O/THF 9:1 ([**3**] =  $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$  M).



Calculation of detection limit: The detection limit determined from the was fluorescence titration data based on a reported method [1-3]. According to the result of titrattion experiment, the fluorescent intensity data at 595 nm were normalized between the minimum intensity and the maximum intensity. A linear regression curve was then fitted to these normalized fluorescent intensity data, and the point at which this line crossed the axis was considered as the detection limit  $(1.1 \times 10^{-9} \text{ M})$ .

- 1. L. Yuan, W.Y. Lin, Chem. Eur. J. 2011, 17, 689-696.
- 2. M. Shortreed, R. Kopelman, M. Kuhn, B. Hoyland, Anal. Chem. 1996, 68, 1414-1418.
- 3. W. Lin, L. Yuan, L. Long, C. Guo, J. Feng, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2008, 18, 2366-2372.

**Fig. S4**. Plot of normalized fluorescence intensity of **3** as a function of log  $[Cu^{2+}]$  in H<sub>2</sub>O/THF (9:1) ( $\lambda_{ex} = 365 \text{ nm}$ ). (Log  $[Cu^{2+}] = -8.941$ ).





**Fig. S5.** Fluorescence spectrum change of **3** with concentrations of copper ions in river (A), lake (B) and tap (C) H<sub>2</sub>O/THF 9:1. For river water,  $[Cu^{2+}] = 0$ , 0.010, 0.020, 0.050, 0.10, 0.20, 0.50, 0.80, 1.0, 2.0, 5.0, 10.0/10<sup>-6</sup> M; For lake water,  $[Cu^{2+}] = 0$ , 0.20, 0.30, 0.50, 0.60, 0.80, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 5.0, 10/10<sup>-6</sup> M; For tap water,  $[Cu^{2+}] = 0$ , 0.50, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 10/10<sup>-6</sup> M; [**3**] = 2.0 × 10<sup>-6</sup> M. Insets: curve of fluorescence intensity vs logarithm of Cu (II) concentration.



Fig. S6. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of compound 2 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Fig. S7. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of compound 2 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>



Fig. S8. IR spectrum of compound 2.



Shanghai Mass Spectrometry Center Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry Chinese Academic of Sciences High Resolution MS DATA REPORT IonSpec 4.7 Tesla FTMS Instrument: Card Serial Number : WI12 2076 Sample Serial Number: 5# Operator : HuaQin Date: 2012/12/21 Operation Mode: MALDI/DHB Elemental Composition Search Report: Target Mass: Target m/z =  $420.1356 \pm 0.002$ Charge = +1**Possible Elements:** Element: Exact Mass: Min: Max: С 12.000000 0 100 Н 100 1.007825 0 0 15.994915 0 100 Additional Search Restrictions:

DBE Limit Mode = Both Integer and Half-Integer

Minimum DBE = 0 Search Results: Number of Hits = 1 <u>m/z Delta m/z DBE Formula</u>  $420.13561 -0.00001 19.0 C_{28}H_{20}O_4^{+1}$ 





Fig. S10. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of compound 3 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Fig. S11.  $^{13}$ C NMR spectrum of compound 3



Fig. S12. IR spectrum of compound 3.



Shanghai Mass Spectrometry Center Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry Chinese Academic of Sciences High Resolution MS DATA REPORT Instrument: IonSpec 4.7 Tesla FTMS Card Serial Number : WI12 2078 1\* Sample Serial Number: Operator : HuaQin Date: 2012/12/21 Operation Mode: MALDI/DHB Elemental Composition Search Report: Target Mass: Target m/z =  $985.3773 \pm 0.004$ Charge = +1 **Possible Elements:** Element: Exact Mass: Min: Max: С 12.000000 100 0 н 1.007825 0 100 Ν 5 14.003074 0 Ο 15.994915 0 5

Additional Search Restrictions:

DBE Limit Mode = Both Integer and Half-Integer

Minimum DBE = 0Search Results: Number of Hits = 4m/z Delta m/z DBE Formula C71H47N5O<sup>+1</sup> 985.37751 -0.00021 51.0 C<sub>70</sub>H<sub>51</sub>NO<sub>5</sub><sup>+1</sup> 985.37618 0.00112 46.0  $C_{73}H_{49}N_2O_2^{+1}$ -0.00155 50.5 985.37885 C<sub>68</sub>H<sub>49</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub><sup>+1</sup> 0.00247 46.5 985.37483

Fig. S13. HRMS spectrum of compound 3.