# **Supporting Information**

## Pentacenequinone Derivatives: Aggregation-Induced Emission Enhancement, Mechanism and Fluorescent Aggregates for Superamplified Detection of Nitroaromatic Explosives

### Sandeep Kaur, § Ankush Gupta, § Vandana Bhalla\* and Manoj Kumar

Department of Chemistry, UGC Sponsored-Centre for Advanced Studies-I, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar-143005, Punjab, India Email Address:vanmanan@yahoo.co.in

- **S3** General experimental procedures and calculations for quantum yield.
- S4 Synthetic scheme of derivatives 5-9.
- S5 Absorption spectra of derivatives 5, 6 and 7 showing the variation of absorption intensity in a  $H_2O/DMSO$  mixture with different water fractions.
- S6 SEM images of 5 showing the nanoaggregates and dynamic light scattering (DLS) results showing the variation in particle size diameter with increasing water content in DMSO solution of 5. (A) 10% (B) 30% and (C) 50% water content in DMSO solution of 5.
- S7 Spectra of derivatives 5-7 showing the variation of fluorescence intensity in H<sub>2</sub>O/DMSO mixture and fluorescence spectra of derivatives 7 showing the variation of fluorescence intensity in H<sub>2</sub>O/DMSO mixtures.
- S8 Dynamic light scattering (DLS) results showing the variation in particle size diameter with increasing water content in DMSO solution of 7 and 6. (A) 10% (B) 30% and (C) 50% water content in DMSO solution of 7.
- **S9** Spectra of derivative 7 and 6 showing the variation of fluorescence intensity in *vs.* particle size and SEM images of 6 and 7 showing the formation of aggregates.
- **S10** Fluorescence spectra of derivative 7 (5  $\mu$ M) showing the variation of fluorescence intensity in DMSO/Glycerol mixtures and plot showing the variation in fluorescence intensity of derivatives 5-7 in DMSO/glycerol mixtures with different glycerol fractions.
- **S11** Fluorescence spectra of derivatives **5** and **7** showing the variation of fluorescence intensity with increase in temperature.
- S12 Fluorescence anisotropy of derivative 7 in DMSO solution and  $H_2O/DMSO$  (1:1v/v) mixture.
- **S13** Absorption spectra of derivatives **8** and **9** in a  $H_2O/THF$  mixture with different water fractions, SEM images of derivatives **8** and **9** and fluorescence spectra of **9** showing the variation of fluorescence intensity in  $H_2O/THF$  mixtures with different water fractions.

- **S14** Table S1 showing fluorescence lifetimes  $(\tau_F)$  of derivative **8-9** recorded at different H<sub>2</sub>O/THF ratios and table S2 comparative photophysical properties of derivative **8-9**.
- S15 Change in fluorescence spectra of derivatives 5 and 6 with the addition of PA and Stern-Volmer plot derivatives 5, 6 and 7 in response to PA.
- **S16** Change in fluorescence spectra of derivatives **8** and **9** with the addition of PA and Stern-Volmer plot in response to PA.
- **S17** Time resolved fluorescence emission spectra of aggregates of **5-7** with different concentrations of PA.
- **S18** Time resolved fluorescence emission spectra of aggregates of **8-9** with different concentrations of PA.
- **S19** Spectral overlap of absorption spectrum of PA and fluorescence spectrum of aggregates of derivatives **5-7**.
- **S20** Spectral overlap of absorption spectrum of PA and fluorescence spectrum of aggregates of derivatives **8-9**.
- S21 Extent of fluorescence quenching of 5-9 observed with various nitro derivatives.
- S22 Test strips of derivatives 5-9 for trace detection of PA.
- **S23** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of derivative **5**.
- S24 Mass spectrum of derivative 5.
- **S25** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of derivative 7.
- S26 Mass spectrum of derivative 7.
- **S27** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of derivative **8**.
- **S28**  $^{13}$ C NMR spectrum of derivative **8**.
- S29 Mass spectrum of derivative 8.
- **S30** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of derivative **9**.
- **S31** <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of derivative **9**.
- S32 Mass spectrum of derivative 9.

#### **Experimental**

#### **General information**

All reagents were purchased from Aldrich and were used without further purification. The UV/vis and fluorescence spectra were recorded with Shimdzu UV-2450 spectrophotometer and Shimadzu RF-5301(PC) spectrofluorophotometer, respectively. The SEM images were recorded from Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)-ZeissEV040. The time-resolved fluorescence spectra were recorded with a HORIBA time-resolved fluorescence spectrometer. Elemental analysis (C, H, N) was performed on a Flash EA 1112 CHNS-O analyzer (Thermo Electron Corp.). <sup>1</sup>H NMR were recorded on a JOEL-FT NMR–AL 300 MHz spectrophotometer using CDCl<sub>3</sub> as solvent and tetramethylsilane SiMe<sub>4</sub> as internal standards. Data are reported as follows: chemical shifts in ppm ( $\delta$ ), multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, br = broad singlet m = multiplet), coupling constants J (Hz), integration, and interpretation. Silica gel 60 (60–120 mesh) was used for column chromatography.

#### Calculations for quantum yield<sup>1</sup>:

Fluorescence quantum yield was determined using optically matching solutions of diphenylanthracene ( $\Phi_{\rm fr} = 0.9$  in cyclohexane) as standard at an excitation wavelength of 352 nm and quantum yield is calculated using the equation:

$$\Phi_{fs} = \Phi_{fr} \qquad \times \begin{array}{ccc} 1 - 10^{-ArLr} & N_s^2 & D_s \\ \hline 1 - 10^{-AsLs} & X & \hline N_r^2 & D_r \end{array}$$

 $\Phi_{fs}$  and  $\Phi_{fr}$  are the radiative quantum yields of sample and the reference respectively,  $A_s$  and  $A_r$  are the absorbance of the sample and the reference respectively,  $D_s$  and  $D_r$  the respective areas of emission for sample and reference. Ls and  $L_r$  are the lengths of the absorption cells of sample and reference respectively.  $N_s$  and  $N_r$  are the refractive indices of the sample and reference solutions (pure solvents were assumed respectively).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Demas, J. N.; Grosby, G. A. J. Phys. Chem. 1971, 75, 991-1024.

## Synthetic scheme of derivative5-9



Scheme 1. Pentacenequinone based derivatives 5-7.



Scheme 2. Pentacenequinone based derivatives 8 and 9.



Fig. S1 Absorption spectra of derivative 5 (10  $\mu$ M) showing the variation of absorption intensity in a H<sub>2</sub>O/DMSO mixture with different water fractions.



Fig. S2 Absorption spectra of derivative 6 (10  $\mu$ M) showing the variation of absorption intensity in a H<sub>2</sub>O/DMSO mixture with different water fractions.



Fig. S3 Absorption spectra of derivative 7 (10  $\mu$ M) showing the variation of absorption intensity in a H<sub>2</sub>O/DMSO mixture with different water fractions.



Fig. S4A SEM images of derivative 5 in H<sub>2</sub>O/DMSO (1:1, v/v) solvent mixture. (Scale bar 200 nm)



 40

Fig. S4B: Dynamic light scattering (DLS) results showing the variation in particle size diameter with increasing water content in DMSO solution of 5. (A) 10% (B) 30% and (C) 50% water content in DMSO solution of 5.



Fig. S5 Spectra of derivatives 5-7 showing the variation of fluorescence intensity in H<sub>2</sub>O/DMSO mixture.



Fig. S6 Fluorescence spectra of derivative 7 (10  $\mu$ M) showing the variation of fluorescence intensity in H<sub>2</sub>O/DMSO mixtures.  $\lambda$ ex.=322 nm.



Fig. S7A: Dynamic light scattering (DLS) results showing the variation in particle size diameter with increasing water content in DMSO solution of 7. (A) 10% (B) 30% and (C) 50% water content in DMSO solution of 7.



Fig. S7B: Dynamic light scattering (DLS) results showing the variation in particle size diameter with increasing water content in DMSO solution of 6. (A) 10% (B) 30% and (C) 50% water content in DMSO solution of 6.





**Fig. S8A** Spectra of derivative 7 showing the variation of fluorescence intensity in *vs.* particle size.

Fig. S8B Spectra of derivative 6 showing the variation of fluorescence intensity in *vs.* particle size.



Fig. S9 SEM images of (A) 6 and (B) 7 showing the formation of aggregates. Scale bar (A) 200 nm and (B) 2  $\mu$ m.



Fig. S10 Fluorescence spectra of derivative 7 (10  $\mu$ M) showing the variation of fluorescence intensity in DMSO/Glycerol mixtures.  $\lambda ex.=322$  nm.



Fig. S11 Plot showing the variation in fluorescence intensity of derivatives 5-7 in DMSO/glycerol mixtures with different glycerol fractions.



Fig. S12 Fluorescence spectra of derivative 5 (10  $\mu$ M) showing the variation of fluorescence intensity with increase in temperature in H<sub>2</sub>O/DMSO mixture (1:1, v/v).  $\lambda$ ex.=310 nm.



Fig. S13 Fluorescence spectra of derivative 7 (10  $\mu$ M) showing the variation of fluorescence intensity with increase in temperature in H<sub>2</sub>O/DMSO mixture (1:1, v/v).  $\lambda$ ex.=322 nm.



Fig. S14 Fluorescence anisotropy of derivative 7 in DMSO solution



Fig. S15 Fluorescence anisotropy of derivative 7 in  $\rm H_2O/$  DMSO (1:1, V/V) mixture.



**Fig. S16A** Absorption spectra of derivative **8** (10  $\mu$ M) showing the variation of absorption intensity in H<sub>2</sub>O/THF mixture with different water fractions. (Inset photographs showing formation of new band at 420 nm)



Fig. S16B Absorption spectra of derivative 9 (10  $\mu$ M) showing the variation of absorption intensity in H<sub>2</sub>O/THF mixture with different water fractions. (Inset photographs showing formation of new band at 420 nm)



Fig. S17 SEM images of derivatives (A) 8 and (B) 9 showing formation of aggregates. Scale bar 200 nm



**Fig. S18** Fluorescence spectra of **9** (10  $\mu$ M) showing the variation of fluorescence intensity in H<sub>2</sub>O/THF mixtures with different water fractions. Inset photographs (Under 365 nm UV-light) (a) in pure THF (b) with the addition of 90% water in THF.

Derivative	H <sub>2</sub> O/THF ratio	$HF$ ratio $\lambda_{max}$ (nm)		$ au_{F2}(ns)$
Derivative 8	0/10	480	0.95	-
	7/3	480	0.283	1.96
	7/3	555	2.82	3.07
	9/1	555	3.53	6.54
Derivative 9	0/10	480	1.44	-
	7/3	480	0.00166	0.412
	7/3	555	0.429	2.31
	9/1	555	3.63	9.48

Table S1. Fluorescence lifetimes ( $\tau_F$ ) of derivative **8-9** recorded at different H<sub>2</sub>O/THF ratios (decay monitored at the corresponding  $\lambda$ max; excitation at 300 nm)

Table S2. Comparative photophysical properties of derivative 8-9:

Deriv ative	Quantum yield $(\phi_F)^a$ in solution	τ <sub>F</sub> <sup>b</sup> in solution (ns)	$A_1/A_2^c$	K <sup>d</sup> (s <sup>-1</sup> )	$\frac{K_{nr}^{e}}{(10^{9}s^{-1})}$	Quantum yield ( $\phi_F$ ) <sup>f</sup> in aggregates	A <sub>1</sub> /A <sub>2</sub> <sup>g</sup>	$\tau_{F1}^{h}$ (ns)	$\tau_{F2}^{h}$ (ns)	K <sup>i</sup> (s <sup>-1</sup> )	$K_{nr}^{j}$ (10 <sup>9</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )
8	0.0039	0.957	100/0	4.07x10 <sup>6</sup>	1.04	0.24	71/29	<b>3.5</b> 3	6.54	6.7 x10 <sup>7</sup>	0.21
9	0.0064	1.44	100/0	4.44x10 <sup>6</sup>	0.69	0.15	77/23	3.63	9.48	4.1 x10 <sup>7</sup>	0.234

Table S2 <sup>a</sup> solution in THF. <sup>b</sup> monoexponential life time in THF. <sup>c</sup> A<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>2</sub> : fractional amount of molecules in each environment in solution. <sup>d</sup> Radiative rate constant in solution ( $K_f = \Phi_F / \tau_F$ ). <sup>e</sup> non-radiative rate constant in solution ( $k_{nr} = (1 - \Phi_F) / \tau_F$ ). <sup>f</sup> aggregates in H<sub>2</sub>O/THF with 90 vol% of water. A<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>2</sub>: <sup>g</sup> fractional amount of molecules in each environment in aggregates. <sup>h</sup>  $\tau_{F1 \text{ and }} \tau_{F2}$ : biexponential life time of aggregates in 90 vol% of water in THF. <sup>i</sup> Radiative rate constant in aggregates ( $K_f = \Phi_F / \tau_F$ ). <sup>j</sup> non-radiative rate constant in aggregates( $K_{nr} = (1 - \Phi_F) / \tau_F$ ).



Fig. S19 (A) Change in fluorescence spectra of derivative 5 (10  $\mu$ M) with the addition of PA in H<sub>2</sub>O/DMSO (1:1) mixture, inset photograph shows the fluorescence intensity changes upon addition of PA from (a) 0 to (b) 62 equiv. (B) Stern-Volmer plot in response to PA, inset Fig. shows the Stern-Volmer plot obtained at lower concentration of PA.



Fig. S20 (A) Change in fluorescence spectra of derivative 6 (10  $\mu$ M) with the addition of PA in H<sub>2</sub>O/DMSO (1:1) mixture, (B) Stern-Volmer plot in response to PA, inset Fig. shows the Stern-Volmer plot obtained at lower concentration of PA.



**Fig. S21** Stern-Volmer plot in response to PA of derivative 7; inset figure shows the Stern-Volmer plot obtained at lower concentration of PA.



**Fig. 22** (A) Change in fluorescence spectra of derivative **8** (10  $\mu$ M) with the addition of PA in H<sub>2</sub>O/THF (9:1) mixture, inset photograph shows the fluorescence intensity changes upon addition of PA from (a) 0 to (b) 40 equiv. (B) Stern-Volmer plot in response to PA, inset Fig. shows the Stern-Volmer plot obtained at lower concentration of PA.



**Fig. S23** (A) Change in fluorescence spectra of derivative **9** (10  $\mu$ M) with the addition of PA in H<sub>2</sub>O/THF (9:1) mixture, inset photograph shows the fluorescence intensity changes upon addition of PA from (a) 0 to (b) 40 equiv. (B) Stern-Volmer plot in response to PA, inset Fig. shows the Stern-Volmer plot obtained at lower concentration of PA.



**Fig. S24** Time resolved fluorescence emission spectra of aggregates of compound **5** with different concentrations of PA and showing the fluorescence lifetime of aggregates of **5** is invariant at different concentration of PA



**Fig.S25** Time resolved fluorescence emission spectra of aggregates of compound **6** with different concentrations of PA and showing the fluorescence lifetime of aggregates of **6** is invariant at different concentration of PA



**Fig. S26** Time resolved fluorescence emission spectra of aggregates of compound 7 with different concentrations of PA and showing the fluorescence lifetime of aggregates of 7 is invariant at different concentration of PA



Fig. S27 Time resolved fluorescence emission spectra of aggregates of compound 8 with different concentrations of PA and showing the fluorescence lifetime of aggregates of 8 is invariant at different concentration of PA



Fig. S28 Time resolved fluorescence emission spectra of aggregates of compound 9 with different concentrations of PA and showing the fluorescence lifetime of aggregates of 9 is invariant at different concentration of PA



**Fig. S29** Spectral overlaps of absorption spectrum of PA and fluorescence spectrum of aggregates of derivative **5**.



Fig. S30 Spectral overlaps of absorption spectrum of PA and fluorescence spectrum of aggregates of derivative 6.



**Fig. S31** Spectral overlap of absorption spectrum of PA and fluorescence spectrum of aggregates of compound 7.



Fig. S32 Spectral overlaps of absorption spectrum of PA and fluorescence spectrum of aggregates of derivative 8.



Fig. S33 Spectral overlaps of absorption spectrum of PA and fluorescence spectrum of aggregates of derivative 9.



**Fig. S34** Extent of fluorescence quenching of **5-7** (10  $\mu$ M) observed in H<sub>2</sub>O/DMSO (1:1) mixture after the addition of 62 equiv. of various nitroderivatives. 1=PA, 2=TNT, 3=DNT, 4=DNB, 5=DNBA, 6=BQ, 7=NM, 8=DMDNB



**Fig. S35** Extent of fluorescence quenching of **8** and **9** (10  $\mu$ M) observed in H<sub>2</sub>O/THF (9:1) mixture after the addition of 40 equiv. of various nitroderivatives. 1=PA, 2=DNT, 3=DNB, 4=BQ, 5=DNBA, 6=TNT, 7=NM, 8=DMDNB



**Fig. S36** Photographs (under 365 nm UV light) of derivative **5** on test strips (a) before and (b) after dipping into aqueous solutions of PA.



**Fig. S37** Photographs of derivative **6** on test strips (a) before and (b) after dipping into aqueous solutions of PA.



**Fig. S38** Photographs of derivative **8** on test strips (a) before and (b) after dipping into aqueous solutions of PA.



**Fig. S39** Photographs of derivative **9** on test strips (a) before and (b) after dipping into aqueous solutions of PA.



**Fig. S40** Photographs (under 365 nm UV light) of fluorescence quenching of aggregates of derivative **5** on test strips for the visual detection of small amount of PA (a) test strip; PA of different concentration (b)  $10^{-4}$  M (c)  $10^{-6}$  M (d)  $10^{-8}$  M.



**Fig. S41** Photographs (under 365 nm UV light) of fluorescence quenching of nanoaggregates of derivative **6** on test strips for the visual detection of small amount of PA (A) test strip; PA of different concentration (b)  $10^{-4}$  M (c)  $10^{-6}$  M (d)  $10^{-8}$  M.



**Fig. S42** Photographs (under 365 nm UV light) of fluorescence quenching of nanoaggregates of derivative **8** on test strips for the visual detection of small amount of PA (A) test strip; PA of different concentration (b)  $10^{-4}$  M (c)  $10^{-6}$  M (d)  $10^{-8}$  M.



**Fig. S43** Photographs (under 365 nm UV light) of fluorescence quenching of nanoaggregates of derivative **9** on test strips for the visual detection of small amount of PA (A) test strip; PA of different concentration (b)  $10^{-4}$  M (c)  $10^{-6}$  M (d)  $10^{-8}$  M.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of derivative **5** in CDCl<sub>3</sub>



Fig. S44 <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of derivative 5 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>





Fig. S45 Mass spectrum of derivative 5

 $^1\mathrm{H}$  NMR spectrum of derivative 7 in CDCl\_3



Fig. S46 <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of derivative 7 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>

# Mass spectrum of derivative 7



Fig. S47 Mass spectrum of derivative 7



<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of derivative **8** in CDCl<sub>3</sub>



 $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectrum of derivative 8 in CDCl\_3



Fig. S49 <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of derivative 8 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Mass spectrum of derivative 8



<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of derivative **9** in CDCl<sub>3</sub>

Fig. S51 <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of derivative 9 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>

<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of derivative 9



Fig. S52 <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of derivative 9 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>



Mass spectrum of derivative 9

